|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Journal Name: | [**Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International**](https://journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI) |
| Manuscript Number: | **Ms\_JPRI\_137019** |
| Title of the Manuscript: | **Design of Controlled release osmotic capsules for metformin/Glibenclamide** |
| Type of the Article | **Research Article** |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| PART 1: Comments | | |
|  | Reviewer’s comment **Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.** | **Author’s Feedback** (It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | **This article aims to target the improvement for treatment of people suffering from Diabetes, which is a world-wide concern. Rather than using multiple doses and dosage forms for effective treatment, the author provides a robust solution in the form a combination of drugs as an osmotic capsule which have a controlled release pattern and aims at treating the disease effectively. This article also presents for the first time a compatibility study using a non-destructive methodology with Raman spectrometer, which can be considered as the article’s novelty.** | We are agree with the comment and change the AIM of the manuscript |
| **Is the title of the article suitable?**  **(If not please suggest an alternative title)** | **Yes.**  **Or can be changed to**  ‘Design of Metformin with Glibenclamide Controlled release osmotic capsules’ | We are agree and change the title |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | **Yes**  **Would be better if the methodology part in the abstract would be explained in a complete sentence rather than pointers.** | Methodology was changed and put in a complete sentence. |
| Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here. | Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct with all the suitable evidences provided. | Thanks |
| **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.** | Yes, the references are sufficient. | Thanks |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Yes, the language quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communication. | Thanks |
| Optional/General comments | **Can include more keywords.**  **Check for the spellings.** | We add two keywords more. |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **PART 2:** | | |
|  | Reviewer’s comment | **Author’s Feedback** (It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
| **Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?** | *(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in detail)*  No |  |