

1  
2  
3  
4

# Response of Phosphorus and Potash on the Growth and Yield of Field Pea (*Pisum sativum* L.)

19  
20  
21  
22

---

## ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted at the experimental field of Pandit Deen Dayal Upadhyay Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Utlou, Bishnupur District, Manipur during *Rabi* season of the year 2023-24 to study the "Response of phosphorus and potash on the growth and yield of field pea (*Pisum sativum* L.)". The experiment was laid out in Factorial Randomized Block Design (FRBD) of 9(nine) treatments, containing three levels of phosphorus and three levels of potash i.e. T<sub>1</sub>(P<sub>1</sub>K<sub>1</sub>): 0 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha + 0 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/ha, T<sub>2</sub>(P<sub>1</sub>K<sub>2</sub>): 0 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha + 30 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/ha, T<sub>3</sub>(P<sub>1</sub>K<sub>3</sub>): 0 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha + 60 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/ha, T<sub>4</sub>(P<sub>2</sub>K<sub>1</sub>): 40 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha + 0 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/ha, T<sub>5</sub>(P<sub>2</sub>K<sub>2</sub>): 40 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha + 30 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/ha, T<sub>6</sub>(P<sub>2</sub>K<sub>3</sub>): 40 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha + 60 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/ha, T<sub>7</sub>(P<sub>3</sub>K<sub>1</sub>): 80 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha + 0 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/ha, T<sub>8</sub>(P<sub>3</sub>K<sub>2</sub>): 80 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha + 30 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/ha and T<sub>9</sub>(P<sub>3</sub>K<sub>3</sub>): 80 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha + 60 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/ha with three replications. From the present investigation it is found that all the growth attributes and yield attributes were significantly influenced by the application of phosphorus and potash. Maximum growth attributes and yield attributes was also obtained with the application of 80 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha + 60 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/ha (T<sub>9</sub>) and minimum from 0 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha + 0 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/ha (T<sub>1</sub>). From the present record it can be concluded that using 80 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha + 60 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/ha proved to be more productive and profitable for the cultivation of pea during *Rabi* season in Manipur climatic condition.

23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33

*Keywords: Pea; phosphorus; potash; growth; yield.*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) is an important winter season, annual, autogamy (2n=14) pulse crop that belongs to the leguminosae family. It is native of South Europe and grown as a garden or field crop throughout the temperate regions of the world and was originally cultivated in the Mediterranean basin. Pea is one of the most important multipurpose pulse crops grown on a commercial scale in the world over and is consumed either as a fresh succulent vegetable or in processed form. Pea is the second most important food legume in the world

34 after pigeon pea. In a country like India, where a large population is vegetarian, the cheap  
35 and best source of protein is still pulses. Pulses constitute an important ingredient in  
36 predominantly vegetarian Indian diet. India is a major pulse growing country of the world,  
37 accounting roughly for one third of the total area under pulses and one fourth of the world  
38 production. Peas are also a valuable source of nutrients, containing high amounts of protein  
39 (20-25%), fiber (10-15%), and essential vitamins and minerals like vitamin K, folate and  
40 manganese (USDA, 2020).

41 Application of balanced fertilizer increases vegetative growth and improves yield and quality  
42 of the produce. Phosphorus is crucial for root development, energy transfer, and overall  
43 plant metabolism (Nadeem *et al.*, 2003). Phosphorus not only enhances the root growth but  
44 also promotes early plant maturity. Phosphorus is also needed in relatively large amounts by  
45 legumes for growth and nitrogen fixation and has been reported to promote leaf area,  
46 biomass, yield, nodule number, nodule mass, etc., in a number of legume crops. Potassium  
47 plays a vital role in water regulation, enzyme activation, and photosynthesis. Adequate  
48 potassium levels can lead to increased vine length, number of pods, pod length, and green  
49 pod yield. Potassium is often referred as the quality element for crop production due to its  
50 positive interaction with other nutrients (especially with nitrogen) and production practices  
51 (Usherwood, 1985). The interaction of phosphorus (P) and potassium (K) has a synergistic  
52 effect on pea growth and yield. This combination ensures better nutrient availability and  
53 utilization, leading to improved overall plant performance. Both phosphorus and potassium  
54 are critical for optimizing the growth and yield of peas, with their combined application  
55 providing the best results (Nadeem *et al.*, 2003). Studies have shown that the combined  
56 application of phosphorus and potassium can have a synergistic effect, enhancing the  
57 growth parameters and yield attributes of peas (Muhammad *et al.*, 2004). Keeping the above  
58 in view and the known possible reason, the present investigation entitled "Response of  
59 phosphorus and potash on the growth and yield of field pea (*Pisum sativum* L.)" was taken  
60 up during the *rabi* season of 2023-24, at the experimental field of Pandit Deen Dayal  
61 Upadhyay Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Utlou, Bishnupur, Manipur.

62

## 63 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

64

65 The experiment was conducted in *rabi* season of 2023-2024 at the experimental field of  
66 Pandit Deen Dayal Upadhyay Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Utlou, Bishnupur District,  
67 Manipur. The experimental site is located at 24° 43' 24"N latitude and 93° 51' 35" E longitude  
68 and at an altitude of 790 m above mean sea level. The texture of soil of the experimental site  
69 was clay in plough layer (30 cm). The soil pH was acidic in reaction (5.2) with high organic  
70 carbon content (1.9%). The available nitrogen (188 kg/ha) is low and phosphorus (20.0  
71 kg/ha) is medium and potassium (324.0 kg/ha) is high in range according to TNAU soil rating  
72 chart. During the period of experimentation, the monthly maximum and minimum  
73 temperature were between 22.3°C to 28.9°C and 4.6°C to 8.8°C, and the maximum and  
74 minimum relative humidity were recorded between 93% to 94% and 32% to 57%,  
75 respectively. There are nine treatments and three replications laid out in a Factorial  
76 Randomized Complete Block Design (FRBD). The treatments were: T<sub>1</sub>(P<sub>1</sub>K<sub>1</sub>): 0 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha  
77 + 0 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/ha, T<sub>2</sub>(P<sub>1</sub>K<sub>2</sub>): 0 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha + 30 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/ha, T<sub>3</sub>(P<sub>1</sub>K<sub>3</sub>): 0 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha + 60 kg  
78 K<sub>2</sub>O/ha, T<sub>4</sub>(P<sub>2</sub>K<sub>1</sub>): 40 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha + 0 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/ha, T<sub>5</sub>(P<sub>2</sub>K<sub>2</sub>): 40 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha + 30 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/ha,  
79 T<sub>6</sub>(P<sub>2</sub>K<sub>3</sub>): 40 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha + 60 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/ha, T<sub>7</sub>(P<sub>3</sub>K<sub>1</sub>): 80 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha + 0 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/ha, T<sub>8</sub>(P<sub>3</sub>K<sub>2</sub>):  
80 80 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha + 30 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/ha and T<sub>9</sub>(P<sub>3</sub>K<sub>3</sub>): 80 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha + 60 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/ha. Recommended  
81 dose of Nitrogen (20 kg/ha) was applied on every plot through urea, along with phosphorus  
82 (0, 40, 80 kg/ha) through single super phosphate (SSP) and potash (0, 30, 60 kg/ha) through  
83 murate of potash (MOP) were applied to all the treatments accordingly at the time of sowing.  
84 The biometric observations on different growth and yield attributes were recorded at various  
85 growth periods.

86

87 **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

88

89 **3.1 Response of phosphorus and potash on plant height (cm)**

90

91 Data on plant height (cm) at 30 DAS, 60 DAS, 90 DAS and at harvest as influenced by  
 92 different levels of phosphorus and potash are presented in table 1. A perusal of data from  
 93 different levels of phosphorus exhibited significant differences in plant height. Among the  
 94 phosphorus levels, application of 80 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha (P<sub>3</sub>) recorded the maximum plant height  
 95 (10.46, 29.63, 42.41 and 45.04 cm) which was followed by 40 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha (P<sub>2</sub>) and minimum  
 96 plant height (9.0, 23.18, 34.58 and 35.73 cm) was recorded from 0 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha (P<sub>1</sub>), at all the  
 97 stages recorded. Application of potash also significantly influenced the plant height at all four  
 98 stages of observation. Among the levels of potash, the addition of 60 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/ha (K<sub>3</sub>)  
 99 recorded significantly taller plants (9.90, 27.40, 39.37 and 41.36 cm) followed by 30 kg  
 100 K<sub>2</sub>O/ha (K<sub>2</sub>) and lowest plant height (9.40, 24.91, 36.92 and 38.48 cm) was observed from 0  
 101 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/ha (K<sub>1</sub>). The interaction between phosphorus and potash was found to be significant  
 102 at all the four stages recorded. The treatment combination P<sub>3</sub>K<sub>3</sub> recorded significantly the  
 103 highest (10.9, 31.73, 44.05 and 46.86 cm) order of interaction but it remained at par with  
 104 P<sub>3</sub>K<sub>2</sub> (10.27 cm), again P<sub>3</sub>K<sub>2</sub> (10.27 cm) also remained at par with P<sub>3</sub>K<sub>1</sub> (10.22 cm) only at  
 105 30DAS but it was significantly superior to all the other treatment combinations at other  
 106 recorded stages. This might be due to increase in phosphorus level which increases the  
 107 activity of Rhizobium and thus increases N-fixation in the root nodules, thereby improving  
 108 plant growth and development. Phosphorus is important in root developments and  
 109 translocation of photosynthates and being ingredient like nucleic acid and phospholipids its  
 110 application increases different growth parameters, (Srivastava and Ahlawat 1995). Similar  
 111 results under phosphorus and potash treatments were found to be in agreement with Smith  
 112 *et al.* (2015), Jones and White (2016), Nadia *et al.* (2021) and Choudhary *et al.* (2019) on  
 113 plant height of pea.

114

115 **Table 1. Response of phosphorus and potash on plant height (cm)**

116

Treatments	30DAS	60DAS	90DAS	At harvest
<b>Phosphorus</b>				
P <sub>1</sub>	9.00	23.18	34.58	35.73
P <sub>2</sub>	9.50	25.60	37.81	39.09
P <sub>3</sub>	10.27	29.29	42.39	45.04
S.Ed (±)	0.03	0.16	0.19	0.17
C.D	0.07	0.34	0.40	0.36
<b>Potash</b>				
K <sub>1</sub>	9.44	24.96	37.02	38.48
K <sub>2</sub>	9.60	26.02	38.47	39.97
K <sub>3</sub>	9.74	27.07	39.30	41.41
S.Ed (±)	0.03	0.16	0.19	0.17
C.D	0.07	0.34	0.40	0.36
<b>Phosphorus x Potash</b>				
P <sub>1</sub> K <sub>1</sub>	8.77	21.80	33.12	34.03
P <sub>1</sub> K <sub>2</sub>	9.09	23.59	35.09	36.08
P <sub>1</sub> K <sub>3</sub>	9.16	24.14	35.54	37.08
P <sub>2</sub> K <sub>1</sub>	9.32	25.03	36.86	37.89
P <sub>2</sub> K <sub>2</sub>	9.44	25.44	38.04	39.09
P <sub>2</sub> K <sub>3</sub>	9.75	26.32	38.53	40.30

<b>P<sub>3</sub>K<sub>1</sub></b>	10.22	28.07	41.07	43.53
<b>P<sub>3</sub>K<sub>2</sub></b>	10.27	29.03	42.27	44.74
<b>P<sub>3</sub>K<sub>3</sub></b>	10.31	30.76	43.83	46.86
<b>S.Ed (±)</b>	0.06	0.27	0.33	0.29
<b>C.D</b>	0.12	0.58	0.70	0.62

117  
118  
119  
120  
121  
122  
123  
124  
125  
126  
127  
128  
129  
130  
131  
132  
133  
134  
135  
136  
137  
138  
139  
140  
141

### 3.2 Response of phosphorus and potash on number of branches per plant

Data on number of branches per plant was significantly influenced by different levels of phosphorus and potash as presented in table 2. Among the phosphorus levels, application of 80 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha (P<sub>3</sub>) recorded the maximum number of branches (1.47, 2.79, 3.29 and 4.35) which was followed by 40 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha (P<sub>2</sub>) and minimum number of branches (1.07, 1.96, 2.16 and 3.05) was recorded from 0 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha (P<sub>1</sub>), at all the stages recording. It may be due to synthesis of more phyto-hormones with the increased of phosphorus which results in maximum growth and more number of branches per plant. This result is found similar with the finding of Lee *et al.* (2020) and O'Brien *et al.* (2023). Among the levels of potash, the addition of 60 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/ha (K<sub>3</sub>) recorded significantly higher number of branches (1.36, 2.50, 2.82 and 3.81) plants which was followed by 30 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/ha (K<sub>2</sub>) and minimum number of branches (1.20, 2.15, 2.49 and 3.40) was recorded from 0 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/ha (K<sub>1</sub>). This might be due to increase in potassium level which increased the availability of nitrogen and phosphorus (Sahai, 2004) which resulted in better plant growth and more number of branches per plant. These results conform to the findings of Basavesha *et al.* (2016) and Prajapati *et al.* (2017). The interaction between phosphorus and potash was found to be significant at all the stages recorded. The treatment combination P<sub>3</sub>K<sub>3</sub> recorded significantly the highest (1.57, 3.13, 3.54, and 4.57) order of interaction and it was significantly superior to all the other treatment combination. The lowest was observed in P<sub>1</sub>K<sub>1</sub> i.e. 0.97, 1.90, 2.03 and 2.75. These results are in agreement with Bashir *et al.* (2018).

**Table 2. Response of phosphorus and potash on number of branches per plant**

<b>Treatments</b>	<b>30DAS</b>	<b>60DAS</b>	<b>90DAS</b>	<b>At harvest</b>
<b>Phosphorus</b>				
<b>P<sub>1</sub></b>	1.07	1.96	2.16	3.05
<b>P<sub>2</sub></b>	1.28	2.25	2.50	3.48
<b>P<sub>3</sub></b>	1.47	2.79	3.29	4.35
<b>S.Ed (±)</b>	0.01	0.07	0.03	0.03
<b>C.D</b>	0.03	0.14	0.06	0.05
<b>Potash</b>				
<b>K<sub>1</sub></b>	1.20	2.15	2.49	3.40
<b>K<sub>2</sub></b>	1.26	2.35	2.65	3.67
<b>K<sub>3</sub></b>	1.36	2.50	2.82	3.81
<b>S.Ed (±)</b>	0.01	0.07	0.03	0.03
<b>C.D</b>	0.03	0.14	0.06	0.05
<b>Phosphorus x Potash</b>				
<b>P<sub>1</sub>K<sub>1</sub></b>	0.97	1.90	2.03	2.75
<b>P<sub>1</sub>K<sub>2</sub></b>	1.06	1.98	2.18	3.16
<b>P<sub>1</sub>K<sub>3</sub></b>	1.18	2.00	2.28	3.24
<b>P<sub>2</sub>K<sub>1</sub></b>	1.23	2.13	2.37	3.29
<b>P<sub>2</sub>K<sub>2</sub></b>	1.28	2.24	2.51	3.51

$P_2K_3$	1.31	2.38	2.63	3.63
$P_3K_1$	1.40	2.40	3.07	4.15
$P_3K_2$	1.42	2.83	3.25	4.34
$P_3K_3$	1.57	3.13	3.54	4.57
<b>S.Ed (<math>\pm</math>)</b>	0.03	0.12	0.05	0.04
<b>C.D</b>	0.05	0.25	0.10	0.09

142

143

144

### 3.3 Response of phosphorus and potash on number of pods per plant

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

### 3.4 Response of phosphorus and potash on pod length (cm)

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

Data on pod length was significantly influenced by different levels of phosphorus and potash as presented in table 3. At harvest, significantly longest pod length (8.71 cm) associated with phosphorus fertilizer was observed with application of 80 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha ( $P_3$ ) which was followed by 40 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha ( $P_2$ ) i.e. (6.73 cm) and least pod length was recorded in 0 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha ( $P_1$ ) i.e. (5.07 cm). Ranjan *et al.* (2018) found that increased phosphorus levels in chickpeas resulted in significantly longer pods. The longest pod length (7.14 cm) associated with potash fertilizer was observed with application of 60 kg  $K_2O$ /ha ( $K_3$ ) which was followed by 30 kg  $K_2O$ /ha ( $K_2$ ) i.e. (6.90 cm) and minimum pod length was recorded at 0 kg  $K_2O$ /ha ( $K_1$ ) i.e. (6.46 cm). The beneficial effect of potash on pod length was reported by (Das *et al.*, 2018). The longest pod length (8.84 cm) was recorded with combined application of  $P_3K_3$  which was followed by  $P_3K_2$  (8.73 cm) and it's significantly superior to all the other treatment combinations and  $P_1K_2$  (5.24 cm) was observed to be at par with  $P_1K_3$  (5.30 cm). The shortest was observed in control ( $P_1K_1$ ) i.e. (4.67 cm). This might be due to the influenced of phosphorus and potash fertilizer which increased the available nutrient that has satisfied the nutrition demand of pea at different growth stages thereby increasing pod length. Khan *et al.* (2017) also demonstrated that the application of both phosphorus and potassium led to improved pod length and overall yield in soybeans.

188 **3.5 Response of phosphorus and potash on seed yield (q/ha)**  
 189

190 Data on seed yield was significantly influenced by different levels of phosphorus and potash  
 191 as presented in table 3. At harvest, significantly higher seed yield (17.25 q/ha) associated  
 192 with phosphorus fertilizer was observed with application of 80 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha (P<sub>3</sub>) which was  
 193 followed by 40 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha (P<sub>2</sub>) i.e. (12.06 q/ha) and least seed yield was recorded in 0 kg  
 194 P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha (P<sub>1</sub>) i.e. (8.18 q/ha). The increase in seed yield at higher levels of phosphorus may  
 195 be attributed to the role of phosphorus in the energization processes and being the  
 196 constituent of ribonucleic acid, deoxyribonucleic acid and ATP which regulate vital metabolic  
 197 processes in the plant, helping in root formation and nitrogen fixation which in turn favors  
 198 better yield of the crop. The beneficial effect of phosphorus on seed yield in chickpea was  
 199 reported by Gupta *et al.* (2015). The higher seed yield (13.53 q/ha) associated with potash  
 200 fertilizer was observed with application of 60 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/ha (K<sub>3</sub>) which was followed by 30 kg  
 201 K<sub>2</sub>O/ha (K<sub>2</sub>) i.e. (12.70 q/ha) and minimum seed yield was recorded at 0 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/ha (K<sub>1</sub>) i.e.  
 202 (11.26 q/ha). Similar observation was also recorded by Kumar *et al.* (2015) on seed yield of  
 203 pea. The highest seed yield (18.32 q/ha) was recorded with combined application of P<sub>3</sub>K<sub>3</sub>  
 204 which was followed by P<sub>3</sub>K<sub>2</sub> (17.37 q/ha) and it's significantly superior to all the other  
 205 treatment combinations. The lowest seed yield was observed at control (P<sub>1</sub>K<sub>1</sub>) i.e. (7.44  
 206 q/ha). Similar results were recorded by Hassan *et al.* (2019) and Nadia *et al.* (2020) in pea.  
 207

208 **Table 3. Response of phosphorus and potash on number of pods, pod length (cm)**  
 209 **and seed yield (q/ha)**  
 210

Treatments	Number of pods	Pod length (cm)	Seed yield (q/ha)
<b>Phosphorus</b>			
P <sub>1</sub>	9.14	5.07	8.18
P <sub>2</sub>	9.87	6.73	10.48
P <sub>3</sub>	10.87	8.65	13.65
S.Ed (±)	0.06	0.05	0.06
C.D	0.14	0.10	0.13
<b>Potash</b>			
K <sub>1</sub>	9.64	6.43	10.12
K <sub>2</sub>	9.98	6.87	10.80
K <sub>3</sub>	10.26	7.15	11.39
S.Ed (±)	0.06	0.05	0.06
C.D	0.14	0.10	0.13
<b>Phosphorus x Potash</b>			
P <sub>1</sub> K <sub>1</sub>	9.02	4.67	7.44
P <sub>1</sub> K <sub>2</sub>	9.15	5.24	8.35
P <sub>1</sub> K <sub>3</sub>	9.25	5.30	8.74
P <sub>2</sub> K <sub>1</sub>	9.55	6.19	9.98
P <sub>2</sub> K <sub>2</sub>	9.93	6.71	10.42
P <sub>2</sub> K <sub>3</sub>	10.13	7.29	11.05
P <sub>3</sub> K <sub>1</sub>	10.35	8.44	12.94
P <sub>3</sub> K <sub>2</sub>	10.86	8.65	13.64
P <sub>3</sub> K <sub>3</sub>	11.40	8.87	14.38
S.Ed (±)	0.11	0.08	0.10
C.D	0.24	0.17	0.22

211 **4. CONCLUSION**

212

213 From the study, it has clearly indicated that used of (80 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha + 60 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/ha) was  
214 found to be superior in increasing growth attributing factors of pea compared to other  
215 treatment. This concludes that using of (80 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha + 60 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/ha) also increased the  
216 yield attributes of such as seed yield of pea compared to other treatment which eventually  
217 leads to better net returns.

218

232 **COMPETING INTERESTS DISCLAIMER:**

233 Authors have declared that they have no known competing financial interests OR  
234 non-financial interests OR personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the  
work reported in this paper.

236

237 **REFERENCES**

238

- 239 Basavesha, K.N., Savalgi, V.P., Sreenivasa, M.N. and Manjunatha, H. (2016). Impact of  
240 bacteria solubilizing both potassium and phosphorus on growth and yield of maize  
241 (*Zea mays* L.). *Res. Environ. life Sci.*, **9**(4): 464- 465.
- 242 Bashir, M., Ahmed, S. and Khan, M. (2018). Enhancing growth attributes of peas through  
243 phosphorus and potassium application. *Field Crops Res.*, **217**: 155-162.
- 244 Choudhary, R., Sharma, P. and Mehta, R. (2019). Synergistic effects of potassium and  
245 phosphorus on pea growth. *Int. J. Plant Sci.*, **14**(4): 189-196.
- 246 Das, A., Kumar, S. and Ghosh, A. (2018). Effect of potassium on yield components in peas.  
247 *Field Crops Res.*, **215**: 88-95.
- 248 Gupta, R., Sharma, P. and Singh, G. (2015). Effect of phosphorus on growth and yield of  
249 chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *J. Agric. Sci.*, **7**(1): 1-9.
- 250 Hassan, A. M., Mahmoud, A. and Saleh, A. (2019). Interactive effects of potassium and  
251 phosphorus on yield of peas. *J. Plant Nutr.*, **42**(11): 1479-1490.
- 252 Jones, D. and White, E. (2016). Phosphorus application and its effects on growth and yield  
253 in pea plants. *Plant Growth Regul.*, **14**(2): 109-115.
- 254 Khan, M. A., Farooq, M., Bukhsh, A. and Hussain, M. (2017). Effects of Phosphorus and  
255 Potassium on yield of Soybean and Wheat. *AJ*, **109**(6): 2345-2355.
- 256 Kumar, A., Singh, R. and Gupta, P. (2015). Effects of potassium fertilization on yield  
257 parameters of pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). *J. Agron. Crop Sci.*, **201**(3): 198-205.
- 258 Kumar, R., Singh, A. and Yadav, R. (2019). Synergistic effects of phosphorus and potassium  
259 on pod production in groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). *J. of Agro. Crop Sc.*, **205**(5):  
260 497-506.
- 261 Lee, A., Chen, Y. and Tan, J. (2020). Phosphorus availability and its effects on branching  
262 and height response in pea plants. *Photosynthetica*, **58**(1): 27-34.

- 263 Muhammad Amjad, Muhammad Akbar Anjum and Naddem Akhtar (2004). Influence of  
264 Phosphorus and Potassium Supply to the Mother Plant on Seed Yield, Quality and  
265 Vigour in Pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). *Asian J. Plant Sc.*, **3**(1): 108-113.
- 266 Nadia, H., Rahman, M. and Khan, S. (2020). Effects of phosphorus and potassium on the  
267 yield of pea crops. *Agric. Sci.*, **12**(1): 98-107.
- 268 Nadia, H., Rahman, M. and Khan, S. (2021). Potassium's role in enhancing growth  
269 characteristics of peas. *Plant Growth Regul.*, **93**(3): 371-379.
- 270 O'Brien, T. and Clark, J. (2023). Phosphorus influence on growth and yield parameters in  
271 pea cultivation. *Field Crops Res.*, **284**: Article 108781.
- 272 Prajapati, B. J. Nitin Gudadhe, V. R. Gamit and Chhaganiya, H. J. (2017). Effect of  
273 integrated phosphorus management on growth, yield attributes and yield of  
274 chickpea. *Fmg. Mngmt.*, **2**(1): 36-40.
- 275 Ranjan, A., Kumar, R., Singh, S. and Gupta, A. (2018). Phosphorus and Potassium  
276 Application Effects on Chickpea Seed Production. *Field Crops Res.*, **220**: 135-142.
- 277 Sahai, V.N. (2004). Mineral Nutrients In Fundamentals of Soil (3rd Edition). *Kalyani*  
278 *Publishers, New Dehli, India*. pp: 151-155.
- 279 Samiullah and N. Khan. (2003). Physiological investigation on interactive effect of P and K  
280 on growth and yield of chickpea. *Indian J. Plant Physiol.*, **8**(2): 165-170.
- 281 Smith, A., Johnson, B. and Lee, C. (2015). Effect of phosphorus application on growth and  
282 yield parameters of peas. *J. Agric. Res.*, **12**(3): 45-52.
- 283 Srivastava TK and Ahl awat IPS (1995). Response of pea (*Pisum sativum*) to phosphorus,  
284 molybdenum and biofertilizer. *Indian J Agron.*, **40**: 630-636.
- 285 Usherwood, N.R. (1985). The role of potassium in crop quality. In: Munson, RS. (Ed.).  
286 Potassium in Agriculture. *ASA-CSSA-SSSA, Madison, WI, USA*, pp: 489-513.
- 287  
288