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|  | Reviewer’s comment **Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.** | **Author’s Feedback** (It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | This manuscript reports a rare and clinically important case of a huge prostatic sarcoma, and is probably the largest reported in India. Its diagnostic difficulties, aggressive course, and poor prognosis signal the need for early recognition and treatment. Serving as an important contribution to knowledge of a typical presentations of malignancies of the prostate, the case marks a continuing approach in raising clinical suspicion in similar cases in the future. | Thank you. |
| **Is the title of the article suitable?**  **(If not please suggest an alternative title)** | Yes, the title is suitable. It is informative and captures the diagnostic challenge and uniqueness of the case. No change required. | Thank you. |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | The abstract is largely comprehensive. However, it could benefit from specifically identifying the outcome (i.e., patient death due to comorbidities) in the conclusion for thoroughness. | Okay, for sure. |
| Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here. | Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct, and supported by the relevant imaging, clinical workup, histopathological workup and with references to the correct literature. | Thank you. |
| **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.** | The references are useful and include both classic and up‐to‐date literature. More recent systematic reviews or case studies on a global scale from the last 2–3 years may add to the context! | Due to rarity of the disease per say, very few publications are available and that too are case reports.  I will try to find more literature, if possible. |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | The manuscript has a few minor grammar issues that impact clarity. Most of the problems relate to missing articles (e.g., "the patient"), verb tense inconsistencies, comma splices, some phrases could be improved, and medical terms should be spelled out (at least the first time it is used). Minor edits like these will improve the manuscript's clarity and professionalism. | Okay thank you. I will do that. |
| Optional/General comments | **This case report highlights an unusual and clinically important case of a large prostatic sarcoma, and will add helpful information to the existing literature on sarcomas. The detailed history, clinical presentation, workup, and management challenges are well presented, and are an important reminder of the value of timely clinical awareness and diagnosis in atypically present prostatic masses. This case also serves a reminder of the necessity of increased clinical awareness, and also supports the need for subsequent research into the most effective treatments for rare genitourinary malignancies. Overall, the manuscript is educational and relevant to both urologists and oncologist.** | Thank you for the comment. |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **PART 2:** | | |
|  | Reviewer’s comment | **Author’s Feedback** (It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
| **Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?** | *(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in detail)* | None. |