|  |
| --- |
|  |
| Journal Name: | [**Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting**](https://journalajeba.com/index.php/AJEBA) |
| Manuscript Number: | **Ms\_AJEBA\_130318** |
| Manuscript Title:  | **Analysis of Resource Use Efficiency of Areca Nut (Areca catechu) in Jhapa, Nepal** |
| Article Type | **Original Research Article** |

|  |
| --- |
| PART 1: Comment |
|  | Reviewer comments | Author Input *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight the section in the manuscript. The author is obliged to write his response here)* |
| **Please write a few sentences about the importance of this manuscript to the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this section.** | Pinang (*Pinang catechu*) is a plant that has many benefits for humans.Pinang (*Pinang catechu*) apart from being a medicinal plant, it is also an industrial raw material. Apart from that, in several regions of Asia areca nut is a symbol or part of the community's intimate culture.The nature of the areca nut plant which has various benefits and quite large potential makes this plant have high economic value and has become a world export commodity.  |  |
| **Is the title of the article suitable?****(If not, please suggest an alternative title)** | Based on the aim of this article and the discussion carried out in the manuscript, there are no problems in determining the title of the article. |  |
| Is the article abstract comprehensive? Would you suggest adding (or removing) some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | In the abstract, it is written in one complete paragraph without placing or marking the part that is included for emphasis.Like Kat **Objective** to show the purpose of the research, and so on. |  |
| Is the text scientifically correct? Please write here. | In general, in scientific writing, sub-chapters are rarely used, even though they are not standard, they will look odd. |  |
| **Are the references sufficient and up to date? If you have additional reference suggestions, please indicate them in the review form.** | In terms of quantity, the number of references used is sufficient, however references originating from research are still very lacking and there are still several references that are no longer relevant to scientific developments. |  |
| Is the quality of the article in Bahasa/English suitable for scientific communication? | Based on the quality of the English used by the author, scientifically there is still a lack of communication, this may result in difficulties for some readers in understanding the contents of this article.  |  |
| Optional/General comment |  In general, articles with aims and methods like this are very open to wider references.  |  |
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