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|  | **Reviewer’s comment****Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.** | **Author’s Feedback** *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | The manuscript provides field screening data on the resistance levels of 18 paddy varieties against brown planthopper (*Nilaparvata lugens*) in Madhya Pradesh, identifying MTU 1060, PTB-33, and Poornima as varieties with the highest resistance. However, the study largely confirms previously known resistant varieties rather than presenting novel resistant genotypes, lacks molecular or biochemical investigation into resistance mechanisms, and does not explore the implications for sustainable pest management strategies beyond varietal selection. Whilethe data is regionally relevant for farmers in Madhya Pradesh, the limited scope and absence of new methodological approaches diminish its broader scientific impact. |  |
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