3

4

6

18 19 20 21

22

ABSTRACT

Aims. The achievement of academic excellence is intrinsically associated to the standard of students' accommodation. This survey sought to investigate students' preferences regarding on-campus university accommodation at newly gone operational Kogi State University, Kabba, Nigeria.

A Survey on Student Preferences for On-

University, Kabba, Kogi State Nigeria

Campus Hostel Accommodation at Kogi State

Methods: A school-based cross-sectional with a purposive sampling study was conducted from February 2024 to March 2024. A Close-ended, self-administered and pre-tested questionnaires were used to collect data. Data entry and cleaning were carried out on excel and analyzed using SPSS version 20.0. Analysis was done to measure the strength of association between potential predictive factors and students' rooms preferences.

Results: A total of 844 students participated in the study, giving an overall response rate of 84.4%. Students of $>20 - \le 25$ years old were 2.1 times (p=0.001) more likely to reside in cheaper room, 2.3 times room with an attached toilet (p=0..005), and 2.084 times in a new building (p=0.003) than younger one (>20 years). The students affiliated at the faculty of SC were 1.404 times more likely to share their rooms with students of their own State (p=0.033), 1.588 times advocating entertainment halls in the residence (p=0.010) compared to students from MASS. The survey indicated significant differences in the students 'preferences based on their age, gender, state/geopolitical location of the secondary schools, and faculties.

Conclusion. Students room' preferences were living in a new building, attached toilet to the room and the rental cost. Taken into accounts these attributes while conceptualizing, designing and constructing hostel accommodations for the students will create an ideal environment for them to excel academically.

Keywords: Students, hostel, housing, accommodation, attributes, campus, Kogi State University and Kabba.

1. INTRODUCTION

24 25 26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56 57 There is a body of evidence suggesting that appropriate, well designed and decent hostel accommodations have a positive impact in the progress, behaviour, social and academic performances of students in the universities (1). Dizaj (2022) has postulated that ignoring students' preferences, differences and similarities by the designer/developers of hostel accommodations will lead to dissatisfaction and possibly serious emotional and psychological complications in students. Consequently, the academic level of students can be negatively be impacted by the hostel environment (2). New admitted students have high expectations finding a conducive and futurist hostel facilities to rent. In the recent years, Nigeria has witnessed an exponential increase of Federal, State and privately-owned universities consecutive to high demand for tertiary education. The phenomenon has brought about a growth in student numbers which has consequently resulted in high demand for hostel accommodations. Hostel accommodation is supervised livinglearning hostel consisting of basic housing as well as learning facilities and amenities, and is administered to accommodate undergraduate and postgraduate students (3). Hostel accommodation for students can be referred as student housing, dormitories, campus apartments, student hostels, halls of residence and student accommodation housing (4). In Nigeria, the Eliot Commission of 1945 and Ashby Commission of 1960 clearly highlighted the necessity to accommodate undergraduates on-campus for educational, economic and social reasons, thus every newly admitted student into the university is expected to be given a living space. In the last decades, the student enrolment has witnessed an unprecedented increase in Nigeria tertiary level of education, hence, securing a hostel for incoming students a herculean task (5). For instance, in 2005 only 200,000 students were successfully admitted into Nigerian universities (6). Astonishingly, rising from 1.274261 to 1.7million students in 2012 and 2017 respectively were declared to have been successfully been admitted (7). In the first-generation university such as Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, among others, the situation is gloomy. The University, which was built for 244 students all properly accommodated, had 37,000 as of 2017, but the available bed- space could only comfortably cater for less than 11,000 (5). Report by Azeez and Taiwo (2016) indicated that student hostel accommodation remained one of the major concerns in the Nigerian tertiary institutions due

to the aforementioned issue (8). A survey on the effects of Students' Housing on Academic conducted at the University of Ibadan, Nigerian by Owolabi (2015) demonstrated that hostel

accommodation improves academic excellence, more importantly among the students of poor

background and subsequently blend this category of students with the bright ones, expand students' interaction and networking social values and prepared students for job market and leadership (9). Cain (2006) concluded that the main reason of rejecting a tertiary institution was considered as dilapidated, old and insecure physical structure. In spite of the fact that more than seventeen years have elapsed since the study was published, the facts continue to reverberate and remain factual till day (10). The demand for on-campus accommodations in tertiary institutions in Nigeria is on increase and as well as add-on facilities and services meant to meet the social recreational and academic needs of in-coming students (4). Thus, student on-campus housing is not only shelter, but comprises the immediate environment and other economic and social activities that are in accord with academic work. On-campus accommodation provides proximity to faculties and lecture halls, convenience shops, entertainment hall, communal rooms because it becomes cheaper and is more convenient than off-campus (9). However, some students showed the preferences to off-campus model of accommodation privately owned which provide maximum comfort (11), building with latest architectural design and higher privacy, In the last decade, the rental cost of student's hostel has witness significant changes. In a study by Claire (2010), students were ready to pay more for their accommodation including certain facilities and amenities, contrary to their parents (12). Notwithstanding, Gawilk (2017) found out that, the cost of off-campus accommodation elicits high apprehension in the students. Also, off-campus accommodations among other things may lead to late coming to classes and exams, due to poor transport system and traffic congestion (13), which are worsened during transporters/drivers strikes and fuel scarcity. Students find solace in hostels that have been provided with spacious room size, individual bathrooms, personal kitchen, laundries, electricity, water and electricity supplies, easy accessibility to faculties, noise free areas, cleanliness and support facilities like internet, security and wireless internet as postulated by Maina and Aji (2017) (14). For instance, recent study in Ghana revealed that students had high regard for the cleanliness of hostel accommodation followed by the availability of electricity, bathroom and toilet facilities, security services, kitchen and comfortable beds (15). Those amenities were portrayed in university such as Chandigarh University, India that is equipped with 24 hours power supply, music room, cable TV, quest room, hot and cold-water bathroom, visitors room among others (16) Achievement of the Sustainable Development Goals 11 (SDG11) that are the creation of hostel accommodations open to students, safe, and durable to provide equal education to everyone requires a good implementation of appropriate protective measures to avoid the loss of lives and properties. Thus, Eseyin (2017) required that safety deals should be putting the right rules, people and resources in place to prevent unforeseen events that can cause

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81 82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

damages or loss (17). Thus, adequate hostel accommodations should provide for the students for their protection and safety from internal (rape, bullying, cultism and theft) or external threats such as kidnaping and armed robbery ravaging Nigeria at this time, that can jeopardize their sojourn in the university.

Several studies have dwelled on student housing that focus on students' satisfaction, the shortage and quality and quantity of the accommodations (9, 18). However, there is a paucity of information related to newly admitted students' preferences on model hostel accommodation. The housing futurist architectural design and coupled with appealing and homey amenities and facilities have become an essential tool for enticing the gen Apha students. Seemiller and Grace (19) hypothesized that university administrators must gain better understanding of the new generational cohort's defining personalities, views, attitudes and learning flairs in order to attract, educate, and impact them successfully. Consequently, there is a need to design a critical agenda to gain insights into new generation students' points of view to ensure that the design of the university hostel remains relevant to them. The survey aims to provide information on the current housing situation among students of the Kogi State University and determine students' rooms preference for a model hostel accommodation. Data generated from the study would inform policy makers and accommodation developers on models housing to provide the post-millennium students.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 Study Area and population

The Kogi State University, Kabba came into legal existence in April 2023 as one of the full-fledged State Universities in Nigeria. The institution is located at Kabba-Bunu LGA of Kogi State (Latitude 7º 49' 37" N; Longitude 6º 4' 30"E) situated at 512 km from Lokoja, the State capital in the North-Central geopolitical zone of Nigeria (20). Its maiden matriculation took place in January, 2024 and the total number of students enrolled in various programs pegged at 1200 for the 2023/2024 session. Moreover, the University hosts students from different cultural, social and religious backgrounds residing off-campus. The institution has no provision for on-campus student' accommodation facilities yet. The institution offers 24-degree programme distributed as followed; Faculty of Arts and Humanities (5), Faculty of Science and Computing (11) and the Faculty of Management, Administration, and Social Science (8).

Study Design

- 129 The study was a school-based cross sectional conducted in February- March 2024 at the Kogi
- 130 State University, Kabba. A close-ended questionnaire was used to collect data on students'
- rooms attributes of an ideal hostel accommodation.

132 Sampling Technique

- Participants were selected using purposive sampling methods with all students having equal
- 134 probability of being included in the sample. The sampling technique was selected due to the
- 135 fact that structurally no students stayed on-campus, consequently no sampling frame for the
- 136 population of interest. The study area was constituted of a single location; thus, respondents
- were selected purposively.

Data Collection tool

- 139 Questionnaires were prepared, pre-tested on students in the neighbourhood of the university
- 140 to determine its clarity and comprehension and distributed to students on campus. A close-
- ended questionnaire was used to collect socio-demographic data, the current accommodation
- 142 situation and attributes of room preferences of students and determine potential predictors.

Questionnaire Administration

- 144 A total of 1000 closed-ended and self-administrated questionnaire was used for obtaining the
- 145 student's room preferences in the study. Surveys were conducted within the university
- 146 premises with the assistance of Nigeria Youth Service (NYS) members performing their one-
- 147 year military service to the nation. The information provided by the office of the Vice Chancelor
- 148 (VC) showed that they are above 1200 students on campus for the 2023/2024 academic year.
- 149 The questionnaire consisted of three sections: (1) quatre items on socio-demographic
- information. (2) twenty items on room attributes, (3) twelve items on current location.

Statistical Analysis

151 152

162

138

143

- 153 The data were entered, cleaned, edited, and coded using excel spreadsheet and then
- 154 exported to SPSS 22.0 for analysis. In the study, the dependant variable were the students'
- preferences for hostel accommodation. The independent variables were the age, gender,
- 156 State/geopolitical zone of the secondary school and faculty. Descriptive statistics were used
- to assess the socio-demographic characteristics of the participants and were summarized into
- 158 proportions and frequency tables. Comparison of proportions between sociodemographic
- 159 characteristics of participants and the room attributes was performed by cross-tabulation using
- the Chi-square test. Multivariate logistic regression model was performed to identify potential
- predictors of rooms attributes. A p-value of less than 0.05 was considered significant.

Ethical considerations

Ethical issues related to social sciences research were observed. Verbal consent was sought from respondents after comprehensive explanation. Study identification numbers were used instead of participant's names while the confidentiality and anonymity strictly observed.

3. RESULTS

Socio-characteristics of the students

Out of the 1000 questionnaires administered, only 844 were valid (properly completed), thus giving the response rate of 84.4%. Most of the respondents were females 54.6% (461/844), 77.1% (651/844) aged \leq 20 years, 55.6% (469/844) attended secondary school in Kogi State (Table 1). As regards to the faculty selected, the respondents were mainly from the Management, Administration and Social Science (MASS) with 51.5% (435/844), which is not surprising as this is the largest faculty with 47.1% of total enrolments. The mean age was 19.36 with a standard deviation of 2.50.

Table 1. Socio-characteristics of the students

Variable	Category	Number(n)	Frequency (%)
Gender	Female	461	54.6
	Male	383	45.4
Age group	≤ 20 years	655	76.6
	> 20- ≤ 25 years	175	20.7
	> 25 years	14	1.7
Secondary school attended	Kogi	469	55.6
(State/Geopolitical zone)	FCT	81	9.6
,	North Central (Except Kogi)	104	12.3
	South West	119	14.1
	South East	41	4.9
	Others	30	3.6
Faculty	AH	102	12.1
•	SC	307	36.4
	MASS	435	51.5
Study level	First year	844	100
Total	Total	844	

FCT: Federal Capital Territory/Abuja

Current location and accommodation

In the present study, students were asked to indicate the category encompassing various attributes that best describes the room they had been staying. The table 2 showed that 844 (100%) students lived off-campus, 84.2% (711) live on a single room, 62.4% (527) share their room with one student only and 35.2% (297) share their room with more than two students. Surprisingly, 27.0% (228) share the kitchen with more than seven people and 61.1% (516) share toilet and shower with other occupants. Regarding the room size, majority of students 45.3% (382) live in 8 square metres (2x4), 247(29.3%) in 12 square metres (3x4) and 25.5%

(215) in the room of approximately 18 square metres (3x6). Concerning transportation fares to campus, 42.2% (356) paid ≤ ₦2000 per month and 29.9% (252) disbursed between ₦2000 - ≤ ₦3000. Regarding water supply, the data revealed that, a substantial proportion of the students 14.1% (119) get their water supply from the nearby rivers. However, Public Tap 24.4% (206), Borehole 22.6% (191), Private Tap 20.0% (169), Shallow Well 18.8 % (159) are representing the major sources of water supply of the students. To ensure steady wireless connection, 35.1% (296) of respondents readily pay ₦1500 while 26.2 % (221) of them spend ₦3000 monthly.

Table 2. Current housing situation

Variables	Category	Frequency n (%)		
5		No 100(15.00()	Yes	
Do you have your own room?		133(15.8%)	711(84.2%)	
Do you share the room with	one student only?	317 (37.6%)	527(62.4%)	
	more than two students?	547(64.8%)	297(35.2%)	
Do you share toilet with	four people?	486(57.6%)	358(42.4%)	
	other people?	328(38.9%)	516(61.1%)	
Do you have your own kitchen?		380(45.0%)	464(55.0%)	
Do you share the kitchen with	up to four other people?	553(65.5%)	290(34.4%)	
	more than seven people?	616 (73.0%)	228(27.0%)	
Do you have a pre-paid electricity meter	r?	551(65.3%)	293(34.7%)	
Is your room WIFI provided by the landl	ord?	654(77.5%)	190(22.5%)	
How is the approximate surface area of your room?	18 square metres (3X6) 12 square metres (3X4) 8 square metres (2X4)	215(2 247(2 382(4	9.3%)	
Transport fares to campus per month	≤ N 2000	356(4		
Source of water supply	>₦2000 - ≤ ₦3000 >₦3000 - ₦10000 None Borehole	252(2 179(2 57(6 191(2	1.2%) .8%)	
	Shallow Well Public Tap Private Tap River	159(1) 206(2) 169(2) 119(1)	8.8%) 4.4%) 0.0%)	
Where do you live now?	On-campus	0(0.	0%)	
What is your average monthly WIFI bills?	off-campus ₦1500	100 (1 296 (3		
	N 2000	164 (1	9.4%)	
	₦3000	221 (2	6.2%)	
	None	163 (1	9.3%)	

N=Naira, 1US Dollar = N1200

Table 3 depicts the most important attributes of student room. There should be provision of attached toilet to their room 793(94.0%), followed by living on single room 790(93.6%), living in a new building (89.7%) and have a 24-hour computer lab in the building 757(89.6%). More than half of the respondent's dislike sharing their room with someone else 476(56.6%). The provision of wireless internet 748(88.6%) and their security 730(86.5%) were also key attributes requested by the students. The vast majority of respondents 578(68.5%) agreed that they would prefer to share their rooms with only students of their own State and share the toilet with students of the same gender 664(78.7%). The favourable attitude towards a convenience shop/ kiosk/ provision store 719(85.2%) and entertainment hall 667(79.0%) in the hostel accommodations were very high among the respondents.

Table 3. Hostel room attributes

The relationship between the gender and age group among participants and responses to the room' attributes

The results in Table 4 revealed a significant difference between respondents of $>20 - \le 25$ years of age and their preferences for residing in cheaper rooms (p=0.002), requesting an attached toilet to the room (p=0.016) and living in a new building(p=0.009) while those of ≤ 20 years of age chosen having provision stores in the residence (p=0.003) and entertainment halls in the residence (p=0.009).

Variables	Gende	er n (%)	Age group n (%)			
	Female	Male	≤ 20 years	>20 - ≤25 years	>25 years	
Do you want your own room?	432 (93.7%)	358(93.5%)	615(93.9%)	161(92.0%)	14(100.0%)	
Total			790(93.6%)			
P-value	0.8	389		0.407		
Do you want an attached toilet in the room?	436(94.6%)	357(93.2%)	, ,	116(66.3%)	11(78.6%)	
Total			793(94.0%)			
<i>P</i> -value	0.4	107		0.204		
Do you want a cheapest room?	405(87.9%)	337(88.0%)	587(89.6%)	141(80.6%)	14(100.0%)	
Total	_		742(87.9%)			
P-value Do you want your own toilet in the room? Total	433 (93.9%)	952 355(92.7%)	94.7% (94.7%) 788(93.4%)	0.002 155(88.6%)	13(92.9%)	
<i>P</i> -value Do you want a cleaning		172 282(73.6%)	·	0.016 129(73.7%)	9(64.3%)	
service for the hostel? Total	617(7	3.1%)		617(73.1%)		
<i>P</i> -value Do you want to live in a		754 346(90.3%)	598(91.3%)	0.746 146(83.4%)	13(92.9%)	
new building? Total	757(8	9.7%)		757(89.7%)		
P-value	,	573		0.009		
Do you want sharing your room with someone else?	248 (53.8%)	230(60.1%)	357(54.7%)	113 (64.6%)	8(57.1%)	
Total P-value	•	6.6%) 068		478(56.6%) 0.058		
Do you want a 24-hour computer lab in the building?		348(90.9%)	592(90.4%)	151(86.3%)	13(92.9%)	
Total P-value	756(8 0.264	9.6%)		756(89.6%) 0.266		
Do you want to have unlimited Wifi at ₩2000 per month?	402 (87.2%)	346(90.3%)	582(88.9%)	153(87.4%)	13(92.9%)	
Total			748(88.6%)			
P-value		153	. ,	0.767	A/== ::::	
Do you want to have 24-hour security?	405 (87.9%)	325(84.9%)	357(54.5%)	113(64.6%)	8(57.1%)	
Total <i>P</i> -value	730(86.5%) 0.2	205		730(86.5%) 0.058		
Do you want to have inhouse laundry facilities?	369(80.0%)		524(80.0%)	141(80.6%)	9(64.3%)	
Total P-value		(9.6%) 383		0.337		

Do you want to have a shuttle service to and	399(86.6%)	333(86.9%)	568(86.7%)	151(86.3%)	13(92.9%)
fro campus? Total	732 (8	6.7%)		732(86.7%)	
<i>P</i> -value	8.0			0.784	
Do you want to have a self-catering kitchen in the hostel	394(85.5%)	332(86.7%)	570(87.0%)	145(82.9%)	11(78.6%)
Total	726(8	6.0%)		726(86.0%)	
<i>P</i> -value	•	312 [´]		0.266	
Do you want to have communal study room in the building?	402(87.2%)	340(88.8%)	576(87.9%)	133(87.4%)	13(92.9%)
Total	742(8	7.9%)		742(87.9%)	
<i>P</i> -value	0.4			0.835	
Do you want to have a TV in your room?	269(58.4%)	247(64.5%)	399(60.9%)	107(61.1%)	10(71.4%)
Total	560(6	1.1%)		516(61.1%)	
<i>P</i> -value	0.1	34		0.727	
Do you want to live					
Off-campus	229(49.7%)	197(51.4%)	332(50.7%)	87(49.7%)	7(50.0)
On-campus	232(50.3%)	186(48.6%)	323(49.3%)	88(50.3)	7(50.0)
<i>P</i> -value	0.6			0.974	
Do you want to share your room with students of your own State?	304(65.9%)	274(71.5%)	436(66.6%)	130(74.3%)	12(85.7%)
<i>P</i> -value	0.0	187		0.056	
Do you want to share the toilet with students	347(75.3%)	317(82.8%)	521(79.5%)	132(75.4%)	11(78.6%)
of your gender? <i>P</i> -value	0.0	100		0.400	
		008 304(79.4%)	519/70 10/\	0 .498 130(74.3%)	10/71 10/)
Do you want to be within walking distance to faculty?	354(76.6%)	304(79.4%)	518(79.1%)	130(74.3%)	10(71.4%)
P-value	0.3	867		0.332	
Do you want provision store in the residence?		322(84.1%)	571(87.2%)	139(79.4%)	9(64.3%)
P-value	0.4	05		0.003	
Do you want to an entertainment hall in	356(77.2%)	311(81.2%)	531(81.1%)	128(73.1%)	8(57.1%)
the residence?					
<i>P</i> -value	0.1	58		0.009	

Hostel room attributes by students' secondary schools State/Geopolitical zone and faculties.

Students that attended secondary school in Kogi State and South West geopolitical zone significantly preferred cheapest room (P=0.040) than other students. The questions 'Do you want to live in a new building?' (P=0.011) and 'Do you want sharing your room with someone else?' (P=0.021) were attributes that significantly varied among students according to their secondary school (State/geopolitical zone) as shown in the table 5. However, students have similar opinions on the questions "Do you want your own room?", "Do you want an attached toilet in the room?", "Do you want a cleaning service for the hostel?", "Do you want to have unlimited WiFi at \\2000 per month?", "Do you want to have in-house laundry facilities?"

irrespective of the secondary attended and faculty in which they have enrolled. However, a significant difference was found between students on their preferences for the yearly accommodation fee (p=0.040), residing in the new residence halls (p=0.011), sharing your room with someone else (p=0.021), the number of people sharing a kitchen (p=0.021), been in the within walking distance to campus (p=0.042) and location of their secondary school (Table 5).

The table 5. Hostel room attributes by students' secondary schools State/Geopolitical zone and faculties.

Variables	Secondary school (State/geopolitical zone) n (%)						Faculty n (%)			
	Kogi	FCT	North Central	South West	South East	Others	HA	SC	MASS	
Do you want your own room?	438(93.4%)	79(97.5%)	97(93.3%)	112(94.1%)	36(87.8%)	28(93.3%)	97(95.1%)	285(92.8%)	408(93.8%)	
Total			790(93	3.6%)				790(93.6%)		
P-value			0.4	80				0.701		
Do you want a cheapest room?	419(89.3%)	72(88.9%)	85(81.7%)	108(90.8%)	36(87.8%)	22(73.3%)	88(86.3%)	269(87.6%)	385(88.5%)	
Total			742(87	•				742(87.9%)		
<i>P</i> -value			0.0	40				0.808		
Do you want an attached toilet in	437(93.2%)	77(95.1%)	98(94.2%)	114(95.8%)	36(87.8%)	26(86.7%)	94(92.2%)	286(93.2%)	408(93.8%)	
the room? Total			788(93	3 4%)				788(93.4%)		
P-value			0.3	•				0.823		
Do you want a cleaning service for the hostel?	332(70.8%)	62(76.5%)	77(74.0%)	94(79.0%)	32(78.0%)	20(66.7%)	82(80.4%)	216(70.4%	319(73.3%)	
Total			617(73	3.1%)				617(73.1%)		
<i>P</i> -value			0.4	•				0.139		
Do you want to live in a new building?	433(92.3%)	74(91.4%)	85(81.7%)	106(89.1%)	35(85.4%)	24(80.0%)	91(89.2%)	277(90.2%)	389(89.4%)	
Total P-value			757(89.7%) 0.011					757(89.7%) 0.926		
Do you want sharing your room with someone else?	250(53.3%)	45(55.6%)	59(56.7%)	70(58.8%)	31(75.6%)	23(76.7%	63(61.8%	178(58.0%)	237(54.5%)	
Total			478(56	6.6%)				478(56.6%)		
<i>P</i> -value			0.0	21				0.343		
.Do you want a 24-hour computer lab	417(88.9%)	77(95.1%)	90(86.5%)	110(92.4%)	36(87.8%)	26(86.7%)	95(93.1%)	273(88.9%)	388(89.2%)	
in the building? Total			756(89	9.6%)				756(89.6%)		
P-value			0.3	•				0.451		
Do you want to have unlimited WiFi at ₩2000 per	418(89.1%)	73(90.1%)	92(88.5%)	100(84.0%)	38(92.7%)	27(90.0%)	94(92.2%	272(88.6%	382(87.8%)	
month?										
Total			748(88	,				748(88.6%)		
<i>P</i> -value	400/07 00/3	74/04 40/	0.6		04/00 00/	04/00 00/	00/00 00/	0.462	200/07 40/	
Do you want to have 24-hour security?	408(87.0%)	74(91.4%)	89(85.6%)	101(84.9%)	34(82.9%)	24(80.0%)	88(86.3%)	262(85.3%)	380(87.4%)	
Total P-value			730(86 0.6	,				730(86.5%) 0.730		

Do you want to have in-house	368(78.5%)	68(84.0%)	85(81.7%)	99(83.2%)	31(75.6%)	23(76.7%	77(75.5%)	247(80.5%)	350(80.5%)
laundry facilities? Total P-value			674(79 0.68	•				674(79.9%) 0.503	
Do you want to have a shuttle service to and fro campus?	399(85.1%)	73(90.1%)	93(89.4%)	109(91.6%)	32(78.0%	26(86.7%	91(89.2%	268(87.3%	373(85.7%)
Total <i>P</i> -value			732(86 0.17	•				732(86.7%) 0.607	
Do you want to have a self-catering kitchen in the hostel?	402(85.7%)	71(87.7%)	86(82.7%)	106(89.1%)	36(87.8%	25(83.3%	95(93.1%)	260(84.7%	371(85.3%)
Total <i>P</i> -value			726(86 0.79	•				726(86.0%) 0.085	
Do you want to have communal study room in the building?	413(88.1%)	75(92.6%)	90(86.5%)	106(89.1%)	34(82.9%	24(80.0%	97(95.1%)	267(87.0%	378(86.9%)
Total <i>P</i> -value			742(87 0.44	•				742(87.9%) 0.060	
Do you want to have your own TV	280(59.7%)	49(60.5%)	62(59.6%)	85(71.4%)	26(63.4%)	14(46.7%)	56(54.9%)	192(62.5%	268(61.6%)
in my room? Total <i>P</i> -value			516(61 0.12	•				516(61.1%) 0.374	
Do you want to live?	230(49.0%)	35(43.2%)	53(51.0%)	61(51.3%)	24(58.5%)	15(50.0%)	47(46.1%)	153(49.8%	218(50.1%)
Total <i>P</i> -value		,	418(49 0.71	•	,	,	,	418(49.5%) 0. 757	
Do you want to share your room with students of	321(68.4%)	52(64.2%)	77(74.0%)	78(65.5%)	25(61.0%)	25(83.3%)	72(70.6%)	196 (63.8%)	310(71.3%)
your own State? Total			578(68	.5%)				578(68.5%)	
P-value			0.23	37				0.089	
Do you want to share the toilet with students of	364 (77.6%)	63(77.8%)	91(87.5%)	89(74.8%)	33(80.5%	24(80.0%	85(83.3%	243(79.2%	336(77.2%)
your gender? Total			664(78	•				664(78.7%)	
P-value Do you want to be within walking distance to	363(77.4%)	66(81.5%	0.27 92(88.5%)	85(71.4%)	31(75.6%)	21(70.0%	82(80.4%	0.388 237(77.2%)	339(77.9%)
faculty? Total <i>P</i> -value			658(78 0.04	•				658(78.0%) 0.797	
Do you want provision store in	400(85.3%)	75(92.6%)	91(87.5%)	94(79.0%)	32(78.0%)	27(90.0%)	87(85.3%)	261(85.0%)	371(85.3%)
the residence? Total			719(85	•				719(85.2%)	
P-value Do you want to an entertainment hall in the residence?	372(79.3%)	63(77.8%)	0.08 86(82.7%)	96(80.7%)	29(70.7%)	21(70.0%	84(82.4%	0.994 227(73.9%)	3568(1.8%)
Total			667(79	.0%)				667(79.0%	
P-value			0.50	15				0.023	

Sociodemographic characteristics as predictors of hostel room' preferences

244245

Table 6. Independent predictors of hostel room attributes among newly admitted's students at Kogi State University, Kabba, Kogi Sate (n = 844) based on the final logistic regression model (Crude odds ratios).

haracteristics	Attributes	P-value	Odds ratio	95%CI
Age group	Do you want a cheapest room?			
≤ 20 years (655)		Reference	0.000	4.000
>20 - ≤25 years (175)		0.001	2.082	1.326 - 3.267
>25 years (14)	Do you want an attached tailet in			
(055)	Do you want an attached toilet in the room?			
≤ 20 years (655) >20 - ≤25 years (175)		0.005	2.286	1.284 - 4.070
>25 years (14)		0.769	1.363	0.173 - 10.715
	Do you want to live in a new building?			
≤ 20 years (655)				
>20 - ≤25 years		0.003	2.084	1.287 - 3.375
(175) >25 years (14)		0.838	0.807	0.104 -
- 20 yours (14)		0.000	0.00.	6.281
()	Do you want to have 24-hour onsite security?			
≤ 20 years (655)		0.002	2.003	1.292 -
>20 - ≤25 years (175)		0.002	2.003	3.105
>25 years (14)		0.260	2.109	0.575 -
, ,				7.732
< 20 years (GEE)	Do you want to be within walking distance to campus?			
≤ 20 years (655) >20 - ≤25 years		0.174	1.309	0.888 -
(175)		0	1.000	1.929
>25 years (14)		0.490	1.512	0.467 - 4.896
	Do you want to an entertainment hall in the residence?			
≤ 20 years (655)		0.000	4 570	4.000
>20 - ≤25 years (175)		0.022	1.572	1.068 - 2.316

>25 years (14)		0.034	3.212	1.095 - 9.423
Secondary schools Kogi (469) FCT (81)	Do you want a cheapest room?	Reference 0.904	0.904	1.048 -
North Central (104)		0.033	0.033	.494 1.873 -
South West (119)		0.651	0.854	1.052 0.430 -
South East (41)		0.762	1.164	1.695 .437 -
Others (30)		0.011	3.047	3.102 1.289 -
Secondary schools	Do you want to live in a new			7.206
Kogi (469) FCT (81)	building?	0.765	1.138	.488 -
North Central (104)		0.001	2.689	2.652 1.472 -
South West (119)		0.255	1.475	4.911 .756 -
South East (41)		0.127	2.062	2.879 .813 -
Others (30)		0.024	3.007	5.228 1.155 -
Secondary schools	Do you want sharing your room with			7.830
Kogi (469) FCT (81)	someone else?	.708	.913	.568 -
North Central (104)		.526	.871	1.468 .567 -
South West (119)		.281	.799	1.336 .532 -
South East (41) Others (30) Secondary schools	Do you want to be within walking	.008 .017	.368 .347	1.201 .176768 .146825
Kogi (469)	distance to campus?			
FCT (81)		0.414	0.778	0.427 - 1.419
North Central (104)		0.013	0.447	0.236 - .847
South West (119)		0.173	1.370	0.871 - 2.154
South East (41)		0.793	1.105	0.524 - 2.327
Others (30)		0.353	1.468	0.653 - 3.300
Secondary schools	Do you want to an entertainment hall in the residence?			0.000
Kogi (469) FCT (81)		0.753	1.096	0.620 -
North Central (104)		0.438	0.803	1.937 0.461 - 1.398

South West (119)		0.743	0.919	0.553 - 1.525
South East (41)		0.202	1.587	0.781 - 3.224
Others (30)		0.231	1.644	0.730 - 3.703
Faculty	Do you want to an entertainment hall in the residence?			3.703
HA (102)	riali ili tile residence :	0.903	0.966	0.549 - 1.698
SC (307)		0.010	1.588	1.116 - 2.260
MASS (435) Faculty	Do you want to share your room with students of your own State?	Reference		2.200
HA (102)	with students of your own State!	0.892	1.033	0.643 - 1.660
SC (307)		0.033	1.404	1.000 1.028 - 1.919
MASS (435) Faculty	Do you want to have a self-catering kitchen in the hostel?			1.919
HA (102)		0.040	0.427	0.190 - 0.962
SC (307)		.822	1.048	.696 - 1.577
MASS (435)				1.077

DISCUSSION

This research article reports findings of a cross-sectional exploratory study on students hostels accommodation preferences conducted among newly admitted students at a newly created Kogi State University, Kabba, Nigeria. The questionnaire yielded an 84.4% response rate. The high response is similar to that achieved in Malaysia (92.59%) by Nimrod Siluyel (12) and (91.79%) Khozaei (4) but lower to that recorded in Johannesburg (50.8%) by Ijasan and Ahmed (21). The preponderance of female participants is due to the fact that there are more overall female students than male which in line with school management to empower the female child and the international student housing studies carried out by Gabbianelli (22) at the University of Urbin (Italy). However, the findings contrast with lesser female participants in a study by Siluyele (12).

There is body of evidence suggesting that study on student's hostel preferences should be preceded with a situational analysis of the current conditions. In a survey at School of Housing Building and Planning, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Khozaei (23)

pinpointed the fact that the accessibility of student accommodation hostels has been 276 277 recognized as one of the major issues that students must consider when choosing a 278 university. Currently, Kogi State University Kabba offers no on-campus accommodation for its students. Consequently, students are facing additional housing 279 pressure specially those coming from others geopolitical zones of Nigeria. More than 280 86.9% of students ≤ 20 years are living singly and share their kitchen with more than seven peoples which may be a cause of concern for parents in view of the rise of 282 security challenges facing the country. The cost of transportation and provision of 283 water supply in the off-campus are major drawbacks and can represent an impediment 284 for academic excellence of the students (24). Substantial proportion of the students 285 still rely on flowing water from the river to cater for their needs. Thus, exposing them 286 to various parasitic and bacteriological, diseases such as malaria, schistosomiasis and 287 onchocerciasis which are still widespread in the areas, and reducing considerably the 288 times allocated for academic purposes. 289 The present study revealed that the concept of privacy is highly valued by the vast 290 291 majority of the students. In regard to the privacy in the room, students ≤ 20 years of age preferred singly room than rooms of three or even four people and there was 292 significant difference between the age groups (P=0.0001). The findings of this study 293 are consistent with a research work assessing various criteria in students' residential 294 295 preferences by Oppewal (25) which revealed that either undergraduate or graduate students at a university in the United Kingdom are sensitive to sharing rooms and 296 297 toilets facilities with other students. However, contradicts the work of Olusegun (2015) indicate that, on the average, the occupancy ratio of on-campus accommodation 298 299 compared to hostels facilities in Nigerian tertiary institutions has increased by 300 per 300 cent, implying that a room designed for four bedspace is occupy by averagely fourteen students (26). Kelly (27) further clarified that some of the standing tables and chairs 301 have been carted away to provide space for supplementary double bunks. Various 302 303 authors have opined that the concept of privacy is diverse and can vary from intrinsic 304 characteristics, cultures, education levels and social backgrounds, genders, age, as well as economical levels (28). In Nigeria, where the context of extended family is 305

prevailing, students find it accommodating to have one to two roommates without any 306 307 difficulty. This is more acceptable if they are coming from the same secondary 308 school/geopolitical zone. The questions 'transport fares to campus per month' (p=0.023), 'Water Supply' (p=0.004) varied significantly within the age groups. Male 309 students expressed marked preferences for extra-large rooms compared to females 310 311 (p=0.047). Nearly half of male students preferred (p=0.047) settling for bigger room compared to female as shown in supplementary Table S1 and S2. The results of the 312 study are consistent with the work of (2), Consequently, developers should adequately 313 factor the size of rooms to meet that particular variable while building new 314 accommodations for new generational Nigerian students. The room size appears to be 315 a crucial attribute in decision making in selecting a room. This is due to the fact that 316 317 in advent of adding a roommate the room will be wide enough for comfortability (29). The preference for single room occupancy is tantamount to bed and bathroom parity. 318 Our analysis revealed that 94.0% of students preferred attached bathroom in their room 319 and 93.6% a single room occupancy. This fact might be attributed to an increasing 320 321 appreciation of privacy among students. This tendency illustrates that single room occupancy and a toilet attached that provide more privacy are thereby becoming the 322 323 hostel accommodation model among students. After the COVID-19 pandemic, single occupancy might become a norm as a means of breaking the transmission of the virus 324 325 or any emerging viruses. Adama (30) emphasized a huge concern of the new generation for privacy among Nigerian students as one of the keys determining factors 326 327 in the room preference. Such attitudes were corroborated in Malaysian (4) and Belgian students (31). Other reasons mentioned by Wong (32) were dirtiness, uncomforted, 328 329 indiscrete. In addition, students do not have to line up to use bathroom, toilets when 330 those facilities are attached to their rooms. In contrast, Olayinka (33) found on-campus 331 hostel accommodation were occupied as much as eight students due to the squatting with fellow students. 332 333 The study highlighted the fact that participants with ≤ 20 years of age (p=0.009) 334 indicated a greater propensity to live in a new building, as well as students that attended secondary in Kogi State (p=0.011). In contrast, Oppewal (25) working on 335

336 "Student preferences for university accommodation: an application of the stated preference approach" found out that undergraduate students show a strong preference 337 338 for mixed gender floors and renovated instead of new buildings. This could be attributed to the fact that younger and students residing in Kogi State where the 339 university is located would like to live in a different environment and be empowered 340 341 and experienced and independent live far from their parental homes. The importance of technological pedagogical and content knowledge (TPACK) in the 342 tertiary education at the twilight of covid-19 pandemic is dramatically growing 343 worldwide. The demands on the teaching in universities level dictated by globalisation 344 necessitate students to be also equipped and stayed connected. ICT infrastructure and 345 access can significantly contribute to improving teaching and learning at university 346 347 level (34). Access to the internet was reported as one of the highest preferred facilities in the hostel accommodation for students. The results of the present study underline 348 the vitality of internet access to the newly admitted students. For instance, in university 349 350 residence halls at Universiti Sains Malyasia (USM), Penang Island, Malaysia, by 351 Khozaei (35), free internet access was the most preferred facility. This is in consistent with our study in which students periodize internet connection as one ideal tool to link 352 353 up with their lecturers, research in the web, search for recent information for their seminars and thesis and submit online their assignments as well as zooming for 354 355 teleconferences. In the recent past, Nigeria has experienced incidents of security breach in educational 356 institutions just to mention among others, as follows: On 2nd April 2023 — Armed 357 bandits abducted two female students at the Federal University Gusau (FUGUS) in 358 359 Zamfara State (36) and 9th May 2024 armed bandits invaded and abducted 9 students from Confluence University of Science and Technology (CUST), Okene, Kogi State 360 (37). Niyi (36) concluded that insecurity challenges have resulted in kidnapping, 361 maiming, arson, rape, extortion, killing of students, lecturers, non-academic staff and 362 363 ultimately destruction of infrastructural facilities in Nigeria. Wong (32) in exploring 364 university students' preference for on-campus hostel attributes, revealed that security is the highest among these motivational values and security value relates to the sense 365

of security about their future, personal health and integrity, privacy and safety issues 366 367 while residing in the university hostel far from home. 368 The present study indicates that the vast majority of students (71.2%) were ready to pay for N200.000 yearly for on-campus accommodation. Unexpectedly, students 369 showed a strong preference for an average monthly rent, that falls within the range of 370 371 rent amounts the highest and the lowest. In comparison, in 2016, the rental fees for the students at the Federal University of Technology living on-campus paid per academic 372 session for a bed space were \N8,000 for the old hostels and \N18,000 for the new 373 building (38). This is in agreement with a survey by (12) in Zambia a Survey on 374 Student Preferences of Facilities and Models of Accommodation at Kapasa Makasa 375 University, Zambia which showed that students were strongly reluctant to pay high 376 rental fees if a new hostels accommodations were constructed. This indicates that the 377 student's eagerness to pay an acceptable, reasonable and Affordable accommodation 378 fee that equate the value of the room, the facilities, the amenities and the services in 379 the building. 380 381 The present study showed that students were consistent for the provision of 24-hour computer lab in the building, unlimited Wifi, 24-hour, on-site security, in-house 382 laundry facilities, a self-catering kitchen, communal study room. Our results are 383 consistent with a student housing research and survey conducted by (39) which 384 385 revealed that students placed more value on operational facilities, such as Wi-Fi, inhouse laundry, 24-hour computer hall, and parking over pools, fitness centres, and hot 386 387 tubs. Therefore, Booty, (40) emphasized that "student housing is increasingly being positioned at the centre of mixed-use developments". Knight Frank (41) elucidated the 388 389 concept by adding that mixed-use developments provide a combination of residential, office, hospitality, laundry, fitness centres restaurants and groceries into a single 390 391 development. Students in their vast majority preferred to have provision store in the building. 392 393 Though. we found out students affiliated to the faculty of AH have elevated preference 394 to the provision of a provision store/kiosk (P=0.060) in the new building. The presence of provision store renders the accessibility of various products needed (groceries, 395

snacks, foods, drinks, toiletries) by the students easy, saves time and energy which will be used for others academic activities. Our findings are consistent with works of Wong (32) and Miller (42) who pinpointed that the provision of laundry facilities, security systems, communal spaces, 24-hour computer hall, coffee shops, satellite dining facilities, convenience stores do blend studying and relaxing for the students.

CONCLUSIONS

The objectives of the survey were to give an insight of the student housing preferences of a newly admitted students in the Kogi State University, Kabba, Nigeria. Our findings revealed that the most considered room' attributes were living in a new building, attached toilet to the room and the rental cost. Taken into accounts these attributes while conceptualizing, designing and constructing hostel accommodations for the students will creates an optimum environment for students to excel academically.

407 408 409

401

402

403

404

405

406

Limitation to the study.

The study findings are limited to the Kogi State University, Kabba, Kogi State, Nigeria and as such findings cannot be generalized to other tertiary institutions in the country due to differences in social, cultural and academic environments among tertiary institutions in Nigeria. However, this study can be replicated in other newly approved universities within Nigeria so that findings can be generalized.

415 Acknowledgements

- Authors would like to thank the NYSC members, all the study participants and the staff members of the Kogi State University, Kabba for their cooperation and support.
- 418 Competing interests
- The authors declare that they have no competing interests
- 420 Funding
- 421 The designing, data collection, analysis, and interpretation and manuscript writing for this
- 422 study were funded by the authors
- 423 Authors' contributions
- 424 AM conceive, supervise, secured and transferred all collected data and critically reviewed the
- 425 manuscript. KITE reviewed the study design, acquired and interpreted the data. OA design
- 426 the protocol and carried out the statistical analysis. BT conceptualized, designed the study
- 427 and drafted the manuscript, all authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

428

COMPETING INTERESTS DISCLAIMER:

Authors have declared that they have no known competing financial interests OR non-financial interests OR personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

REFERENCES

- 430 1. Nomkhosi Xulu-Gama. (2019). The Role of Student Housing in Student Success: An Ethnographic Account. *Journal of Student Affairs in Africa*. 7(2), 15-25
- 432 2. Dizaj Mohammadreza Daliri & Tohid Hatami Khanghahi (2022). Students' residential preferences: a case study is dormitories of University of Mohaghegh Ardabili, *Journal of Asian*
- 434 Architecture and Building Engineering, 21:4
- 3. Najib, M.N.U., Yusof, N.A. and Osman, Z. (2011), "Measuring satisfaction with student housing facilities", *American Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences*, 4(1), 52-60
- 437 4. Khozaei, F., Hassan, A.S., Kodmany, K. and Aara, Y. (2014), Examination of student housing preferences, their similarities and differences. *Facilities*, 32(11/12). 709-722.
- 439 5. Subair, S. 'Tayo, Adeniyi, A. Omotosho (2021). Assessment of Availability, Accessibility and
- Adequacy of Hostel Facilities in Nigerian Universities. *International Journal of Humanities*Social Sciences and Education (IJHSSE). 8(7), 17-25
- 442 6. Dang, Emmanuel Istifanus (2015). The Impact of Nigerian Universities Enrolment and The
- 443 Unemployment Situation in Nigeria (1980 2014). *Journal of Social Sciences and Public Policy*, 7.
- 7. Hli access network, (2024). Retrieved May, 2024 from, info@waawfoundation.org
- 446 8. Azeez T. and Taiwo D. (2016). Comparative Assessment of Students Satisfaction with
- Hostel Accommodation in Selected Private Universities in Ogun State, Nigeria. *European Scientific Journal*. 123(32). 410 425.
- 9. Owolabi, B. O. (2015). The Effects of Students' Housing on Academic Performance at the
- University of Ibadan in Nigerian. *International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research*, 6(3), 1118 1132
- 452 10. Cain D. and Gary R. (2006). The impact of facilities on recruitment and retention of students. Part 1. *Facilities Manager Magazine*,222006.
- 454 11.Siluyele Nimrod, Edward Nkonde, Malawo Mweemba, Goodhope Kaluba and Cleopas
- Zulu, (2020), A Survey on Student Preferences of Facilities and Models of Accommodation at
- 456 Kapasa Makasa University, Zambia. In: Education Quarterly Reviews. 3(2), 261-270.
- 457 12.Claire Reeves Laroche, Mary A. Flanigan and Kenneth P. Copeland Jr. (2010). Students
- 458 housing: trends, preferences and needs. Contemporary Issues in Education Research. 3(10).
- 459 13. Gawlik Remigiusz, Michał Głuszak, Agnieszka Małkowska, (2017). The Measurement of
- 460 Housing Preferences In The Analytic Hierarchy Process. Folia Oeconomica Stetinensia
- 461 14.Maina, J. and Aji J.Y. (2017) Influence of Accommodation on the academic performance of Architecture Students. *Built Environment Journal*.14(2).
- 463 15. Awotwe Eric, Daniel Abina Dwaase and Elizabeth Okyerewa Obuobi Obese (2022).
- 464 Ghanaian Students' Expectations on Off-Campus Housing Facilities: A Case of University of
- 465 Cape Coast. Journal Of Humanities and Social Science (IOSR-JHSS). 27(4). 53-58.
- 466 16.Chandigarh University (2013). Hostels: Home away from home. Retrieved May 2024 from http://www.cuchd.in/student-services/hostel-facility.php.
- 468 17.Emmanuel O. Eseyin (2017). Safety Conditions of Hostel Accommodation in Public Universities in Nigeria. Knowledge. *Review*. 36(1).
- 470 18.Olayinka Ajala, Adewale Akingbade, Afolabi Olabamiji, Elijah Folorunsho. Obafemi
- 471 Awolowo University, Nigeria. Quality of Students' Accommodation in Nigeria's Tertiary
- 472 Institutions. (2022). Quality of students' accommodation in Nigeria's tertiary institutions.
- 473 Interdisciplinary Journal of Education Research, 4, 60-74,
- 474 19. Seemiller, C., & Grace, M. (2017). Generation Z: Educating and Engaging the Next
- 475 Generation of Students. *About Campus*, 22(3), 21-26. https://doi.org/10.1002/abc.21293
- 476 20. Mohammed, A. B., Adewumi, M. O., and Omokolu O. A. (2016). Malaria Incidence and
- 477 Determinant of Welfare Loss Among Farming Households in Kabba/Bunnu Area Of Kogi
- 478 State, Nigeria, Nigerian Journal of Agriculture, Food and Environment. 12(4):105-109,
- 479 21. Ijasan, K. & Ahmed, V. 2016. Studentification and the housing needs of international
- 480 students in Johannesburg. In V. Ahmed, A. Opoku, & Z. Aziz, eds. Research methodology in
- the built environment: A selection of case studies. London: Routledge: 132–150.

- 482 22. Gabbianelli Linda and Tonino Pencarelli (2022). On-campus accommodation service
- 483 quality: the mediating role of students' satisfaction on word of mouth. *Emerald Insigh.* 35(5), 484 1224-1255
- 485 23. Khozaei Fatemeh, Ahmad Sanusi Hassan, Nordin Abd Razak (2011). Development and 486 validation of the student accommodation preferences instrument (SAPI). Journal of Building
- 487 Appraisal. 6(3;4), 299–313
- 488 24. Oladiran, O.J. (2013). A post occupancy evaluation of student's hostels accommodation, 489
- Journal of building performance, volume 4 (1): 33-43. 490
- 25. Oppewal, H., Y. Poria, N. Ravenscroft, and G. Speller. (2017). "Student Preferences for
- 491 University Accommodation: An Application of the Stated Preference Approach." Housing, 492 Space and Quality of Life Chapter 9: 113–124
- 493 26. Olusegun P.A (2015), Students' Assessment of Hostel Facilities in the Polytechnic Ibadan,
- 494 Ibadan, Nigeria: Realities and Challenges. Research on Humanities and Social 495 Sciences, (5)17
- 496 27. Kelly O, Miriam M. N. and Alloys W. M. (2016). The Influence of Availability of College
- 497 Hostel Accommodation Facilities on Students' Academic Performance in Kenya 498 Medical Training Colleges in Western Kenya Region. African Journal of Education
- 499 and Practice.4, (1).
- 500 28. Osman, D.O. and Halime, D. (2000), "Privacy dimensions: a case study in the interior 501 architecture design studio", Journal of Environmental Psychology, Vol. 20 No. 1, pp. 53-64;
- 502 29. Gbadegesin, Marais, Von Maltitz, Cloete, Lenka, Rani, Campbell, Denoon Stevens,
- 503 Venter, Koetaan and Pretorius (2022). Journal of Student Affairs Research and Practice
- 504 30. Adama Joshua Ugbede, Douglas Omoregie Aghimien, Christopher Odunmorayo Fabunmi
- 505 (2018). Students' Housing in Private Universities in Nigeria: Influencing Factors and Effect on
- 506 Academic Performance International Journal of Built Environment and Sustainability.
- 507 31. Verhetsel, A., Kessels, R., Zijlstra, T., and Van Bavel, M. (2017). Housing preferences
- 508 among students: Collective housing versus individual accommodations? A stated preference
- 509 study in Antwerp (Belgium). Journal of Housing and the Built Environment. 32(3), 449-470.
- 510 32. Wong Chih Siong, and Allen Chin Wei Jie (2022). University Students' Preference for On-
- 511 Campus Hostel Attributes. International Journal of Social Science and Human Research
- 512 33. Ajala Olayinka, Adewale Akingbade, Afolabi Olabamiji, Elijah Folorunsho (2022). Quality
- 513 of students' accommodation in Nigeria's tertiary institutions. Interdisciplinary Journal of 514 Education Research, 4, 60-74
- 515 34. Muianga, X., Klomsri, T., Tedre, M., & Mutimucuio, I. (2018). From teacher-oriented to
- 516 student-centred learning: Developing an ICT-supported learning approach at the Eduardo
- 517 Mondlane University, Mozambique. Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology, 17(2),
- 518 46-54.

- 519 35. Khozaei Fatemeh, Ahmad Sanusi Hassan and T Ramayah (2011). The students' degree
- 520 of preference for residence hall facilities and amenities, study of a developing country 521 African Journal of Business Management. 5(17), 7335-7341,
- 522 36. Ogunode Niyi Jacob, Conrad Ugochukwu Ukozor, Victor Olugbenga Ayoko (2023).
- 523 Insecurity Challenges and Higher Education in Nigeria, Best Journal of Innovation In 524 Science, Research and Development. 2(5).
- 525 37. Retrieved May 2024 from https://punchng.com>kogi-varsity
- 38. Oyetunji Abiodun Kolawolea, Abidoye Rotimi Boluwatifeb, (2016) Assessment of The 526
- 527 Factors Influencing Students' Choice of Residence in Nigerian Tertiary Institutions. Sains 528 Humanika, 8:2, 39-47
- 529 39. Meuller, P. and Havsy, J. (2020). The US Student Housing: On-Campus Student Housing 530 and Student-Competitive Properties. Washington, DC: NMHC Research Foundation.
- 531 40. Booty, J. D. (2019). Mixed-Use Makes Sense for Student Housing. Arlington, VA: NAA. 532
- 534 41. Knight Frank. (2019). Global Student Property. London, UK: Knight Frank.

42. Herman Miller Inc. (2007). Room and board redefined: Trends in residence halls.

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLES

Table S1. Current student's housing situation by gender and age group among participants

54 <u>1</u>

Variables	Category	Gende	er n (%)		Age group n (%)		
		Female	Male	≤ 20 years	>20 - ≤25 years	>25 years	
Do you have your own room		380(82.4%)	331(86.4%)	569(86.9%)	132(75.4%)	10(71.4%)	
Total		711(84.2%)				
P-value		0.	.113		0.0001		
Do you share the room with one student only		282(61.2%)	245(64.0%)	400(61.1%)	116(66.3%)	11(78.6%)	
Total		527(62.4%)				
P-value		0.	403	0.204			
Do you share the room with more than two students		139(30.2%)	158(41.3%)	214(32.7%)	78(44.6%)	5(35.7%)	
Total				297(35.2%)			
P-value		0.	.001		0.014		
Do you have your own kitchen		246(53.4%)	218(56.9%)	373(56.9%)	84(48.0%)	7(50.0%)	
Total				464(55.0%)			
P-value		0.	301	0.100			
Do you share the kitchen with more than seven people		103(22.3%)	125(32.6%)	156(23.8%)	67(38.3%)	5(35.7%)	
Total				228(27.0%)			
P-value			001		0.0001		
Do you share the kitchen with up to four other people		139(30.2%)	151(39.5%)	208(31.8%)	77(44.0%)	5(35.7%)	
Total				290(34.4%)			
P-value		0.	.004		0.011		
Do you share toilet and shower with other people		279(60.5%)	237(61.9%)	391(59.7%)	117(66.9%)	8(57.1%)	
Total				516(61.1%)			
P-value		_	.687		0.215		
Do you share toilet and shower with four people		183(39.7%)	175(45.7%)	264()40.3%	86(49.1%)	8(57.1%)	
Total				358(42.4%)			
P-value			.079		0.058		
Do you share toilet and shower with seven people		138(29.9%)	150(39.2%)	201(30.7%)	80(45.7%)	7(50.0%)	

Total		288(34.1)							
P-value			0.005	0.0001					
Do you have a pre-paid NEPA meter		149(32.3%)	144(37.6%)	206(31.5%)	82(46.9%)	5(35.7%)			
Total				293(34.7%)					
P-value			0.109	0.001					
Is your room wifi provided by the landlord		97(21.0%)	93(24.3%)	122(18.6%)	62(35.4%)	6(42.9%)			
Total		190	0(22.5%)		190(22.5%)				
P-value			0.262		0.0001				
How is the approximate surface area of your room	8 square metres (2X4)	124(26.9%)	164(25.0%)	164(25.0%)	46(26.3%)	5(35.7%)			
	12 square metres (3X4)	146(31.7%)	201(30.7%)	201(30.7%)	43(24.6%)	3(21.4%)			
	18 square metres (3X6)	191(41.4%)	290(44.3%)	290(44.3%)	86(49.1%)	6(42.9%)			
P-value	ζ /	0.047		0.482					
Transport fares to campus per month	≤2000 Naira	186(40.3%)	170(44.4%)	287(43.8%)	67(38.3%)	2(14.3%)			
	№ 2000≤ № 3000	135(29.3%)	117(30.5%)	180(27.5%)	64(36.6%)	8(57.1%)			
	₩3000- ₩10000	100(21.7%)	79(20.6%)	138(21.1%)	38(21.7%)	3(21.4%)			
	None	40(8.7%)	17(4.4%)	50(7.6%)	6(3.4%)	1(7.1%)			
P-value			0.086	0.023					
Water Supply	Borehole	101(21.9%)	90(23.5%)	148(22.6%)	39(22.3%)	4(28.6%)			
	Shallow Well	84(18.2%)	75(19.6%)	134(20.5%)	23(13.1%)	2(14.3%)			
	Public Tap	120(26.0%)	86(22.5%)	166(25.3%)	38(21.7%)	2(14.3%)			
	Private Tap	96(20.8%)	73(19.1%)	133(20.3%)	34(19.4%)	2(14.3%)			
	River	60(13.0%)	59(15.4%)	74(11.3%)	41(23.4%)	4(28.6%)			
P-value	0.608			0.004					
What is your average WIFI bills per month	₩1500	160(34.7%)	136(35.5%)	226(34.5%)	66(37.7%)	4(28.6%)			
•	₩2000	81(17.6%)	83(21.7%)	125(19.1%)	35(20.0%)	4(28.6%)			
	₩3000	118(25.6%)	103(26.9%)	164(25.0%)	54(30.9%)	3(21.4%)			
	None	102(22.1%)	61(15.9%)	140(21.4%)	20(11.4%)	3(21.4%)			
P-value 0.105			0.105	0.114					

SUPPLEMENT 2.

Table S2. Current student' housing situation by Secondary school (State/geopolitical zones) and faculty among participants.

Variables	Categor y	Secondary school (State/geopolitical zone) n (%)						Faculty n (%)		
		Kogi	FCT	North Central	South West	South East	Others	НА	SC	MASS
Do you have your own room		396(84.4%	71(87.7%)	82(78.8%	100(84.0%	35(85.4%	27(90.0%	86(84.3%	246(80.1%	379(87.1%)
Total		711(84.2%)								
P-value		0.579						0.036		
Do you share the room		286(61.0%	50(61.7%)	67(64.4%	74(62.2%)	27(65.9%	23(76.7%	75(73.5%)	180(58.6%	272(62.5%)

with one										
student only		527((2.40/								
Total		527(62.4%								
P-value	0.636							0.027		
Do you share	158(33.7%	23(28.4%)	39(37.5%	44(37.0%)	13(39.0%	17(56.7%	42(41.2%	106(34.5%	149(34.3	
the room)	==(==:::))	(2,111,1)))))	%)	
with more			ĺ		ĺ	ĺ		ĺ	ĺ	
than two										
students					297(35.2%)					
Total										
P-value			0.115					0.401		
Do you share	277(59.1%	47(58.0%)	73(70.2%	67(56.3%)	25(61.0%	27(90.0%	71(69.6%	194(63.2%	251(57.7%)	
toilet and))))))		
shower with										
other people										
Total			516(6)	1.1%)						
P-value			0.006					0.055		
Do you share	107(22.8%	18(22.2%)	32(30.8%	44(37.0%)	15(36.6%	12(40.0%	23(22.5%	98(31.9%)	107(24.6%)	
the kitchen))))	`)			
with more										
than seven										
people										
Total			228(27	7.0%)						
P-value			0.006		,	,		0.048	,	
Do you share	186(39.7%	34(42.0%)	46(44.2%	46(38.7)	22(53.7%	24(80.0%	41(40.2%	143(46.6%	174(40.0%)	
toilet and))))))		
shower with										
four people Total			358(42	2.40/)						
P-value			0.001	2.470)			0 180			
Do you share	151(32.2%	26(32.1%)	41(39.4%	43(36.4%)	13(31.7%	16(53.3%	33(32.4%	0 .180 118(38.6%	139(32.0%)	
the kitchen	131(32.270	20(32.170)	11(39.470	43(30.470)	13(31.770	10(33.370))	139(32.070)	
with up to	,		,		,	,	,	,		
four other										
people										
Total			290(34	1.4%)	•	•			•	
P-value	0.187							0.158		
Do you share	152(32.4%	25(30.9%)	38(36.5%	41(34.5%)	15(39.0%	16(53.3%	35(34.3%	114(37.1%	139(32.0%)	
toilet and)	==(=====))	11(0 11011)))))		
shower with	, ,		ĺ		ĺ	ĺ		ĺ		
seven people										
Total	288(34.1%)									
			0.249				60/61 001	0.341	250/57 70/2	
P-value	0/8/8/ 50:	40/51 000	V	## (CO CO C	10/44			150/40 00/	250(57.7%)	
Do you have	265(56.5%	42(51.9%)	54(51.9%	75(63.0%)	17(41.5%	11(36.7%	63(61.8%	150(48.9%		
Do you have your own	265(56.5%	42(51.9%)	V	75(63.0%)	17(41.5%	11(36.7%))		
Do you have your own kitchen	265(56.5%		V	75(63.0%)	17(41.5%		` .)		
Do you have your own	265(56.5%	42(51.9%) 464(55.0%	V	75(63.0%)	17(41.5%		` .)		
Do you have your own kitchen	265(56.5%		V	75(63.0%)	17(41.5%		` .	0.020		
Do you have your own kitchen Total P-value		464(55.0%	54(51.9%))))	0.020	165(37.9%)	
Do you have your own kitchen	265(56.5%)		54(51.9%	75(63.0%)	17(41.5%)		` .)	165(37.9%)	
Do you have your own kitchen Total P-value Do you have	160(34.1%	464(55.0%)	54(51.9%) 0.045 38(36.5%)	15(50.0%	34(33.3%	0.020	165(37.9%)	
Do you have your own kitchen Total P-value Do you have a pre-paid	160(34.1%	464(55.0%	54(51.9%) 0.045 38(36.5%)	15(50.0%	34(33.3%	0.020	165(37.9%)	
Do you have your own kitchen Total P-value Do you have a pre-paid NEPA meter Total	160(34.1%	464(55.0%)	54(51.9%) 0.045 38(36.5%))	15(50.0%	34(33.3%	0.020 94(30.6%)	165(37.9%)	
Do you have your own kitchen Total P-value Do you have a pre-paid NEPA meter Total P-value	160(34.1%	464(55.0%) 19(23.5%) 293(34.7%)	0.045 38(36.5%) 0.067	42(35.3%)	19(46.3%	15(50.0%	34(33.3%	0.020 94(30.6%) 0 .114		
Do you have your own kitchen Total P-value Do you have a pre-paid NEPA meter Total P-value Is your room	160(34.1%	464(55.0%)	0.045 38(36.5%) 0.067 23(22.1%)	15(50.0%)	34(33.3%)	0.020 94(30.6%)	103(23.7	
Do you have your own kitchen Total P-value Do you have a pre-paid NEPA meter Total P-value Is your room wifi	160(34.1%	464(55.0%) 19(23.5%) 293(34.7%)	0.045 38(36.5%) 0.067	42(35.3%)	19(46.3%	15(50.0%	34(33.3%	0.020 94(30.6%) 0 .114		
Do you have your own kitchen Total P-value Do you have a pre-paid NEPA meter Total P-value Is your room	160(34.1%	464(55.0%) 19(23.5%) 293(34.7%)	0.045 38(36.5%) 0.067 23(22.1%	42(35.3%)	19(46.3%	15(50.0%)	34(33.3%)	0.020 94(30.6%) 0 .114	103(23.7	

P-value		0.028							0.352			
How is the surface area	8 square	115(24.5%	24(29.6%)	35(33.7%	25(21.0%)	11(26.8%	5(16.7%)	27(26.5%	86(28.0%)	102(23.4%)		
of your room	metres (3X4)											
	12 square	133(28.4%	25(30.9%)	37(35.6%	33(27.7%)	11(26.8%	8(26.7%)	28(27.5%	81(26.4%)	138(31.7%)		
	metres (3X4)	,		,		,		,				
	18	221(47.1%	32(39.5%)	32(30.8%	61(51.3%)	19(46.3%	17(56.7%	47(46.1%	140(45.6%	195(44.8%)		
	square metres (3X6)))))))			
P-value	(0110)			0.14	40				0.487	ı		
Transport fares to	≤№2000	199(42.4%	34(42.0%)	44(42.3%	51(42.9%)	16(39.0%	12(40.0%	44(43.1%	139(45.3%	173(39.8%)		
campus per month	№2000- ≤₩3000	127(27.1%	24(29.6%)	33(31.7%	41(34.5%)	15(36.6%	12(40.0%	34(33.3%	87(28.3%)	130(30.1%)		
	№3000- №10000	108(23.0%	19(23.5%)	20(19.2 %)	19(16.0%)	9(22.0%)	4(13.3%)	24(23.5%	68(22.1%)	87(20.0%		
	None	35(7.5%)	4(4.9%)	7(6.7%)	8(6.7%)	1(2.4%)	2(3.5%)	0(0.0%)	13(4.2)	44(10.1%		
<i>P</i> -value				0.83				0.003				
Water Supply	Boreho le	106(22.6%	18(22.2%)	22(21.2%	30(25.2%)	8(19.5%)	7(23.3%)	29(28.4%	58(18.9%)	104(23.9 %)		
	Shallo w Well	92(19.6%)	21(25.9%)	20(19.2%	18(15.1%)	6(14.6%)	2(6.7%)	12(11.8%	65(21.2%)	82(18.9%		
	Public Tap	119(25.4%	17(21.0%)	28(26.9%	25(21.0%)	10(24.4%	7(23.3%)	26(25.5%	83(27.0%)	97(22.3%		
	Private Tap	99(21.1%)	13(16.0%)	16(15.4%)	28(23.5%)	7(17.1%)	6(20.0%)	21(20.6%	67(21.8%)	81(18.6%		
	River	53(11.3%)	12(14.8%)	18(17.3%	18(15.1%)	10(24.4%	8(26.7%)	14(13.7%	34(11.1%)	71(16.3%		
P-value		0.406							0096			
What is your average	№ 1500	146(31.1%	30(37.0%)	47(45.2%	50(42.0%)	13(31.7%)	10(33.3%	39(38.2%	101(32.9%	156(35.9 %)		
WIFI bills per month:	№2000	93(19.8%)	11(13.6%)	17(16.3%	31(26.1%)	6(14.6%)	6(20.0%)	27(26.5%	45(14.7%)	92(21.1%		
	№3000	131(27.9%	22(27.2%)	27(26.0%	21(17.6%)	16(39.0%	4 (1.8%)	31(30.4%	100(32.6%	90(20.7%		
	None	99(21.1%)	18(22.2%)	13(12.5%	17(14.3%)	6(14.6%)	10(.1%)	5(4.9%)	61(19.9%)	97(22.3%		
P-value				0.0	15				0.0001			