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| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | This manuscript focuses on the difficulties associated with adolescent students’ career decision-making and mental health which is critical to the increased vulnerability to psychological and emotional distress of this age bracket.  The study brings out the need for integrated educational and psychological support systems to the adolescents making life choices specifically career decision making.  A focus on High school students undergoing developmental, and socio-emotional transformations provide valuable insights into the factors impacting on their emotional well-being. |  |
| **Is the title of the article suitable?**  **(If not please suggest an alternative title)** | **The title of the Article is suitable; Some suggestions have been made to make the title more focused on** the specific mental health aspects’ impact on third year students career decision making. | The article's title has been changed as suggested to: “The Impact of Career Decision-Making Difficulties on the Mental Health of Adolescents.” |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | **The abstract follows the conventional style however, it lacks some details on the methodology and results. The findings should come clearly.** | The changes requested by the reviewer were implemented in the abstract. The introduction (lines 1 to 5), objectives (lines 5 to 9), results and discussion (lines 14 to 21), and conclusion (lines 21 to 24) were rewritten to more clearly reflect the discussion developed in the text. In the methodology section (lines 10 to 14), the research approach was revised from a mixed-methods design to a qualitative approach. |
| Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here. | The manuscript follows scientific standards supported with credible sources of references and well grounded theoretical considerations of Erikson and Bandura. The literature is up-to-date from peer reviewed journals.  The author should work to strengthen the scientific rigor following critical analysis in both the literature and discussion sections.  The manuscript has a theoretical foundation and literature evidence. The study design and approach should be backed by the extensive literature. The focus on a "descriptive bibliographic study" and having a "mixed approach," can only be supported by scientific evidence. The author should highlight the different sections of the methodology to guide the reader and maintain the study focus. | The methodology was changed to a descriptive bibliographic review with a qualitative approach (line 1). The number of reviewed articles was added (lines 5 to 7). Additionally, the article's objectives were included (lines 29 to 36). |
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| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | The language used generally is clear and appropriate for scholarly writing. However, effort should be made to raise it to improve on clarity, accuracy, consistency, cohesion, and to avoid redundancy. There is need for structural refinement. | The article in its original language (Portuguese) adheres to academic rigor, using formal and scientific writing. However, due to the translation performed by ChatGPT 4.0, there may be distortions in terminology. We have attempted to improve the language. |
| Optional/General comments | This manuscript addresses a timely and socially relevant issue “the impact of career decision making difficulties on the mental health of adolescents” The topic is important for both the educational and psychological fields.  The manuscript highlights the connection between the adolescent development, emotional vulnerability, and the pressures of early career choices. The literature cited is generally current, credible, and appropriately used to support the discussion.  Nonetheless, some areas need improvement such as the methodology section which lacks key sub-sections as highlighted above. Whereas the discussion is rich in theoretical insights, it lacks cohesion. The language should be refined to meet scholarly standards.  The authors should improve methodology section, and the conclusions should directly connect to the objectives. The writing style should be refined to suit the academic standards. With these revisions, the manuscript has the potential to contribute meaningfully to discussions on adolescent-students mental health, career education and productive engagement. | The article's title has been changed as suggested to: “The Impact of Career Decision-Making Difficulties on the Mental Health of Adolescents.”The methodology was changed to a descriptive bibliographic review with a qualitative approach (line 1). The number of reviewed articles was added (lines 5 to 7). Additionally, the article's objectives were included (lines 29 to 36). The article in its original language (Portuguese) adheres to academic rigor, using formal and scientific writing. However, due to the translation performed by ChatGPT 4.0, there may be distortions in terminology. We have attempted to improve the language.  The article's conclusion was rewritten to meet the requests, including establishing a connection with the objectives and specifying the link between school and family. |
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