|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Journal Name: | [**Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research**](https://journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR) |
| Manuscript Number: | **Ms\_JAMMR\_137021** |
| Title of the Manuscript: | **Oral Pathologies Related to Major Mental Disorders: An Integrative Review** |
| Type of the Article | **Original Research Article** |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| PART 1: Comments | | |
|  | Reviewer’s comment **Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.** | **Author’s Feedback** (It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | The manuscript is important as it demonstrates the association between systemic diseases and oral diseases in high-risk groups, such as individuals with mental disorders, as illustrated in this study. | We are grateful for your immense contribution in improving the writing of our article, based on your comments and suggestions. We are happy and honored to read your comment on the importance of the study for the field and to consider it for publication. |
| **Is the title of the article suitable?**  **(If not please suggest an alternative title)** | **Yes.** |  |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | **Yes.** |  |
| Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here. | The manuscript presents another important link between oral diseases and systemic conditions. The introduction is well-developed and provides a clear overview of the research problem. The methodology is clearly described, including well-defined inclusion and exclusion criteria. The results are presented in a coherent and comprehensible manner.  The **Discussion** section is typically where the authors interpret their findings, compare them with previous studies, and address the strengths and limitations of the research. In your Discussion, I did not observe sufficient interpretation or argumentation from your side; rather, the section mainly consists of comparisons with prior studies. It would strengthen the manuscript if you could provide more of your own interpretations or insights regarding the results.  Furthermore, strengths and limitations of a study are usually discussed in the **Discussion**, not in the Conclusion. I recommend moving this part from the Conclusion to the Discussion section.  In my opinion, the article is well-written, clearly structured, and presents a well-defined objective and results. I believe it is suitable for publication. | We are grateful for your immense contribution in improving the writing of our article, based on your comments and suggestions. We are happy and honored to read your comment on the importance of the study for the field and to consider it for publication. We are grateful for your considerations, based on your comments on the discussion, we reformulated the writing style, making it clearer that the text was a personal analysis of the authors, however, based on what we have in world literature, that is, with scientific foundation. We also included the study's limiting points in the discussion, removing them from the conclusions, as suggested. |
| **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.** | Yes. |  |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | As English is not my native language, I do not consider myself fully competent to assess that. | English is also not our native language, however, the entire text has been reviewed by an English language professional. |
| Optional/General comments | In my opinion, the article is suitable for publication with revisions. | We are grateful for your immense contribution in improving the writing of our article, based on your comments and suggestions. We are happy and honored to read your comment on the importance of the study for the field and to consider it for publication. |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **PART 2:** | | |
|  | **Reviewer’s comment** | **Author’s Feedback** (It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
| **Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?** | *(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)* |  |