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|  | Reviewer’s comment **Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.** | | **Author’s Feedback** (It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community.**  **A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | * The study addresses **student retention**, a globally recognized challenge in higher education, making the findings contextually valuable, especially for **rural and underrepresented communities**. * By employing a **qualitative Interpretative Phenomenological Approach (IPA)**, it offers **rich, in-depth insights** into the lived experiences of students—a perspective often underrepresented in empirical education research. * The manuscript provides a **basis for institutional intervention**, making it **practically applicable** for policymakers and administrators in higher education institutions, especially within the Philippine or similar contexts. * Its **focus on indigenous and economically disadvantaged students** is highly relevant for equity-driven educational reforms. * The study is **limited in scale** (only 6 respondents), which **restricts generalizability**. While IPA justifies a small sample, this limitation should be more explicitly acknowledged in the discussion. * The importance could be emphasized further by **linking the findings to broader policy frameworks** like CHED guidelines or SDG 4 (Quality Education). | | Okay |
| **Is the title of the article suitable?**  **(If not please suggest an alternative title)** | * The title clearly reflects the core focus: student retention challenges and institutional interventions. * It is informative and includes both the population (students of Apayao State College) and the purpose. * Consider a slight refinement for clarity and flow:   **“Understanding Retention Challenges at Apayao State College: Toward Institutional Interventions”**   * This version maintains the original intent but flows more smoothly in scholarly discourse. | | Noted |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section?Please write your suggestions here. | * The abstract presents the **research background, methodology (IPA), population, and key themes** clearly. * It **identifies specific motivations, challenges, and factors** leading to shifting/transferring. * Include the **number of participants** earlier in the abstract. * Clarify the **contribution to institutional intervention**—state what kind of intervention or what areas need reform. * Consider tightening sentences for **better academic tone and conciseness**, e.g., “A interview guide…” → “An interview guide…”. | |  |
| Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here. | * The manuscript presents a **clear research design**, with appropriate use of IPA for exploring lived experiences. * The study effectively **links findings to theoretical and empirical literature**, including references to Super, Savickas, and Tinto. * There is **some redundancy in the methodology section**, particularly under “Research Instrumentation” and “Data Gathering Procedure.” * The study could benefit from a **more explicit discussion of limitations and reflexivity**, which are essential in qualitative research. * Findings are comprehensive, but **direct participant quotes could be more systematically integrated** to enhance analytical depth. | | Done |
| **Are the references sufficient and recent?**  **If you have suggestions of additional references,**  **please mention them in the review form.** | * References are **diverse and well-integrated**, with sources ranging from classic theories (Tinto, Super) to **recent studies** (2020–2022). * Contextual relevance is strong, especially with **local (Philippine) sources and regional comparisons** (e.g., ASEAN, CHED). * Add more **recent empirical studies (post-2022)** on student retention, especially those that apply IPA or similar qualitative methods. * Ensure all references are **consistently formatted** and **accessible**, particularly for international readership. | | Done as suggested |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | * Overall, the manuscript demonstrates **competent academic English**, especially in theoretical discussion and findings interpretation. * The **abstract and introduction are clear**, with logical flow. * Proofreading is needed for minor grammatical and typographical errors:   + “A interview guide” → “An interview guide”   + “may enrolled” → “may have enrolled”   + Occasional use of **informal phrases or awkward constructions** should be improved. * Inconsistent capitalization in section headers (e.g., “3. methodology”) should be corrected to follow **academic formatting standards**. | | Done as suggested |
| Optional/General comments |  Consider expanding the **conclusion** to include:   * Specific **institutional interventions recommended**, based on the findings. * A brief mention of the **study’s limitations** and **suggestions for future research**. * The inclusion of **verbatim quotes** from respondents is a strength. These could be made **more consistent and analytical** by explicitly tying them back to emergent themes. * A table summarizing **themes and supporting quotes** could enhance readability and presentation. | |  |
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