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**PART 1: Comments**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Reviewer’s comment**  **Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.** | **Author’s Feedback** (It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | The present manuscript provides an insight into the Ayurvedic concept of Vatika Kasa, correlating it with the modern concept of allergic bronchitis. It outlines the classical clinical features, Nidana (aetiology), Samprapti (pathophysiology), and therapeutic principles in a structured manner. Its integrative approach is valuable for researchers and practitioners in Ayurveda and can contribute meaningfully to holistic respiratory care. | *Vatika Kasa* as a serious clinical illness that is predominantly caused by *Vata dosha* aggravation and manifests as a cough disorder.Understanding Vatika Kasa from both Ayurvedic and modern perspectives.It Provides a holistic approach to the management of chronic and dry cough conditions. |
| **Is the title of the article suitable?**  **(If not please suggest an alternative title)** | The title is broadly appropriate, though a minor refinement for clarity is suggested:  Suggested Title: *“Vatika Kasa (Allergic Bronchitis): A Critical Appraisal through Ayurvedic Principles”* | The title is suitable and it is broadly discussed from other authors. |
| **Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.** | The abstract covers key elements but suffers from repetition and grammatical issues. Avoid redundancies such as “cough disorder” and “chronic coughing.” Suggested additions: mention the diagnostic relevance and highlight integrative recommendations. Also, rephrase for clarity and flow. | repetition words are disease specific according to ayurveda. Grammatical issues were solved. |
| **Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.** | The manuscript is conceptually rich and academically structured. However, it needs major revision for consistency, grammar, and reference formatting. Some Ayurvedic interpretations are not supported with adequate textual references, and certain sections (especially "Discussion") mix general commentary with clinical interpretation without clear demarcation. | This manuscript is mainly based on ayurvedic samhitas and classical ayurveda. Most of the part is about a specific cough disorder known as vatika kasa. |
| **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.** | No references are cited in-text or listed in the reference section. This is a major shortcoming. Classical texts are mentioned, but no publication details or standard citations are provided. Modern clinical data and studies should be referenced to substantiate comparative claims. | Referencing is done in apa style in the original word document. |
| **Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?** | The manuscript requires language polishing for syntax, clarity, and grammar. There are frequent spelling and punctuation issues (e.g., “Sodhana Chikitsa -Purificatory Treatment” should be “Śodhana Chikitsā (Purificatory Treatment)”). Use consistent transliteration and avoid redundant phrases. | Spelling and punctuation issues was resolved in revised manuscript. |
| **Optional/General** comments | Use diacritical marks uniformly for Sanskrit terms.  Tables are informative, but should be formatted professionally and aligned properly.  “Contemporary Approach” and “Ayurvedic Management” sections must clearly differentiate textual evidence vs. current clinical applications.  Avoid textbook-style summarization. | All issues resolved in the revised article. |
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| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Reviewer’s comment** | **Author’s Feedback** (It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
| **Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?** |  | No any ethical issue. |