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|  | **Reviewer’s comment**  **Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.** | **Author’s Feedback** (It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | I think that this manuscript presents a case of concurrent gastric and gallbladder metastases from non-small cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC), a combination that is extremely rare and under-reported. It emphasizes the diagnostic challenges these atypical metastases pose, especially in asymptomatic or minimally symptomatic patients. Although significant changes are needed, the case underscores the importance of comprehensive imaging and histopathological confirmation in advanced NSCLC with new gastrointestinal symptoms. If adequately revised, it could contribute meaningfully to the clinical literature by enhancing diagnostic awareness and supporting earlier detection of uncommon metastatic patterns. Value of the report depends on implementation of changes suggested in the comments which are primarily 1) Expanding literature review, 2)  proofreading, 3) justifications of why case is worthy of publication, 4) full complete case presentation, etc. | Included additional references for literature review. I also did some proofreading and provided justification as to why this case report is worthy of publication. Also provide the full case including other diagnostic tests done for the patient. |
| **Is the title of the article suitable?**  **(If not please suggest an alternative title)** | Yes | Will maintain the title but will add (NSCLC) |
| **Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.** | Good | Changed “background” to “aim” as per guidelines  Included discussion in the abstract part |
| **Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.** | Lots of changes needed. | Revised the manuscript to make it more comprehensive and scientifically correct |
| **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.** | No. Requires expansion | Provided additional references and change the references to APA format as prescribed |
| **Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?** | Yes mostly, except some minor redundancy, sentence fragments, incomplete paragraphs, and grammatical errors. | I did proofreading and corrected and revised the manuscript for some grammatical errors |
| **Optional/General** comments | I think this is an excellent case for publication due to its rarity, and clinical findings. However, it requires major changes that should be straightforward from comments. Thus, in order to improve the chances of publication, the manuscript requires expansion in all sections, including a more comprehensive introduction with relevant literature, a detailed and chronological case presentation, and a well-structured discussion that addresses pathogenesis, limitations, future directions, and specific clinical recommendations. | Thank you for the feedback. I did major changes in the manuscript and included more references for literature review. |
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