|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Name: | [**Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology**](https://journaljabb.com/index.php/JABB) |
| Manuscript Number: | **Ms\_JABB\_135981** |
| Title of the Manuscript: | **REGREENING THE DESERT: A STEP TOWARDS GLOBAL FOOD SECURITY** |
| Type of the Article | **Review Article** |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| PART 1: Review Comments | | |
| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer’s comment | Author’s Feedback *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | **It is important for the scientific community. Regreening the desert is the urgent need of future sustainable agriculture and food security. The present review on regreening the desert for sustainable agriculture is one of the key application of sustainability.** |  |
| **Is the title of the article suitable?**  **(If not please suggest an alternative title)** | **Yes. It is appropriate to the study topic.** |  |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | **Yes. It is appropriate for the research study.** |  |
| **Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?** | **Yes. Subsections are appropriate.** |  |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | **It is following scientific style of writing for research study. This review study is the revival approach to attain sustainable agriculture.** |  |
| **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.** | **Yes. The references are appropriate, But updated references till year will be welcomed.** |  |
| Minor REVISION commentsIs the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | **Not yet.**  **Yes, The English language quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications** |  |
| Optional/General comments | **This review study is recommended for acceptance.** |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **PART 2:** | | |
|  | Reviewer’s comment | Author’s comment *(if agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?** |  |  |

**Reviewer details:**

**Gyan Prakash Morya, Vital Biotech Research & Training Institute, India**