**Effect of Direct Seeded Rice (DSR) on Different Rice Cultivars in the Foothills of Siruvani**

**ABSTRACT**

Direct-seeded rice is a sustainable rice cultivation method that eliminates the need for traditional transplanting, resulting in lower labor and water requirements . Rice cultivar Var. Bhavani (medium duration) has been a widely cultivated variety in the Coimbatore region for decades due to its endurance and yield. The study was designed to replace the dominant variety in the local environment and compare the performance of cultivars to the exsiting check variety in a direct-seeded rice ecosystem. A field experiment was conducted during the *Kharif* season of 2024 at the South Farm of the Karunya Institute of Technology and Sciences, Division of Agronomy, Coimbatore. The experiment was laid out in a randomized block design and replicated thrice with fifteen rice cultivars. The treatments consisted of 15 rice cultivars: Check variety Bhavani (T1), TV 472 (T2), CR 1009 sub 1 (T3), Improved White Ponni (T4), TRY 3 (T5), TKM 13 (T6), CO 52 (T7), CO 56 (T8), CO 57 (T9), KaruppuKavuni (T10), ADT 54 (T11), ADT 52 (T12), KKLR 2 (T13), BPT 5204 (T14), and Paiyur 1 (T15). Periodical observations on growth and yield attributes of different rice cultivars at various phenological stages, which were examined using analysis of variance. The results showed that the Check variety Bhavani (T1) produced a significantly higher number of tillers (23.10), number of productive tillers (17.03), grain yield (4582 kg ha⁻¹), straw yield,which were statistically on par with rice varieties TKM 13 and CR 1009 Sub 1 and under evaluation, implying that these varieties could be a suitable substitute for Bhavani in the study area (Foot hills of Siruvani).
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**1. INTRODUCTION**

Rice is the main staple food for about half of the world's population, feeding over 3.5 billion people and providing an essential source of daily calorie intake throughout Asia (Mohidem*et al.,* 2022). In addition, rice is a key source of zinc and other vital micronutrients for millions across Asia, given its frequent consumption in comparison to other cereals. China produced approximately 146 million metric tons of milled rice in the crop year 2022 - 2023, more than any other country. India stood in second place with more than 135 million metric tons of milled rice that year (Statista, 2025). Puddled transplanted rice (PTR) and direct-seeded rice (DSR) are two traditional Asian rice cultivation methods. Although effective, the transplanted rice system requires additional inputs. Water sustainability is a concern, as it contributes to methane emissions and non-renewable energy consumption. (Nayak *et al.,* 2021). DSR technology enhances water use efficiency and reduceslabor demands by over 83% compared to traditional techniques. (Sandhu *et al.,* 2021).

DSR is considered one of the most efficient, sustainable, and economical rice production systems used today (Sharda *et al.,* 2017). DSR has been utilized in India for many years and has experienced substantial growth in recent decades. Short and medium duration rice varieties are preferred for DSR in upland and medium land (Kumar *et al.,* 2024). DSR includes directly placing seeds into the main field, making it a viable alternative to PTR. Dry-DSR (DDSR), wet-DSR (WDSR), and water seeding are the three primary ways of DSR cultivation. DDSR involves sowing seeds in fields with ideal moisture conditions, which promotes better germination before the monsoon arrives, resulting in timely establishment and increased yield (Chaudhary *et al.,* 2023). WDSR primarily entails planting sprouted seeds on puddled beds with a drum seeder, offering benefits such as reduced labor costs and drudgery while ensuring timely and improved crop establishment, and it requires a meticulously leveled field and efficient weed management for successful implementation (Jat *et al.,* 2022). When compared to standard paddy transplantation procedures, WDSR saved 18.0%-19.5% of the water (Kumar *et al.,* 2022).

To attain food security while prioritizing sustainability, traditional PTR farming should be replaced with a more environmentally friendly technique, such as DSR. However, the widespread implementation of DSR necessitates a holistic approach that incorporates genetics, agronomic methods, and other strategies to successfully utilize its environmental benefits.

**2. MATERIALS AND METHOD**

**2.1 Experimental Details**

A field experiment was carried out at Karunya Institute of Technology and Sciences,Instructional Farm (South) in Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India, during *Kharif* season of 2024. The trial included fifteen promising rice cultivars and . The weather data was collected from the agro-meteorological unit,and soil data from the soil science unit of Karunya Institute of Technology and Sciences. The soil of the experimental site had a clay loam texture with a pH of 7.34, EC of 0.13 dS m⁻¹, medium organic carbon content (7.2g kg-1), low available Nitrogen content (193 kg ha⁻¹), medium available phosphorus (16.3kg ha⁻¹) and high available potassium (403 kg ha⁻¹). During the cropping season, the crop exposed for 5.4 sunshine hours with a daily average maximum temperature was 30.2°C, the average minimum temperature was 20.5°C and the average daily evaporation rate was 5.1 mm per day. Treatments of various cultivars were chosen for direct-seeded rice production to study their effects on rice growth and yield. The treatments were set up in a randomized complete block design with three replications. The treatment includes Bhavani, TV 472, CR 1009 sub 1, Improved White Ponni, TRY 3, TKM 13, CO 52, CO 56, CO 57, KaruppuKavuni, ADT 54. ADT 52, KKLR 2, BPT 5204 and Paiyur 1.

Three days before direct sowing, the field was prepared by puddling twice with a cage-wheel tractor. Each plot (5 x 4 m) was separated from surrounding plots by 1 m wide waterways and buffer bunds (30 cm wide) to prevent water transfer from one plot to another. The recommended dose of fertilizers (150:50:50 N:P:K) was applied to all treatments in the research area. Irrigation was initiated when the soil reached field capacity. The irrigation was done with a 7-10 day gap on water availability. When weeds exceeded the threshold level, manual weeding was performed as needed. Weeding was done once every 10 days to remove weed growth from the plots. Weeds were removed from the irrigation and buffer channels by hand.

**Table 1. Treatment details of the experiment**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Treatments** |
| T1 | Check variety – Bhavani |
| T2 | TV 472 |
| T3 | CR 1009 sub 1 |
| T4 | Improved White Ponni |
| T5 | TRY 3 |
| T6 | TKM 13 |
| T7 | CO 52 |
| T8 | CO 56 |
| T9 | CO 57 |
| T10 | KaruppuKavuni |
| T11 | ADT 54 |
| T12 | ADT 52 |
| T13 | KKLR 2 |
| T14 | BPT 5204 |
| T15 | Paiyur 1 |

**2.2 Biometric observations**

The growth parameters plant height and the number of tillers were measured at four distinct stages: Active tillering, Panicle initiation, Heading, and Harvest. The yield characteristics, such as the number of productive tillers, grain yield, and straw yield, were observed. Non-destructive observations were taken from five randomly selected tagged plants in each plot.

**2.3 Statistical Analysis**

The observed data were statistically analysed for significance using analysis of variance (ANOVA) as per randomized complete block design.

**3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**3.1 Growth Parameter**

**3.1.1 Plant height (cm)**

Plants' growth can be visually examined by measuring their height, which acts as an indicator. Plant height measurements were taken at several stages, including Active tillering, Panicle initiation, Heading, and Harvest. The average plant height data collected at various phases are shown in (Table 2). Overall, plant height increased rapidly between the Active tillering and Heading stages across all types. However, a minor decrease in plant height was noted during the maturity harvest stages.

Throughout the observation stages, Karuppukavuni had a higher plant height than all other cultivars. It measured 54.80 cm at active tillering, 92.60 cm at panicle initiation, 152.60 cm during heading, and 149.20 cm during harvest, followed by KKLR 2 and Paiyur 1. CO 57, on the other hand, consistently had the lowest plant height at all observation stages, measuring 30.80 cm at Active tillering, 62.80 cm at Panicle initiation, 85.20 cm at Heading, and 82.80 cm at Harvest. According to research, the height of a rice plant can vary greatly depending on genetics and environmental circumstances, such as plant density and water or nutrient management. Plant height showed a highly significant negative connection, showing that taller plants produce lower yields, lighter grains, and fewer grains per panicle. Taller plants might use more energy in vertical development at the expense of reproductive production, as reported by (Naeem *et al.,*2024).

**3.1.2 Number of tillers hill-1**

Data on the number of tillers per hill were collected during the crop growth stages of active tillering, panicle initiation, heading, and harvest. The number of tillers per hill increased until the heading stage and then decreased. The DSR technique of cultivation resulted in a substantial variation in the number of tillers throughout the study, as shown in the (Table 2). At each stage of observation, the check variety Bhavani produced the highest number of tillers per hillof 17.10 at the active tillering stage, 20.50 at the Panicle initiation stage, 24.10 at the Heading stage, and 23.10 at Harvest, which was statistically on par with TKM 13, CR 1009 Sub 1, and followed by KKLR 2. The cultivar ADT 52 recorded a lower number of tillers per hill of 10.00 at Active tillering, 12.20 at Panicle initiation, 15.80 at Heading, and 14.60 at Harvest. This was statistically identical to TRY 3. The DSR method as a distribution had the best effect on the total number of tillers and fertile tillers as reported by (Akhgari*et al.,*2011).

**3.2 Yield attributes**

**3.2.1 Productive tillers (hill-1)**

(Table 3) contains the recorded data of productive tillers per hill, which contribute to the overall yield. The number of productive tillers differed significantly among rice varieties utilizing the DSR approach. These variations emphasize the effect of variety selection on the number of productive tillers and on the potential yield using the DSR approach. Check variety Bhavani produced the highest number of productive tillers per hill (17.03), which was parallel to TKM 13. In comparison to the other rice varieties investigated under DSR, ADT 52 produced the lowest number of productive tillers per hill (10.48).Tiller number is an important component in crop productivity; maximizing early tiller productivity rather than just increasing tiller numbers is more successful in increasing output. This strategy could result in more effective resource utilization and better grain yields. According to (Kalaitzidis *et al.,*2025).

**3.2.2 Grain Yield (kg ha-1)**

Grain yields differed significantly between rice varieties evaluated using the DSR technique (Table 3). A comprehensive look at the rice grain yield showed that check variety Bhavani produced a maximum grain yield of (4582 kg ha⁻¹).This was on par with TKM 13 and CR 1009 Sub 1, which are the other best-performing varieties (4345 to 4297 kg ha⁻¹), which had comparable grain production. The cultivar KaruppuKavuni produced a minimum grain yield of (1070 kg ha⁻¹), which was statistically comparable to ADT 52 and TRY 3.The highest growth and yield-contributing features, accompanied by higher photosynthates, led to improved source-to-sink conversion, resulting in better grain and straw yields. The results were closely similar to those of (Netam*et al.,*2016).

**3.2.3 Straw yield (kg ha-1)**

There was a significant influence of straw yield on different rice cultivars evaluated under DSR. Traditional variety KaruppuKavuni recorded significantly higher straw yield of (8522 kg ha-1) followed by Check variety Bhavani and TKM13 of (7029 kg ha-1 and 7015 kg ha-1). A significantly lower straw yield was recorded in Improved White Ponni with (4970 kg ha-1) followed by BPT 5204and TV 472. As Sarkar *et al.,* (2014) points out, the variation in straw output can be attributed to large differences in plant height and straw weight between cultivars.

**Table 2. Growth characteristics of different rice cultivars under Direct seeded rice method at four different stages 1) Active tillering stage 2) Panicle initiation stage 3) Heading stage and 4) Harvesting stage**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Stage** | **Treatment** | **Plant Height** | **No. of Tillers** | **Plant Height** | **No. of Tillers** | **Plant Height** | **No. of Tillers** | **Plant Height** | **No. of Tillers** |
|  |  | **Active tillering** | | **PI Stage** | | **Heading stage** | | **At Harvest** | |
| **1** | T1 – Check variety - Bhavani | 43.2 | 17.10 | 81.7 | 20.50 | 121.2 | 24.10 | 116.3 | 23.10 |
|  | T2 – TV 472 | 35.2 | 12.60 | 69.8 | 15.50 | 98.4 | 17.00 | 95.8 | 16.00 |
|  | T3 – CR 1009 sub 1 | 33.2 | 16.30 | 67.1 | 19.00 | 92.9 | 21.80 | 89.3 | 21.00 |
|  | T4 – Improved White ponni | 39.5 | 11.90 | 73.3 | 15.10 | 97.8 | 17.40 | 94.7 | 16.30 |
|  | T5 – TRY 3 | 32.7 | 10.30 | 64.6 | 13.40 | 88.8 | 16.30 | 86.1 | 15.50 |
|  | T6 – TKM 13 | 42.1 | 16.70 | 76.6 | 19.80 | 114.7 | 22.10 | 110.6 | 21.80 |
|  | T7 – CO 52 | 36.4 | 13.80 | 70.4 | 16.60 | 100.3 | 20.30 | 97.9 | 19.60 |
|  | T8 – CO 56 | 37.7 | 13.60 | 72.9 | 16.30 | 94.6 | 19.60 | 91.5 | 18.80 |
|  | T9 – CO 57 | 30.8 | 15.50 | 62.8 | 17.80 | 85.2 | 20.10 | 82.8 | 19.20 |
|  | T10 – KaruppuKavuni | 54.8 | 10.70 | 92.6 | 13.80 | 152.6 | 16.70 | 149.2 | 15.80 |
|  | T11 – ADT 54 | 40.5 | 15.20 | 73.5 | 17.60 | 111.5 | 19.10 | 107.9 | 18.30 |
|  | T12 – ADT 52 | 34.8 | 10.00 | 66.1 | 12.20 | 89.1 | 15.80 | 86.4 | 14.60 |
|  | T13 – KKLR 2 | 47.3 | 15.60 | 87.5 | 18.70 | 125.1 | 21.00 | 121.4 | 20.50 |
|  | T14 – BPT 5204 | 32.4 | 12.70 | 63.3 | 15.70 | 86.9 | 17.50 | 84.6 | 16.60 |
|  | T15 – Paiyur 1 | 44.6 | 12.50 | 89.1 | 15.40 | 123.8 | 17.80 | 120.7 | 16.80 |
|  | **SE (d)** | **1.95** | **1.44** | **2.88** | **1.57** | **6.28** | **1.66** | **6.04** | **1.72** |
|  | **CD (p=0.05)** | **3.99** | **2.95** | **4.07** | **3.21** | **12.87** | **3.39** | **12.37** | **3.53** |
| **2** | T1 – Check variety - Bhavani |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | T2 – TV 472 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | T3 – CR 1009 sub 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | T4 – Improved White ponni |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | T5 – TRY 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | T6 – TKM 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | T7 – CO 52 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | T8 – CO 56 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | T9 – CO 57 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | T10 – KaruppuKavuni |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | T11 – ADT 54 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | T12 – ADT 52 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | T13 – KKLR 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | T14 – BPT 5204 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | T15 – Paiyur 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | **SE (d)** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | **CD (p=0.05)** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **3** | T1 – Check variety - Bhavani |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | T2 – TV 472 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | T3 – CR 1009 sub 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | T4 – Improved White ponni |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | T5 – TRY 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | T6 – TKM 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | T7 – CO 52 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | T8 – CO 56 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | T9 – CO 57 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | T10 – KaruppuKavuni |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | T11 – ADT 54 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | T12 – ADT 52 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | T13 – KKLR 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | T14 – BPT 5204 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | T15 – Paiyur 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | **SE (d)** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | **CD (p=0.05)** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **4** | T1 – Check variety - Bhavani |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | T2 – TV 472 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | T3 – CR 1009 sub 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | T4 – Improved White ponni |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | T5 – TRY 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | T6 – TKM 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | T7 – CO 52 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | T8 – CO 56 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | T9 – CO 57 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | T10 – KaruppuKavuni |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | T11 – ADT 54 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | T12 – ADT 52 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | T13 – KKLR 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | T14 – BPT 5204 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | T15 – Paiyur 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | **SE (d)** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | **CD (p=0.05)** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

**Fig. 1. Influence of DSR practice on the growth attributes such as Plant height, number of tillers of different rice cultivars at Active tillering, Panicle initiation, Heading and Harvest stage**

**Table 3. Yield characteristics of different rice cultivars under Direct seeded rice method (No. of Productive tillers and Grain Yield)**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Treatments** | **No. of Productive tillers** | **Grain Yield (kg ha-1)** | **Straw yield**  **(kg ha-1)** |
| T1 – Check variety - Bhavani | **17.03** | 4582a | 7029 |
| T2 – TV 472 | 11.74 | 2555 | 5264 |
| T3 – CR 1009 sub 1 | **16.27** | 4297c | **6935** |
| T4 – Improved White ponni | 12.62 | 2800 | 4970 |
| T5 – TRY 3 | 10.63 | 1984 | 5778 |
| **T6 – TKM 13** | **16.51** | **4345b** | **7015** |
| **T7 – CO 52** | **14.58** | **3951** | **6910** |
| T8 – CO 56 | 15.03 | 3515 | 6890 |
| T9 – CO 57 | 13.01 | 3484 | 6149 |
| T10 – KaruppuKavuni | 11.46 | 1070 | 8522 |
| T11 – ADT 54 | 14.16 | 3210 | 6673 |
| T12 – ADT 52 | 10.48 | 1615 | 5504 |
| T13 – KKLR 2 | **15.80** | 4060d | **7011** |
| T14 – BPT 5204 | 12.07 | 2610 | 5020 |
| T15 – Paiyur 1 | 12.87 | 2907 | 5864 |
| **SE (d)** | **1.07** | **308.82** | **369.87** |
| **CD (p=0.05)** | **2.19** | **632.59** | **757.65** |

**Fig. 2. No. of productive tiller, Grain yield (kg ha-1) and Straw yield (kg ha-1) for different rice cultivars under DSR**

**4. CONCLUSION**

The study's findings revealed that among the fifteen different cultivars, TKM 13 (T6), CR 1009 Sub 1 (T3), and KKLR2(T13) and CO-52 (T7) were produced statistically comparable yields as compared to check variety Bhavani (T1) under wet DSR method of cultivation. These varieties can be used as an alternate substitute for check variety Bhavani by studying climatic adaptability under large scale production through front line demonstration and commercial release. The results implying that the varieties could be a substitute for Bhavani in the study area of foothills of Siruvani. However, further research on climate and adaptability is necessary for large scale adoption.
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