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| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | This manuscript offers crucial insights into the hepatotoxic and Hepatoproetctive effects of Azadirachta indica (Neem) leaf extract and edible camphor, substances widely used in herbal and traditional remedies. Given the global rise in their consumption, this study's findings on biochemical and histopathological impacts are vital for assessing safety profiles and preventing adverse outcomes. The research contributes significantly to toxicology, pharmacology and ethno-medicine, potentially informing regulatory decisions and promoting safer usage. It also  paves the way for further studies on dose dependency and compound interactions. |  |
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