|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Journal Name: | [**Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology**](https://journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES) |
| Manuscript Number: | **Ms\_AJAEES\_138012** |
| Title of the Manuscript: | **RESOURCE-USE-EFFICIENCY ANALYSIS FOR THE CUCUMBER PRODUCTION IN THE BISHNUPUR DISTRICT OF MANIPUR AND SEPAHIJALA DISTRICT OF TRIPURA** |
| Type of the Article | **Research Article** |

|  |
| --- |
| **PART 1: Comments** |
|  | **Reviewer’s comment****Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.** | **Author’s Feedback** (It is mandatory that authors should write his/herfeedback here) |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | The subject of the study presented by the authors is interesting and topical, with scientific and practical importance. |  |
| **Is the title of the article suitable?****(If not please suggest an alternative title)** | The title of the article is appropriate, in relation to the topic addressed |  |
| **Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you****suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.** | The article Abstract is comprehensive and the keywords are representative of the article topic |  |
| **Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write****here.** | The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate, in accordance with the General Guideline for Authors |  |
| **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have****suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.** | Extensive documentation of the article is necessary. The entire article is supported by only five bibliographical sources. |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?** |  The English language and the quality of the article are suitable for academic communications, and the article is accessible to readers |  |
| **Optional/General** comments | 1.“coefficient of multiple determinations (Ṝ2)” instead of “coefficient of multiple determinations (Ṝ2)”2.Please check the value:“84,95,000.0”3.*Italic* Font style for species name“*Cucumis sativa* L.” instead of “Cucumis sativa L.” 4.“et al.,”when citing bibliographical sources in the text.It is recommended to check and correct throughout the article, as appropriate.5.The Introduction chapter of the article could be supported by several bibliographical sources.6.Aligning paragraphs and equations within the content of the article is recommended.7.The title of a table is above the table.8.Table 2 and the title of Table 2 are presented separately from the text content in the article.9.Consistent notation of the coefficient of determination (R2) throughout the entire content of the article.10.Extensive documentation of the article is necessary. The entire article is supported by only five bibliographical sources.The subject of the study is interesting and topical, with high scientific and practical importance.The introduction is presented correctly, in accordance with the subject. More extensive documentation in the Introduction would have been appropriate. Methodology of the study was clearly presented, and appropriate to the proposed objectives.The obtained results are important and have been analyzed and interpreted correctly, in accordance with the current methodology. Extensive documentation of the article is necessary. The entire article is supported by only five bibliographical sources.I appreciate that the article can be accepted for publication after minor corrections. |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **PART 2:**  |
|  | **Reviewer’s comment** | **Author’s comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?**  | *(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)* |  |

 **Reviewer details:**

 **Florin SALA, University of Life Sciences “King Mihai I”, Romania**