|  |
| --- |
|  |
| Journal Name: | [**Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences**](https://journalarjass.com/index.php/ARJASS) |
| Manuscript Number: | **Ms\_ARJASS\_133868** |
| Title of the Manuscript:  | **Parenting and Gender Socialization in Children: A Scoping Review** |
| Type of the Article | **Scoping Review** |

|  |
| --- |
| PART 1: Comments |
|  | Reviewer’s comment**Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.** | Author’s Feedback *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | **Obviously, one of the evolving fundamentals of the scientific community is child development. This can only be effectively explored for character reformation and harmonious pluralistic world when researchers and scholars, particularly those with expertise in social sciences, prioritize in-depth investigations into diverse parenting issues that transcend geographical and ethnic boundaries. So, this manuscript will serve the scientific community’s interest through this depth of knowledge on parenting and gender socialization in children across diverse cultural contexts.** |  |
| **Is the title of the article suitable?****(If not please suggest an alternative title)** | **Yes.** |  |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | **The abstract is not comprehensive enough based on the following**1. **Word count should be at least between 200 and 250 word counts.**
2. **There is a need for a concise but clear statement of the criteria used to select studies for inclusion.**
3. **I guess these are the unstated objectives of the study, ‘examine how (i) parenting styles, (ii) parental gender attitudes, and (iii) parental reinforcement practices contribute to the development… As such the findings of the study should follow this format in the abstract.**
4. **No conclusion**
5. **No recommendation.**
 |  |
| Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here. | **Yes but missing out on well laid out objectives and consistent in-text citations. Yes, not all scoping reviews should have objectives well-stated however when a scoping review is structured to have method or methodology and result then there should be the objectives that inform the method as well as the result especially when considering the author’s use of PRISMA approach.****As for the in-text citations, I guess the author adopted the APA style of writing however, not consistent in its use. There’s a mix up of APA 6th and APA 7th style of in-text citations. Also, the in-text citations are not consistent in its arrangement in parentheses in terms of the year of works cited. It should be from the most recent works when having more than one in-text citations in parenthesis.** |  |
| **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.** | **A total number of 67 references are included in the study. However, only 42 are current (less than -- or 10 years old) indicating 62.69%. Ideally, it should be 80% current and if need be, 20% older than 10 years. Also, the references should be properly written in APA 7th especially with those underlines removed and proper formatting of article titles of journals referenced.** |  |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | **Moderately suitable.** |  |
| Optional/General comments |  |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **PART 2:**  |
|  | Reviewer’s comment | Author’s comment *(if agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?**  | *(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in detail)***Not observed.** |  |

**Reviewer details:**

**Samuel Lateef Omolaja Aka, University of Port Harcourt, Nigeria**