|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Journal Name: | [**Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies**](https://journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS) |
| Manuscript Number: | **Ms\_AJESS\_137365** |
| Title of the Manuscript: | **Challenges of Rural Youth to Pursue Higher Education: A Study in Kuchimpudi Village of Eluru District, Andhra Pradesh** |
| Type of the Article | **Original Research Article** |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| PART 1: Comments | | |
|  | Reviewer’s comment **Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.** | **Author’s Feedback** (It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | The article emphasizes the significance of issues and challenges of higher education in rural areas, causes and effects on rural youth and the status of higher educational institutions Kuchimpudi village, Andhra Pradesh. It emphasizes the role of government and NGOs in ensuring successful completion of higher education by the rural youth. | Thank you for mentioned sentences which are stating an importance of the study. |
| **Is the title of the article suitable?**  **(If not please suggest an alternative title)** | Yes | Thank you for considering title is suitable for an article. |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | The abstract has to be reorganized. It should start with an open statement followed with a research argument and the gap, methodology, study results and implications | We appreciate your efforts for review an article. The abstract reorganised accordingly and we hope that it reflects better now. |
| Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here. | The manuscript contains discrepancies, as there is a mismatch between the research gap identified by the researcher, study objectives, methodology results and the conclusion drawn.  Also, the format followed by the researcher particularly in sections such as introduction, review of literature, results are appears that of a dissertation/thesis rather than a journal article. Importantly the results lacks a discussion component, which is essential for interpreting the findings. | The research gap is modified. The objectives, methodology and results are presented accordingly. Added discussion part to the research findings with the findings of the other researchers |
| **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.** | Yes | Thank you so much for your consideration. |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Yes | Thank you for the positive comments |
| Optional/General comments | The author may be asked to revise and resubmit the manuscript. | Revised and resubmitted for the review. |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **PART 2:** | | |
|  | Reviewer’s comment | **Author’s Feedback** (It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
| **Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?** | *(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in detail)* | Thanks |