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| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Reviewer’s comment**   |  |  | | --- | --- | | **Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer** | | | **review.** |  | | **Author’s Feedback** (It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | This manuscript emphasizes the importance of studies for the scientific community. The emphasis is on new insights into teachers' pedagogical commitment and students' cognitive mastery in public elementary schools. The research method was conducted to identify the relationship between teachers' commitment in teaching practice and students' cognitive field ability. The findings can be part of the concept and literature and recommendations for further research Pedagogical development and strategies that need to be carried out will be the next recommendation and their impact on the academic ability of students. | We are grateful for your valuable comment. |
| **Is the title of the article suitable?**  **(If not please suggest an alternative title)** | The manuscript of "Pedagogical Commitment Of Teachers And Cognitive Mastery Of Students In Public Elementary Schools" is quite appropriate and describes 2 aspects of the study, namely about the pedagogical commitment of teachers and students' cognitive mastery, in the context of public elementary schools. | We are grateful for your valuable comment. |
| **Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.** | The abstract is quite comprehensive. However, there are several parts that need to be improved, namely:   1. The purpose of the research has explained the context, but it needs to be clarified with specific objectives about what will be achieved. 2. Methodology, it is necessary to provide an explanation of the research design, research population, data collection techniques and approaches. 3. Results: there is a summary of the results of the study. 4. Implications< it is necessary to add the practical implications of the research results and their relevance to education. | We have already revised the comment as suggested. |
| **Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.** | The manuscript is scientific and correct, but there are several aspects that need to be emphasized more on credibility, including:   1. The methodology is good enough, but it needs to explain about sample selection, data collection techniques, and data analysis. 2. References to be current and relevant. 3. Analyze the data, explain the statistics used and how the existing data is analyzed. 4. Discussion, compare the findings obtained with previous relevant research 5. In conclusion, summarize the findings obtained and clear recommendations for future research. | We have already revised the comment as suggested. |
| **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.** | The references are quite good, but some need to be updated to a better and more up-to-date standard in the last 5 years.  . | Thank you for your valuable comment. While we acknowledge the suggestion to include more recent references, we believe that the current selection, though it includes both recent and foundational sources, adequately supports the theoretical and empirical framework of the study. We carefully curated the references based on their relevance and contribution to the research topic. |
| **Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?** | Language is of good quality, but there are several parts that need to be improved to meet scientific communication:     1. The clarity and accuracy of the language in the manuscript is effective, but it is necessary to avoid sentences that are too long. 2. Scientific terminology is appropriate, but it is still necessary to explain some less familiar terms. 3. Grammar and spelling, there are still some errors in the text, both using proofreading. 4. Writing style, there are some relaxed languages so they need to be rearranged to look professional. | We have already revised the comment as suggested. |
| **Optional/General** comments | 1. The structure of the manuscript is good and there is a clear division in the introduction, methodology, results and discussion. 2. The context of the research in the background has been explained the importance. The use of the latest data and statistics can be added to make the context relevant and powerful. 3. It is necessary to include recommendations for further research.   1. Data visualization, it is necessary to include a table or graph of research results. | We have already revised the comment as suggested. |
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