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| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | The abstract is not comprehensive. I suggest the author mentions the methods used. Also, summarizing the numerical data in abstract will improve the understanding of the reader. |  |
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