Cognitive Behavioral Therapy Versus Other Evidence-Based Mental Health Intervention In Minors With Symptoms Of Childhood Adversities: A Scoping Review

.

ABSTRACT

|  |
| --- |
| **Aims:** To assess the relative efficacy of Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT) compared to other proven therapies in improving mental health for children and adolescents affected by childhood trauma.  **Study design:** Scoping Review  **Methodology:** A structured literature search of PubMed, Medline (EBSCO), PsychAPA, CINAHL, and Google Scholar identified studies published from January 1, 2022, to December 31, 2024, on evidence-based mental health interventions for minors experiencing trauma. English-language studies on cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT) or related interventions, either standalone or integrated, were included. Duplicates were removed, and references were reviewed for completeness. Data extracted included author, year, intervention type, therapy level, age group, and summarized findings. Eligible publication types were research articles, peer-reviewed articles, and systematically curated reviews.  **Results:** The review synthesized findings from 26 studies published between 2022 and 2024, concentrating on therapeutic interventions for children and adolescents who have experienced adverse childhood experiences (ACEs). Trauma-focused cognitive behavioral therapy (TF-CBT) was identified as the most efficacious intervention, demonstrating robust effectiveness in alleviating symptoms of PTSD, anxiety, and depression across a range of clinical settings. The reviewed studies utilized diverse methodological approaches and predominantly focused on PTSD, anxiety, and depressive disorders, with a subset addressing substance use disorders, bereavement, and interpersonal functioning. Notably, the review highlighted significant gaps in the literature regarding the exploration of broader psychological outcomes and the efficacy of interventions beyond TF-CBT.  **Conclusion:** Trauma-focused cognitive behavioral therapy (TF-CBT) stands out as the most effective treatment for enhancing mental health in children and adolescents experiencing trauma-related symptoms. Modifications of CBT and combined approaches, such as psychoeducation and family-oriented strategies, also play a significant role in diminishing post-traumatic stress symptoms and boosting resilience. |
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1. INTRODUCTION

According to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), adverse childhood experiences (ACEs) are traumatic events that occur in the early developmental stages between 0-17 years,[1] and they are drivers of long-term psychological and emotional challenges in minors.[2] These preventable experiences are in the form of abuse or neglect that may be inflicted physically, emotionally, or even sexually abuse or living in a dysfunctional household.[3] A current study by Swedo et al. (2024) found that about three out of four adolescents below 18 years of age self-reported at least one ACE experience, with approximately one in five indicating they have encountered four or more such experiences.[1], [2] [3] Adolescents with these adverse experiences mainly develop symptoms such as anxiety, depression, and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) that may impede cognitive, educational attainment, and psychosocial development. [1],[4] [5] [6] Therefore, addressing these mental health repercussions through evidence-based approaches is critical to promoting resilience and improving developmental trajectories in affected children and adolescents. [7]

One proven therapeutic strategy is Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT), which has emerged as a widely recognized and evidence-based intervention, particularly effective in addressing trauma-related symptoms and maladaptive thought patterns.[7], [8] Previous research in a meta-analysis demonstrated a sustained improvement in symptoms of ACEs for up to 12 months post-treatment, indicating the reliability of trauma-focused CBT in long-term outcomes. [9] Additionally, An empirical study suggests that younger adolescents, specifically those in the 14 to 16 age range, and female individuals demonstrate more excellent responsiveness to cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT) interventions.[10] These groups exhibit marked reductions in symptoms of anxiety, depression, and post-traumatic stress with notable enhancements in overall mental health outcomes.[9], [10], [11] Hence, the treatment outcomes for adolescents experiencing symptoms related to adverse childhood experiences (ACEs) can be significantly improved through evidence-based behavioral therapies.

While CBT, particularly trauma-focused CBT, is highly effective and a gold standard for trauma-informed care, it is essential to recognize that not all subjects respond equally to this therapy. [12] Integrating CBT with other therapeutics may enhance positive outcomes in children with complex histories of trauma. [12] According to the CDC, other evidence-based psychotherapeutic approaches, including interpersonal therapy, dialectical behavior therapy, and trauma-focused play therapy, have also demonstrated efficacy in addressing the complex needs of minors with childhood adversities. [13] These interventions vary in their theoretical underpinnings, therapeutic mechanisms, and target outcomes, making it essential to evaluate their comparative effectiveness.[12] Hence, a holistic analysis of how CBT compares to other psychotherapeutic interventions in enhancing mental health outcomes can assist clinical decisions and policymakers in customizing strategies to effectively address the specific needs of this vulnerable population.

Despite expanding research on various therapeutic modalities, especially during the just concluded pandemic era, there remains an inadequacy of detailed evidence directly comparing cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT) with other evidence-based interventions—either as standalone treatments or in conjunction with additional therapeutic approaches—in pediatric populations experiencing symptoms stemming from childhood adversities. This scoping review aims to address this gap by systematically mapping the existing literature to evaluate the comparative effectiveness of CBT against other psychotherapeutic interventions in improving mental health outcomes for children and adolescents with repercussions of childhood trauma. By synthesizing the available data, this study would highlight the relative strengths and weaknesses of these interventions while also identifying future research trajectories and implications for clinical practice.

2. method

A scoping review was performed to explore the literature and map and summarize the evidence regarding the comparative effect of cognitive behavioral therapy and other evidence-based mental health treatment interventions in children and adolescents experiencing symptoms of traumatic events.

**2.1 Search strategy**

An exhaustive literature search was systematically conducted in the databases of PubMed, Medline (EBSCO), PsychAPA, CINAHL(Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature), and Google Scholar published between January 1st, 2022, and December 31st, 2024, to identify relevant studies. The search strategy included combinations of terms related to evidence-based mental health intervention and traumatic events in minors or underage. The searches were conducted with a restriction to English-language publications. Duplicates were systematically removed from consideration. Furthermore, the references cited in all selected articles were scrutinized to identify any potentially overlooked studies. The full search for the databases was ("Behavioral therap\*" OR "Cognitive Behavioral Therapy" OR "CBT" OR "Mental health Intervention\*") AND (teenagers OR adolescents OR Minors) AND ("Adverse Childhood Experiences" OR "domestic violence" OR Trauma)

**2.2 Study selection**

Studies that described outcomes of cognitive behavioral therapy or other proven mental interventions as standalone or combined to treat symptoms of traumatic events in children and adolescents were included. In addition, publication types were eligible for inclusion if they were research articles, peer-reviewed articles, and different types of reviews that were systematically curated. Exclusion criteria encompassed studies focused solely on adverse childhood experiences without detailing treatment interventions or outcomes related to cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT) or other psychotherapeutic approaches in adult populations. Additionally, studies were excluded if they were adult populations and symptoms were outside of any form of traumatic events or adverse experiences in children or adolescents. All titles and abstracts were independently screened and selected by the authors. Full-text articles were obtained and reviewed to determine whether the article met the eligibility criteria. If the articles' full texts were unavailable in the databases, the corresponding authors were contacted by email or ResearchGate (www.researchgate.net). Disagreements were resolved through discussion.

**2.3 Data extraction and analysis**

For each included study, information such as the author, publication year, publication type, types of interventions, level of therapy interventions, i.e., standalone and integrated intervention, age group, and findings or results summary were extracted. The interventions reported in these studies were described based on key domains of children and adolescents as the population of interest; various indications of adverse childhood events such as physical, emotional, and sexual abuse, domestic violence, dysfunctional households, and other trauma-inflicting occurrences; comparative effectiveness of evidence-based behavioral therapy, and treatment outcome. The authors independently completed the data extraction using a preformatted spreadsheet in Microsoft Excel. Disagreements were resolved through discussion.

The findings of this scoping review are conveyed through a narrative synthesis, given the diversity of the studies evaluated. The included studies were organized based on publication characteristics and summarized in tabular format. Adhering to the PRISMA-ScR guidelines, no quality assessment was conducted, as the primary objective of scoping reviews is to holistically identify all relevant evidence and outline their key attributes, irrespective of methodological quality.
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3. results and discussion

**3.1 Search results**

A comprehensive electronic search initially identified 81 studies with potential relevance. After eliminating duplicates and thoroughly assessing titles and abstracts, 32 articles were advanced to full-text evaluation. An examination of the reference lists from the selected articles revealed no additional pertinent studies. Ultimately, 26 studies fulfilled the inclusion criteria and were incorporated into the review. Fig. 1 presents a flowchart detailing the literature search process.

**3.2 Characteristics of the Included Studies**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Table 1** | | | | |
| **Characteristics of the Included Studies** | | | | |
| **Author** | **Publication Year** | **Publication type** | **Age-Range(Years)** | **ACEs symptoms** |
| Thielemann et al. | 2022 | Systematic Review & Meta-Analysis | Aged 3-21years | PTSS, anxiety, depression &grief |
| Thomas et al. | 2022 | Systematic review | Children and Youth | PTSD |
| Roberts et al. | 2023 | Systematic Review & Meta-Analysis of RCT | Age range of minors were not specified | PTSD & Subctance Use Disorder |
| Becker et al. | 2024 | Systematic Review | Aged 10-24 years | PTSD and Subctance Use Disorder |
| Davis et al. | 2023 | Meta-Analysis | Aged 6 to 18 years | PTSD |
| Morroni et al. | 2024 | Systematic Review | unaccompanied minors | PTSD, depression, and anxiety. |
| Kaminer et al. | 2023 | Randomized controlled trial | Aged 11-19years | PTSD and depression |
| Yohannan et al. | 2022 | Meta-Analysis | 18 years or younger | PTSS, anxiety symptoms, and depressive symptoms. |
| De Haan et al. | 2024 | Meta-Analysis | Aged 6-18 years | PTSS, depression, and anxiety. |

A comprehensive synthesis of findings derived from 26 studies published between 2022 and 2024 was conducted, concentrating on interventions designed for children and adolescents who have experienced adverse childhood experiences (ACEs). Detailed information is provided in Table 1. All studies were conducted in English, consisting of a diverse research methodological spectrum: eight systematic reviews and meta-analyses,[14], [15], [16], [17], [18], [19], [20], [21] four systematic reviews, three randomized controlled trials (RCTs),[22], [23], [24] one pilot clinical trial,[25] and one comparative study utilizing RCT designs.[26] These investigations aim to identify the most effective interventions for alleviating symptoms related to traumatic experiences in pediatric populations. The age range of participants across these studies spans from birth to 25 years, with most data focusing on those up to 18 years. Notably, eleven studies included participants older than 18 years([14], [20], [21], [23], [24], [27], [28], [29], [30], [31], [32]). The predominant symptoms addressed include post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) and post-traumatic stress symptoms (PTSS), with anxiety and depression also frequently examined. Specifically, depression and anxiety were the focal points in nine studies, while substance use disorders (SUDs) and grief were addressed in three studies in conjunction with trauma exposure. Furthermore, a limited number of studies considered other factors such as interpersonal functioning, psychiatric comorbidities, externalizing behavior problems, and attachment-related anxiety and avoidance. Overall, the review highlights the critical focus areas within this emergent body of research while indicating gaps in exploring various psychological outcomes post-ACEs.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Table 2** | | | | |
| **Characteristics of the Included Studies** | | | | |
| Xie et al. | 2024 | Meta-Analysis | Aged 7–18 years | PTSD, depression,PTSS or psychiatric comorbidities |
| Protić et al. | 2024 | Systematic Review | Aged 10-19 years | trauma-related symptoms or PTSD, re-experiencing, avoidance |
| Hoogsteder et al. | 2022 | Meta-Analysis | Aged 11-21years | trauma symptoms or (partial) PTSD and externalizing behavior problems |
| Gkintoni et al. | 2024 | Systematic Review & Analysis | Children (6-12 years) and adolescents (12-18 years), | PTSD |
| Thielemann et al. | 2024 | Meta-Analysis | Aged 3–21 years | PTSS,depression, anxiety, and grief |
| Morison et al. | 2022 | QT Systematic Review & Meta-Analysis | Up to the age of 18 years old | Mild, moderate& severe PTSD |
| Hoppen et al. | 2023 | Meta-Analysis of RCT | Aged <19.0 years | full or partial PTSD |
| Smith et al. | 2024 | Systematic Review & Meta-Analysis | equal to or under 18 years | PTSD |
| Ahmadi et al. | 2024 | Comparative study of RCT | Childhood (birth-12 years); School Age (6-12 years); Adolescence (13-17 years) | PTSS |
| Rimane et al. | 2022 | Randomized Control Trial | Aged 14-21 years | PTSS, attachment-related (AR) anxiety and AR avoidance |
| Phillips et al. | 2024 | Systematic Review & Meta-Analysis | Aged 5-25 years | PTSD |
| Guerra et al. | 2024 | Pilot clinical Trial | Aged 13-17 years, | PTSS, depression, interpersonal functioning and affect regulation |
| Roberts et al. | 2022 | Systematic Review & Meta-Analysis | children and young people below the age of 18 years | PTSD and substance use disorder (SUD) |
| Arora el al. | 2024 | Meta-Analysis | Aged 12 -19 years. | PTSD |
| Schreyer et al. | 2024 | Meta-Analysis | Aged 3-21 years | PTSS, outcomes of PTSS, depression, anxiety and grief. |
| Hoppen et al. | 2024 | Systematic Review-Network Meta-Analysis of RCT | Aged 19 years and younger | Pediatric PTSD severity |
| Ole Hultmann et al. | 2023 | Randomized Control Trial | aged 5-17 years | Severe trauma from exposure to family violence |

**3.3 Summary of Findings from Interventions and Treatment Outcomes**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Table 3 | | | | |
| **Summary of Findings from Interventions and Treatment Outcomes** | | | | |
| **Author** | **Standalone Intervention** | **Integrative Intervention** | **Comparative &/or Standard Intervention** | **Results-Treatment Outcomes-Findings** |
| Thielemann et al. | trauma-focused CBT | NA | control condition | large improvements across all outcomes from pre- to post-treatment |
| Thomas et al. | NA | trauma-focused CBT&cultural consideration | none | effective cbt &improving psychosocial functioning |
| Roberts et al. | stepped care prevention | NA | control condition | stepped care was more cost-effective, and as acceptable or more acceptable compared to controls. Stepped care was not superior to other approaches at reducing PTSD in most studies. |
| Becker et al. | individual therapy based on cognitive-behavioral principles | group interventions | NA | In traditional SUD-focused treatment, youth with a history of trauma often have greater attrition, faster relapse after treatment, and require more intensive treatment than peers to achieve the same clinical outcomes.Long-term preventive interventions focused on prosocial skills and parenting quality, individual therapy based on CBT-principles for SU and PTSD, and group interventions focused on skill-development and empowerment have all been found effective |
| Daviset al. | TF-CBT-based interventions | group-based TF-CBT treatments &Long-term preventive interventions | active or passive controls | While indvidual tf-cbt intervention is more effective, findings from this meta-analysis provide support for the use of group (particularly CBT-based) interventions for treating PTSD symptoms in trauma-exposed children and adolescents, with potential for related reductions in depression symptoms.Group interventions were superior when compared with either active or passive controls, at follow-up, and for depression symptoms. |
| Morroni et al. | Trauma-Focused CBT | NA | third wave CBT interventions | TF-CBT has the most evidence demonstrating effectiveness in ameliorating symptoms. Increased mindfulness and psychological flexibility |
| Kaminer et al. | an abbreviated eight-session version of TF-CBT | NA | Treatment as Usual (control group) | Intention-to-treat analyses found that the eight sessions of TF-CBT group had a significantly greater reduction than treatment-as-usual in CPSS-5 PTSD &depression symptom severity at post-treatment and three-month follow-up. |
| Yohannan et al. | cognitive behavioral techniques (i.e., psychoeducation, relaxation and coping techniques, cognitive training, and imaginal exposure), | cue-centered therapy, eye movement desensitization and reprocessing | waitlist control groups | CBT for children and adolescents exposed to traumatic events significantly reduces PTSS, and depressive symptoms, across a diverse array of measures, when compared to other trauma treatments (e.g., cue-centered therapy, eye movement desensitization and reprocessing) or waitlist control groups. the present findings show that mean effects were higher for PTSS than other outcomes (i.e., anxiety, depression). |
| de Haan et al. | TF-CBTs | NA | passive and active control conditions | The analyses suggested that the efficacy of CBTs-TF, relative to control conditions, was amplified in participants with higher pre-treatment levels of symptoms. There was little evidence of moderating effects of any participant characteristic or the involvement of caregivers in CBTs-TF. The effect of duration of treatment (ie, number of CBTs-TF sessions) needs further evaluation. |
| Xie et al. | Group TF-CBT | NA | control condition | subgroup analyses showed that group TF-CBT was superior to other treatments in studies including children with post-traumatic stress symptoms (PTSS). Patients with post-traumatic stress or psychiatric comorbidities may benefit more from group trauma-focused CBT. |
| Protić et al. | TF-CBT, EMDR, narrative exposure therapy, psychodynamic, Psychoeducation | NA | other interventions or control groups | Moderate evidence for mediation effects of posttraumatic cognitions in CBT.Therapeutic alliance seems to be a promising candidate for future research. |
| Hoogsteder et al. | TF-CBT) and Eye Movement Desensitization Reprocessing (EMDR) | NA | Control group(no treatment &Treatment As Usual) | Trauma Focused-Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (TF-CBT) and Eye Movement Desensitization Reprocessing (EMDR) had a large and significant overall effect (d = 0.909) on reducing trauma symptoms(High effect) and externalizing behavior problems(medium effect). |
| Gkintoni et al. | Eye Movement Desensitization and Reprocessing (EMDR), Systemic Therapy, Play Therapy, Exposure Therapy, Relaxation Techniques, and Psychodynamic Psychotherapy. | tailored intervention & family therapy | Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT), Trauma-Focused CBT (TF-CBT), | The efficacy of TF-CBT and EMDR interventions are highlighted for their robust outcomes in reducing PTSD symptoms and improving emotional and behavioral family support, which can substantially improve treatment efficacy and facilitate the child’s recovery. However, treatment must be tailored to the child’s age, developmental level, specific symptoms, and the nature of the trauma. Functioning and addressing comorbid conditions such as anxiety and depression. |
| Thielemann et al.2 | Cognitive mediators, CBT, TF-CBT, psychoeducation | (TF-)CBT and educational intervention | Control groups consisted of randomized wait list, treatment as usual (TAU) and active treatment (AT) conditions | TF-CBT is a reliable treatment for pediatric PTSS and secondary symptoms with stable results at 12-month follow-up. |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Table 3 continue** | | | | |
| **Summary of Findings from Interventions and Treatment Outcomes** | | | | |
| **Author** | **Standalone Intervention** | **Integrative Intervention** | **Comparative &/or Standard Intervention** | **Results-Treatment Outcomes-Findings** |
| Morison et al. | creative arts intervention or a multi-component intervention | NA | a waitlist control, treatment as usual or an active control | creative arts-based interventions reduce trauma symptom scores with a medium effect size and measures of negative mood with a small effect size. |
| Hoppen et al. | four families of psychological intervention: (a) trauma-focused cognitive–behavioural therapy (TF-CBT, e.g. prolonged exposure, cognitive processing therapy); (b) eye movement desensitisation and reprocessing (EMDR); (c) other psychological interventions (e.g. psychoanalytic therapy, spiritual hypnosis-assisted treatment); and (d) multidisciplinary treatments (e.g. intensive multimodal group programmes, risk reduction through family therapy, teaching recovery techniques). | NA | control groups were divided into passive control conditions (e.g. waiting lists, no treatment) and active control conditions (e.g. treatment as usual, supportive counselling) | Psychotherapies were also more effective than active control conditions in reducing multiple-trauma-related PTSD. The data suggested that psychological interventions are largely effective in treating both individuals who have suffered single as well as individuals who have suffered multiple trauma exposures. |
| Smith et al. | TF-CBT (e.g. prolonged exposure for adolescence; PE-A, CT-PTSD, TF-CBT) | NA | control group | This meta-analysis found that psychological treatments for child and adolescent PTSD reduced negative trauma-related appraisals, with an overall medium effect size reported |
| Ahmadi et al. | acceptance and commitment therapy (ACT) and control group | NA | a trauma-focused cognitive behavior therapy (TF-CBT) | At postintervention, only the ACT and TF-CBT groups had significantly lower levels of PTSS compared to the control group. The findings suggest that ACT may be a more culturally and contextually suitable intervention for this population, offering promising implications for mental health interventions in similar cultural contexts. |
| Rimane et al. | developmentally adapted cognitive processing therapy (D-CPT) | NA | control group, a wait-list condition with treatment advice | overall higher posttraumatic avoidance was associated with greater baseline AR avoidance in D-CPT.In terms of change in attachment during the trial, a greater reduction of attachment insecurities was associated with a greater reduction of PTSS severity. |
| Phillips et al. | Trauma-focussed Cognitive Behavioural Therapy or Eye Movement Desensitisation and Reprocessing | NA | Control group | found only limited evidence of small (non-significant) effects favouring tf-CBT/EMDR over control conditions upon social and interpersonal outcomes. |
| Guerra et al. | Trama Focused Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (TF-CBT); Interpersonal Psychotherapy (IPT); | NA | treatment as usual, Art therapy-based support (ATBS) | TF-CBT showed significant decreases for PTSD (d = 0.91) and depression (d = 0.77) symptoms, sustained at follow-up with affect regulation problems also showing significant decrease from baseline (d = 0.43). IPT showed significant decreases in PTSD symptoms (d = 0.64) and affect regulation problems (d = 0.66), both sustained at follow-up. ATBS showed statistically significant decrease for PTSD (d = 0.79) and interpersonal problems (d = 0.65) but only change in PTSD was sustained at follow-up. |
| Roberts et al. | trauma-focused intervention | integrated cognitive behavioural therapy (ICBT) | present-focused (alternative psychological intervention), treatment as usual(control group) for SUD only | There was evidence of some benefit for trauma-focused intervention over present-focused intervention for PTSD |
| Arora el al. | psychological interventions, including cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT), trauma-focused CBT (TF-CBT), cognitive-behavioral intervention for trauma in schools (CBITS), prolonged exposure (PE), eye movement desensitization and reprocessing (EMDR), and transdiagnostic approaches like the unified protocol for adolescents (UP-A) | Usual care |  | Findings revealed that multimodal intervention and mind–body skill intervention significantly reduced the PTSD scores with a large effect size when compared to usual care in the earlier and delayed intervention in the latter. Interestingly, both were group interventions. Importantly, transdiagnostic unified protocol intervention and TF CBT were similar in their effect size. |
| Schreyer et al. | TF-CBT | NA | CONTROL GROUP | This meta-analysis found no differences in measuring treatment effects on PTSS according to DSM-IV and DSM-5 based instruments. Although the changes from DSM-IV to DSM-5 and their comparability were critically discussed, the effect sizes for outcomes measures based on the different version were very similar. . TF-CBT as a treatment of f irst choice for PTSS in children and adolescents as it is superior in reducing PTSD, depression, and anxiety compared to control conditions. |
| Hoppen et al. | TF-CBTs ,EMDRS, MDTs(Multidisciplinary treatments) | NA | control group | TF-CBTs were associated with significantly larger reductions in pediatric PTSD than non-trauma-focused interventions in the short, mid, and long term. More long-term data are needed for EMDR, MDTs, and non-trauma-focused interventions. |
| Hultmannet al. | trauma-focused cognitive behavioral therapy (TF-CBT) |  | enhanced treatment as usual (eTAU) | TF-CBT showed modest positive changes for children abused and/or exposed to InterPV.TAU may be as effective as TF-CBT when TAU is enhanced in the study design. |

Table 3 illustrates the reviewed studies encompassing a range of interventions aimed at alleviating trauma-related symptoms in children and adolescents, explicitly addressing PTSD, PTSS, depression, and anxiety, with distinct methodologies and outcomes. Trauma-Focused Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (TF-CBT) emerged as the predominant intervention, analyzed both as a standalone approach (e.g., Thielemann et al., Davis et al., Morroni et al., Kaminer et al.) and in combination with other therapeutic modalities (e.g., Thomas et al. with cultural adaptations; Roberts et al. employing integrated CBT). TF-CBT consistently demonstrated efficacy across diverse clinical settings, effectively mitigating PTSD symptoms alongside associated depression and anxiety, whether administered individually or in group formats, often with follow-up assessments. Conversely, Ole Hultman et al. posited that enhanced Treatment As Usual (eTAU) may rival the effectiveness of TF-CBT for children suffering from abuse and/or exposure to intimate partner violence (IPV). Group TF-CBT (e.g., Davis et al., Xie et al.) showed notably favorable outcomes, frequently outperforming control conditions in the management of PTSS and related psychiatric comorbidities. Furthermore, interventions such as Acceptance and Commitment Therapy (ACT) (Ahmadi et al.) and Eye Movement Desensitization and Reprocessing (EMDR) (Hoogsteder et al., Gkintoni et al.) were investigated, demonstrating their effectiveness in alleviating symptoms and externalizing behavioral issues. Nonetheless, these therapeutic strategies necessitate contextual specificity and adaptability based on the developmental stage and age of the child or adolescent. A call for more rigorous data is evident to ascertain both short- and long-term effects (Hoppen et al.). In contrast, novel and integrative interventions have provided additional insights into trauma therapy. Studies exploring creative arts interventions (Morison et al.) and transdiagnostic strategies (Arora et al.) reported moderate to significant reductions in trauma symptoms, presenting viable alternatives to TF-CBT and EMDR. Stepped care models (Roberts et al.), individual-focused CBT (Becker et al.), and family interventions (Gkintoni et al.) showed effectiveness in addressing substance use disorders alongside PTSD; however, their efficacy was not universally superior to established evidence-based practices. The findings underscored the critical role of participant characteristics, including symptom severity (de Haan et al.) and cultural adaptability (Ahmadi et al.), in influencing treatment outcomes. While the majority of studies advocated for the superiority of trauma-focused interventions over passive or overactive controls, Hultmann et al. and Ahmadi et al. suggested that enhanced standard care and integrative CBT with ACT may yield outcomes comparable to TF-CBT, particularly for minors exposed to familial violence or culturally specific contexts, such as among Afghan females as the case may be.

**3.4 Discussion**

Findings from these studies identified trauma-focused CBT as the most effective approach to improving mental health outcomes among children and adolescents experiencing symptoms of adverse experiences. While trauma-focused tends to be more effective, evidence from the RCTs indicates that different adaptations to cognitive behavioral therapy consistently demonstrated significant improvements in reducing post-traumatic stress symptoms (PTSS), anxiety, depression, and other trauma-related outcomes across different groups of children and adolescents. [21], [23], [24] For example,  developmentally adapted cognitive processing therapy,[23] an abbreviated eight-session version of TF-CBT, [24] and group-based CBT interventions were found to be equally effective, with notable reductions in depression and anxiety symptoms. [33] These illustrations suggest that adaptations to CBT can significantly reduce the severity of the symptoms (in cases of multiple trauma) and cost-effective alternatives to individualized treatments. Furthermore, the RCTs proved that culturally tailored approaches, such as acceptance and commitment therapy (ACT), also showed promise; however, their efficacy depended on the specific contextual and cultural needs of the population.[26]

When contrasted with standard care models, evidence-based interventions like TF-CBT and eye movement desensitization and reprocessing (EMDR) demonstrate superior efficacy, particularly in addressing PTSD and externalizing behaviors, even with multiple trauma exposures.[21], [29], [34] Nevertheless, studies suggest that enhanced treatment-as-usual (TAU) protocols, incorporating supplementary therapeutic modalities, can yield outcomes comparable to those of established interventions like TF-CBT, especially among children exposed to familial violence. [22] Furthermore, integrative interventions that combined education, cultural considerations, and family-based approaches demonstrated significant improvements in emotional regulation, interpersonal functioning, and overall resilience. [16], [17], [30], [35] The evidence consistently indicates that tailored interventions, which consider developmental stages and the severity of symptoms, outperform generalized treatments. This underlines the necessity for personalized approaches to optimize treatment outcomes.

Despite the promising results, significant gaps persist regarding the longitudinal efficacy and scalability of non-CBT interventions. Creative arts-based therapies and multimodal approaches have exhibited moderate effects, but further investigation is essential to validate their effectiveness in pediatric populations. [18] Additionally, the influence of caregiver engagement, cultural factors, and systemic barriers in low- and middle-income contexts warrants further scrutiny. Overall, this review emphasizes the critical importance of CBT and other evidence-based interventions in navigating systemic challenges faced by children and adolescents with ACEs, advocating for culturally sensitive, personalized, and scalable strategies to ensure equitable access and sustained mental health improvements into adulthood.

**3.5 Limitation**

This study presents several limitations that should be acknowledged. Notably, some pertinent research was excluded due to its absence in the databases queried or a lack of public accessibility. The rapid increase in publications concerning posttraumatic stress disorder in early developmental stages may have also led to the omission of relevant recent studies because of the predetermined search period cutoff. Additionally, this review prioritized a synthesis of the current evidence rather than undertaking a formal quality assessment of the included studies, which aligns with the standard methodology for scoping reviews. These factors must be considered when interpreting the findings and conclusions drawn from this research.

4. Conclusion

This research underscores trauma-focused cognitive behavioral therapy (TF-CBT) as the premier intervention for ameliorating mental health outcomes in children and adolescents exhibiting trauma-related symptoms. Randomized controlled trials indicate that numerous adaptations of CBT, alongside integrative models that incorporate psychoeducation, cultural factors, and family-centric approaches, effectively mitigate post-traumatic stress symptoms while fostering resilience. These findings highlight the adaptability and effectiveness of CBT-based interventions in confronting the multifaceted trauma-related issues faced by youth.

**DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)**

Author(s) hereby declares(s) that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc.) and text-to-image generators have been used during the writing or editing of this manuscript.

References

[1] “Adverse Childhood Experiences Prevention Resource for Action”.

[2] E. A. Swedo et al., “Adverse Childhood Experiences and Health Conditions and Risk Behaviors Among High School Students — Youth Risk Behavior Survey, United States, 2023,” MMWR Suppl., vol. 73, no. 4, pp. 39–50, Oct. 2024, doi: 10.15585/mmwr.su7304a5.

[3] E. A. Swedo et al., “Prevalence of Adverse Childhood Experiences Among Adolescents,” Pediatrics, vol. 154, no. 5, p. e2024066633, Nov. 2024, doi: 10.1542/peds.2024-066633.

[4] P. K. Raut, “Prevalence of Adverse Childhood Experiences and Mental Health Difficulties among Adolescents,” Oct. 28, 2024. doi: 10.31234/osf.io/kfudz.

[5] L. C. Houtepen, J. Heron, M. J. Suderman, A. Fraser, and L. D. Howe, “Association of adverse childhood experiences with educational attainment and adolescent health, and the role of socioeconomic factors: analysis of a prospective cohort study,” The Lancet, vol. 392, p. S43, Nov. 2018, doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(18)32067-1.

[6] N. Lam et al., “The association between adverse childhood experiences and mental health, behaviour, and educational performance in adolescence: A systematic scoping review,” PLOS Ment. Health, vol. 1, no. 5, p. e0000165, Oct. 2024, doi: 10.1371/journal.pmen.0000165.

[7] T. Abbott, “Adverse Childhood Experiences, Neuroadaptation, and Resilience: Does Trauma Focused Cognitive Behavioral Therapy Go Far Enough?,” 2019. [Online]. Available: https://digitalcommons.du.edu/capstone\_masters/364

[8] S. M, S. Prabakar, and U. M. Rao, “Cognitive Behavioural Therapy in Children and Adolescents,” Res. J. Pharm. Technol., pp. 1330–1336, Mar. 2022, doi: 10.52711/0974-360X.2022.00222.

[9] J. F. B. Thielemann, B. Kasparik, J. König, J. Unterhitzenberger, and R. Rosner, “Stability of Treatment Effects and Caregiver-Reported Outcomes: A Meta-Analysis of Trauma-Focused Cognitive Behavioral Therapy for Children and Adolescents,” Child Maltreat., vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 375–387, May 2024, doi: 10.1177/10775595231167383.

[10] L. Iqbal, S. Akbar, K. Shehzadi, and V. Singh, “Examining the Effectiveness of Cognitive-Behavioral Approaches in Reducing Anxiety among Adolescents and Improving their Mental Health,” Rev. Appl. Manag. Soc. Sci., vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 551–566, Nov. 2024, doi: 10.47067/ramss.v7i4.399.

[11] L. Giani, M. Caputi, B. Forresi, G. Michelini, and S. Scaini, “Evaluation of Cognitive-Behavioral Therapy Efficacy in the Treatment of Separation Anxiety Disorder in Childhood and Adolescence: a Systematic Review of Randomized Controlled Trials,” Int. J. Cogn. Ther., vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 57–80, Mar. 2022, doi: 10.1007/s41811-021-00129-3.

[12] C. Morgan, “Meeting Biopsychosocial Needs of Individuals with Histories of Multiple Adverse Childhood Experiences,” Master of Social Work, Louisiana State University and Agricultural and Mechanical College, 2016. doi: 10.31390/gradschool\_theses.1561.

[13] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, “Treating Children’s Mental Health with Therapy,” Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. [Online]. Available: https://www.cdc.gov/children-mental-health/treatment/index.html?form=MG0AV3

[14] J. F. B. Thielemann, B. Kasparik, J. König, J. Unterhitzenberger, and R. Rosner, “A systematic review and meta-analysis of trauma-focused cognitive behavioral therapy for children and adolescents,” Child Abuse Negl., vol. 134, p. 105899, Dec. 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.chiabu.2022.105899.

[15] L. N. Roberts and R. D. V. Nixon, “Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Stepped Care Psychological Prevention and Treatment Approaches for Posttraumatic Stress Disorder,” Behav. Ther., vol. 54, no. 3, pp. 476–495, May 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.beth.2022.11.005.

[16] N. P. Roberts, A. Lotzin, and I. Schäfer, “A systematic review and meta-analysis of psychological interventions for comorbid post-traumatic stress disorder and substance use disorder,” Eur. J. Psychotraumatology, vol. 13, no. 1, p. 2041831, Jul. 2022, doi: 10.1080/20008198.2022.2041831.

[17] E. Gkintoni, E. Kourkoutas, V. Yotsidi, P. D. Stavrou, and D. Prinianaki, “Clinical Efficacy of Psychotherapeutic Interventions for Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder in Children and Adolescents: A Systematic Review and Analysis,” Children, vol. 11, no. 5, p. 579, May 2024, doi: 10.3390/children11050579.

[18] L. Morison, L. Simonds, and S.-J. F. Stewart, “Effectiveness of creative arts-based interventions for treating children and adolescents exposed to traumatic events: a systematic review of the quantitative evidence and meta-analysis,” Arts Health, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 237–262, Sep. 2022, doi: 10.1080/17533015.2021.2009529.

[19] C. Smith et al., “Do psychological treatments for PTSD in children and young people reduce trauma-related appraisals? A systematic review and meta-analysis,” Behav. Res. Ther., vol. 182, p. 104621, Nov. 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.brat.2024.104621.

[20] A. R. Phillips et al., “Systematic review and meta-analysis: do best-evidenced trauma-focused interventions for children and young people with PTSD lead to changes in social and interpersonal domains?,” Eur. J. Psychotraumatology, vol. 15, no. 1, p. 2415267, Dec. 2024, doi: 10.1080/20008066.2024.2415267.

[21] T. H. Hoppen et al., “Psychological Interventions for Pediatric Posttraumatic Stress Disorder: A Systematic Review and Network Meta-Analysis,” JAMA Psychiatry, Dec. 2024, doi: 10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2024.3908.

[22] O. Hultmann, A. G. Broberg, and U. Axberg, “A randomized controlled study of trauma focused cognitive behavioural therapy compared to enhanced treatment as usual with patients in child mental health care traumatized from family violence,” Child. Youth Serv. Rev., vol. 144, p. 106716, Jan. 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.childyouth.2022.106716.

[23] E. Rimane, R. Steil, B. Renneberg, and R. Rosner, “Does attachment predict the outcome of cognitive processing therapy for posttraumatic stress disorder in adolescent patients with interpersonal traumatization?” Psychother. Res., vol. 32, no. 5, pp. 611–623, Jul. 2022, doi: 10.1080/10503307.2021.1977866.

[24] D. Kaminer et al., “Effectiveness of abbreviated trauma-focused cognitive behavioural therapy for South African adolescents: a randomized controlled trial,” Eur. J. Psychotraumatology, vol. 14, no. 1, p. 2181602, Dec. 2023, doi: 10.1080/20008066.2023.2181602.

[25] C. Guerra, E. P. Taylor, and V. Arredondo, “Effect of three group interventions on psychosocial functioning in adolescents exposed to interpersonal violence in Chile: A pilot clinical trial,” Child Abuse Negl., vol. 157, p. 107073, Nov. 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.chiabu.2024.107073.

[26] S. J. Ahmadi, A. Tavoli, Z. Musavi, and J. Dainer-Best, “Acceptance and commitment therapy versus trauma-focused cognitive behavior therapy: A comparative study of the effects on the posttraumatic stress symptoms of female Afghan adolescents.,” Am. Psychol., vol. 79, no. 9, pp. 1452–1459, Dec. 2024, doi: 10.1037/amp0001451.

[27] T. D. Becker, S. Castañeda Ramirez, A. Bruges Boude, A. Leong, I. Ivanov, and T. R. Rice, “Interventions for prevention and treatment of substance use in youth with traumatic childhood experiences: a systematic review and synthesis of the literature,” Eur. Child Adolesc. Psychiatry, vol. 33, no. 10, pp. 3419–3438, Oct. 2024, doi: 10.1007/s00787-023-02265-x.

[28] S. Protić et al., “Mediators of Outcome in Trauma-Focused Psychotherapy with Youth: A Systematic Review,” Trauma Violence Abuse, vol. 25, no. 4, pp. 2672–2688, Oct. 2024, doi: 10.1177/15248380231223264.

[29] L. M. Hoogsteder, L. Ten Thije, E. E. Schippers, and G. J. J. M. Stams, “A Meta-Analysis of the Effectiveness of EMDR and TF-CBT in Reducing Trauma Symptoms and Externalizing Behavior Problems in Adolescents,” Int. J. Offender Ther. Comp. Criminol., vol. 66, no. 6–7, pp. 735–757, May 2022, doi: 10.1177/0306624X211010290.

[30] J. F. B. Thielemann, B. Kasparik, J. König, J. Unterhitzenberger, and R. Rosner, “Stability of Treatment Effects and Caregiver-Reported Outcomes: A Meta-Analysis of Trauma-Focused Cognitive Behavioral Therapy for Children and Adolescents,” Child Maltreat., vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 375–387, May 2024, doi: 10.1177/10775595231167383.

[31] S. Arora and S. Satapathy, “Psychological Interventions for Post-traumatic Stress Disorder as a Primary Diagnosis Among Adolescents: A Meta-analysis,” Indian J. Psychol. Med., p. 02537176241255643, Jun. 2024, doi: 10.1177/02537176241255643.

[32] B. Schreyer, J. F. B. Thielemann, B. Kasparik, and R. Rosner, “Differences of TF-CBT treatment effects using various outcome measures: a meta-analysis,” Eur. J. Psychotraumatology, vol. 15, no. 1, p. 2406136, Dec. 2024, doi: 10.1080/20008066.2024.2406136.

[33] S. Xie et al., “The efficacy and acceptability of group trauma-focused cognitive behavior therapy for the treatment of post-traumatic stress disorder in children and adolescents: A systematic review and meta-analysis,” Gen. Hosp. Psychiatry, vol. 86, pp. 127–134, Jan. 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.genhosppsych.2023.11.012.

[34] T. H. Hoppen, R. Meiser-Stedman, T. K. Jensen, M. S. Birkeland, and N. Morina, “Efficacy of psychological interventions for post-traumatic stress disorder in children and adolescents exposed to single versus multiple traumas: meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials,” Br. J. Psychiatry, vol. 222, no. 5, pp. 196–203, May 2023, doi: 10.1192/bjp.2023.24.

[35] F. C. Thomas, S. Puente‐Duran, C. Mutschler, and C. M. Monson, “Trauma‐focused cognitive behavioral therapy for children and youth in low and middle‐income countries: A systematic review,” Child Adolesc. Ment. Health, vol. 27, no. 2, pp. 146–160, May 2022, doi: 10.1111/camh.12435.