# **Original Research Article**

# Response of maize yield to varying plant densities in paired row configuration under drip irrigation

#### **ABSTRACT**

A field experiment was conducted at the Agricultural Research Station during the *Kharif* and *Rabi* seasons of 2014–15 to evaluate the effect of increasing plant population on the productivity of maize under a paired row system, using both drip and conventional methods of irrigation. The experiment was laid out in a split-split plot design with three replications. The treatments consisted of two main plots: (i) Drip irrigation and (ii) Conventional irrigation. The sub-plots included two maize hybrids: P3501 and DHM117. The sub-sub plots comprised six planting densities 100:50×10cm (1,33,333 plants/ha), 100:50×15cm (88,890 plants/ha),100:50×20cm (66,668 plants/ha), 90:60×10cm (1,33,333 plants/ha). Overall, the results indicated that among the plant density treatments, the maize yield was significantly higher in both paired row configurations of 100:50×15cm and 90:60×15cm, with a plant population of 88,890 plants per hectare.

[M1]: Abstract should begin with a sentence regarding the importance and necessity of the research

Keywords: Density, paired row, drip, maize, planting density, yield, conventional

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Maize (*Zea mays* L.) is the main food grain crop in India and ranks as the third most important cereal crop globally after wheat and rice. It is increasingly gaining popularity in India due to rising market prices and the high production potential of hybrids under both irrigated and rainfed conditions. In India, approximately 50–55% of total maize production is consumed as food, 30–35% is used in the poultry, piggery, and fish meal industries, and 10–12% is directed to the wet milling industry (Arun Kumar et al., 2007). Maize is considered the most efficient coarse cereal in terms of utilizing radiant energy and has the highest potential for carbohydrate generation per day compared to other cereals. Given the limited scope for expanding the area under maize cultivation due to competition from other cereals and commercial crops, improving productivity through better management practices is the most viable alternative. One of the key constraints to higher maize yields in many regions is inadequate irrigation and low plant population.

Drip irrigation has emerged as a crucial technique in water-scarce areas, maintaining optimal soil moisture levels. Alongside irrigation, plant population density plays a critical role in enhancing crop productivity. It is well established that higher yields depend on achieving optimal plant population and providing adequate nutrient application, especially nitrogen. Moreover, appropriate crop geometry is essential for efficient interception of sunlight for photosynthesis and for maximizing nutrient and moisture utilization within the crop's root zone (Niveditha and Nagavani, 2015). In crops like cotton, for instance, yields have improved with wider row spacing and increased plant population.

التعليق [M2]: Maize (Zea mays L.) is the main food grain crop in India and ranks as the third most important cereal crop globally after wheat and rice. It is increasingly gaining popularity in India due to rising market prices and the high production potential of hybrids under both irrigated and rainfed conditions, most studies have confirmed that the maize crop amount consumed is approximately, 50-55% of total maize production is consumed as food, 30-35% is used in the poultry, piggery, and fish meal industries, and 10-12% is directed to the wet milling industry (Arun Kumar et al., 2007; Alaamer et al.,2023)

Alaamer.S.A, S.K.Alsharifi., and A.H.Khalil, 2023. Sustainable use of subsurface drip irrigation system for corn growth and productivity. AIP Conference Proceedings 2776; 040015; https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0135994

[M3]: (Alsharifi et al.,2021)

Alsharifi S.K.A, N.Shtewy, S.A.Alaamer, 2021. Affecting mechanical on some growth properties for corn, MAHA cultivar. IOP Conf. Seri.: Earth Environ. Sci. 735(1): 012009. http://doi. 10.1088/1755-1315/735/1/012009

Planting geometry is a key agronomic practice that significantly influences maize productivity (Jiang et al., 2013). Maize, due to its C4 photosynthetic pathway, exhibits a high level of flexibility to changes in row spacing and nutrient management. It is exceptionally efficient in converting solar energy into dry matter (Anjanagouda et al., 2018). Therefore, to evaluate the impact of increasing plant population on the productivity of maize, the present experiment has been planned.

#### 2. METHOD AND MATERIALS

A field experiment was conducted during two seasons kharif & Rabi of 2014-15 at Agricultural Research station, Karimnagar, The soils are red sandy loam, medium in organic carbon (0.65 %), available N (613.8 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), available P (62.84 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and available K (436 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) during two years. This experiment is laidout in split spit plot design. The treatments comprised of two main plot one is method of irrigation (Drip irrigation), second one is Conventional irrigation and sub plot consists of maize hybrids P3501 & DHM117and sub- sub plots consists six planting densities (100:50X10cm (1,33,333plants/ha), 100:50X15cm (88,890plants/ha), 100:50X20cm(66,668plants/ha), 90:60X10cm (1,33,333plants/ha), 90:60X15cm 90:60X20cm (66,668 plants/ha). The irrigations and weed (88,890 plants/ha), control measures were adopted in crop according to need of crop from time to time. Intercultural operations were also done twice in between 25 to 30 DAS and followed by earthing up. Biometric observations such as plant height, cobs per plot, length of cobs, grains rows per cob, number of grains per row of cob, test weight, grain and Stover yield were recorded after harvesting of crop.

### List 1- The details of planting densities:

100: 50 X 10cm	100cm in between two pairs, 50cm between rows in the pair &
	10cm between the plants within the row
100: 50 X 15cm	100cm in between two pairs, 50cm between rows in the pair &
	15cm between the plants within the row
100: 50 X 20cm	100cm in between two pairs, 50cm between rows in the pair &
	20cm between the plants within the row
90:60 X10cm	90cm in between two pairs, 60cm between rows in the pair &
	10cm between the plants within the row
90:60 X 15cm	90cm in between two pairs, 60cm between rows in the pair &
	15cm between the plants within the row
90:60 X 20cm	90cm in between two pairs, 60cm between rows in the pair &
	20cm between the plants within the row

#### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results indicated that among the two hybrids tested for plant density under paired row method, the grain yield of DHM 117 hybrid recorded significantly higher (8881 & 7540 kg/ha respectively in drip & conventional method) than compared P3501 (7615 & 6826 kg/ha respectively in drip & conventional method). Among the plant density treatments, the maize yield was significantly higher in both paired rows of 100:50X15 (8597kg/ha) & 90:60X15 (8781 kg/ha) with plant population of (88,890 plants/ha). The yield attributes of cob length and 1000 grain weight contributed to the higher yield in those treatments in maize growing either drip or conventional method. During rabi season also observed that the significantly highest yield with population of 88,890 plants/ha in irrespective of paired row method (100:50X15 & 90:60X15) 9634 kg/ha, 9443kg/ha respectively. The same trend was observed interms of yield attributes. Therefore, it can be stated that assimilated material share, distributed into each ear maize, become less in high density because of increasing competition between crucibles and resource constraints (Duncan, 1984). The main reasons related to kernel weight in lower density levels result from an increased photosynthetic capability of plants, due to less shading characteristic and increased

[**M4]:** Kg.ha<sup>-1</sup>

التعليق [M5]: Please provide a more detailed explanation, including reasons for achieving excellent results.

?? **:[M6] ا**لتعليق

light absorption (Shakarami, 2009). The results agree with the findings of some researchers (Damavandi and Latifi, 1999).

Table 1: Effect of increasing plant density in paired row method under drip on maize yield during *Kharif* 2014-15

maize yield during <i>Kharif</i> 2014-15												
Grain yield (kg/ha)	Cob yield (kg/ha)	Cob length (cm)	Cob girth (cm)	No. of Kernel rows	No. of kernels/ row	1000 grain weight (g)						
Method of irrigation												
8748	11222	15.3	14.2	14	35.7	269						
7283	10320	13.2	13.8	14	32.1	243						
1210	1434	1.4	NS	NS	NS	22.6						
8881	12162	16.1	14.2	14	36.9	280						
7615	10283	16.3	13.9	14	33.1	262						
810	875	NS	NS	NS	3.2	15.1						
y				<u> </u>								
7057	8639	12.5	13.6	14	35.3	257						
8597	11119	15.8	14.1	14	36.4	269						
6975	8433	16.1	14.4	14	36.3	278						
6998	9174	12.9	14.2	14	34.9	247						
8781	12459	16.6	14.5	14	35.8	287						
7109	9513	16.7	14.6	14	36.5	277						
1403	1515	1.5	NS	NS	NS	25.7						
NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS						
	Grain yield (kg/ha) ation 8748 7283 1210 8881 7615 810 y 7057 8597 6975 6998 8781 7109 1403	Grain yield (kg/ha)  ation  8748	Grain yield (kg/ha)         Cob yield (kg/ha)         Cob length (cm)           8748         11222         15.3           7283         10320         13.2           1210         1434         1.4           8881         12162         16.1           7615         10283         16.3           810         875         NS           7057         8639         12.5           8597         11119         15.8           6975         8433         16.1           6998         9174         12.9           8781         12459         16.6           7109         9513         16.7           1403         1515         1.5	Grain yield (kg/ha)         Cob yield (kg/ha)         Cob length (cm)         Cob girth (cm)           8748         11222         15.3         14.2           7283         10320         13.2         13.8           1210         1434         1.4         NS           8881         12162         16.1         14.2           7615         10283         16.3         13.9           810         875         NS         NS           7057         8639         12.5         13.6           8597         11119         15.8         14.1           6975         8433         16.1         14.4           6998         9174         12.9         14.2           8781         12459         16.6         14.5           7109         9513         16.7         14.6           1403         1515         1.5         NS	Grain yield (kg/ha)         Cob yield (kg/ha)         Cob length (cm)         Cob girth (cm)         No. of Kernel rows           8748         11222         15.3         14.2         14           7283         10320         13.2         13.8         14           1210         1434         1.4         NS         NS           8881         12162         16.1         14.2         14           7615         10283         16.3         13.9         14           810         875         NS         NS         NS           7057         8639         12.5         13.6         14           8597         11119         15.8         14.1         14           6975         8433         16.1         14.4         14           6998         9174         12.9         14.2         14           8781         12459         16.6         14.5         14           7109         9513         16.7         14.6         14           1403         1515         1.5         NS         NS	Grain yield (kg/ha)         Cob yield (kg/ha)         Cob length (cm)         Cob girth (cm)         No. of Kernel rows         No. of kernels/row           8748         11222         15.3         14.2         14         35.7           7283         10320         13.2         13.8         14         32.1           1210         1434         1.4         NS         NS         NS           8881         12162         16.1         14.2         14         36.9           7615         10283         16.3         13.9         14         33.1           810         875         NS         NS         NS         3.2           7057         8639         12.5         13.6         14         35.3           8597         11119         15.8         14.1         14         36.4           6975         8433         16.1         14.4         14         36.3           6998         9174         12.9         14.2         14         34.9           8781         12459         16.6         14.5         14         35.8           7109         9513         16.7         14.6         14         36.5           1403						

Table 2: Effect of increasing plant density in paired row method under drip on maize yield during *Rabi* 2014-15

Treatment	Grain yield (kg/ha)	Cob yield (kg/ha)	Cob length (cm)	Cob girth (cm)	No. of Kernel rows	No. of kernels/ row	1000 grain weight (g)
Method of irrigation	n						
Drip	8554	10650	16.9	15.4	16	39.8	298
Conventional	7153	9236	14.9	14.3	16	38.4	272
CD (0.05)	1180	1210	1.6	NS	NS	NS	23.6
Hybrids							
DHM117	9635	11958	17.4	16.2	16	39.1	292
P3501	8742	10943	16.4	15	16	38.1	275
CD (0.05)	NS	NS	NS	0.17	NS	NS	NS
Planting density					l .		
100X50X10 (1,33,333 pl/ha)	6882	8940	15.3	15.3	14	34.1	273
100X50X15 (88,890 pl/ha)	9634	12907	17.9	15.6	16	41.2	281
100X50X20 (66,667 pl/ha)	8024	10119	17.5	15.7	16	39.2	283
90X60X10 (1,33,333 pl/ha)	6532	838	15.8	14.9	14	36.1	277
90X60X15 (88,890 pl/ha)	9443	12098	17.6	16.0	16	40.7	286
90X60X20 (66,667 pl/ha)	7943	9855	17.4	15.9	16	40.1	289
CD (0.05)	1565	1751	1.4	NS	NS	4.2	NS
Interaction					•	•	
CD (0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

## 4. CONCLUSIONS

Results showed that the among the plant density treatments, the maize yield was significantly higher in both paired rows of 100:50X15 & 90:60X15 with plant population of (88,890 plants/ha). The yield attributes of cob length and 1000 grain weight contributed to the higher yield in those treatments in maize growing either drip or conventional method. In *Rabi* also observed that the significantly highest yield with population of 88,890 plants/ha in irrespective of paired row method.

### **DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)**

Author(s) hereby declare that no generative AI technologies such as large language models (chatgpt, copilot, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of this manuscript.

#### **REFERENCES**

- Anjanagouda, M., Sannagoudar, S. & Kalyana Murthy, K. N. 2018. Growth and Yield of Maize (Zea mays L.) as Influenced by Planting Geometry and Nutrient Management in Maize Based Intercropping. Mysore Journal of Agricultural Science, 52 (2), 278-284.
- Arun kumar, M. A., Gali, S. K. &Hebsur, S., 2007. Effect of different levels of NPK on growth and yield parameters of sweet corn. Karnataka Journal of Agricultural. Science, 20 (1), 41 43.
- Damavandi, A. & Latifi, N. 1999. Effect of row spacing and plant density on yield and yield components of two cultivars of maize. Journal of Agricultural Science and Natural Resources, 6(4), 25-32.
- Duncan, W.G. 1984. A theory to explain the relationship between corn population and grain yield. Journal of Crop Science, 24:1141-1145.
- Jiang, W., Wang, K., W.U., Q., Dong, S., Liu, P. & Zhang, J. 2013. Effects of narrow plant spacing on root distribution and physiological nitrogen use efficiency in summer maize. The Crop Journal, 1 (1), 77 83.

Niveditha, M. & Nagavani, A.V. 2015. Irrigation management and crop geometry in hybrid maize under various irrigation methods. International Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 11(2), 316-324.

Shakarami, G. & Rafiee, M. 2009. Response of corn (Zea mays L.) to planting pattern and density in Iran. American-Eurasian Journal of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences. 5(1), 69-73.

التعليق [M7]: References citations and use in the article text MUST be in Chronological order
The References list must be in Alphabetical order.
Cross-Check the References...
There should be NO capital letters inside the Title of the article in the Reference.
All the names must be in italics, please.