*Original Research Article*

By-product Utilization of Industrially Underutilized Cocoa Mucilage Through Wine Fermentation

**ABSTRACT**

Cocoa (*Theobroma cacao L.*), native to the Amazon basin, was introduced to India in the early 20th century and is now classified as a plantation crop, like coffee, tea, and rubber. Its beans are widely used in chocolates, beverages, and confectionery products. As a plantation crop, proper processing and preservation are essential to ensure product availability. Cocoa pulp is the sweet, white, nutrient-rich mucilage surrounding the beans inside the pod. It contains sugars (glucose, fructose, sucrose), organic acids (mainly citric acid), vitamins (notably ascorbic acid), and minerals such as potassium. Though vital for natural fermentation during cocoa processing, this pulp is often discarded as waste. However, when collected hygienically, it can be used to produce value-added health beverages, like low-alcohol, nutrient-rich wine, offering farmers better resource utilization and income. This study focused on the basic physicochemical properties of cocoa mucilage and wine during fermentation. Parameters such as total soluble solids (TSS), pH, acidity, antioxidant activity (DPPH), total phenolic content (TPC), ascorbic acid, reducing sugars, and color were analyzed. These properties help in understanding the biochemical changes occurring during wine fermentation. The physicochemical properties of cocoa mucilage wine like Total phenolic content (TPC), Total soluble solids (TSS), Reducing sugar and DPPH scavenging activity tends to increase compared to that of cocoa mucilage., whereas pH, acidity and ascorbic acid showed a decreasing trend for wine compared to that of its mucilage. These properties highlight its significant potential as a nutrient rich health drink from industrially underutilized cocoa mucilage. Therefore, it can be concluded that cocoa bean mucilage can be used for alcoholic beverage fermentation, contributing to diversifying processing products and increasing the application potentials for cocoa.

*Keywords: Cocoa mucilage, Cocoa wine, Fermentation, Physiochemical properties*

**1. INTRODUCTION**

Cocoa (*Theobroma cacao L.*) is a highly valued but underutilized tropical tree crop native to Central and South America. It belongs to the family Malvaceae. Commonly known as cacao, cocoa, or chocolate tree, it is widely appreciated for its seeds, which are processed into cocoa powder and chocolate products. Cocoa is rich in phytochemicals, particularly flavonoids, as well as essential minerals and vitamins, contributing to its significant therapeutic potential. Often referred to as a “Food of the Gods,” cocoa has demonstrated a range of pharmacological effects in animal models, including antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, cardioprotective, neuroprotective, antihypertensive, and antidiabetic properties (Rusconi, M. and Conti, 2010). Studies exploring the use of cocoa in managing chronic diseases such as diabetes and cardiovascular disorders have shown promising outcomes (Gonzalez et al., 2022; Sharma, 2024; Singh et al., 2022).

India's favourable tropical climate offers significant potential for cocoa cultivation. In India, Kerala was the leading state in promoting cocoa cultivation. At present, the global production and consumption of cocoa is around 27 lakh MT, compared to this, India’s production is meager i.e. 10,000 MT (DCCD, 2021). The leading cocoa-producing state is Kerala, followed by Andhra Pradesh, Tamil Nadu, and Karnataka. Cocoa cultivation in India is often integrated with coconut and areca nut plantations, making it a popular crop among smallholder farmers. Prominent cocoa varieties grown in India include VTK 1, CCRP 1, CCRP 2, CCRP 3, and hybrids developed through research initiatives by institutions like CPCRI and the Cocoa Research Centre. From the humid coastal regions of the Western Ghats to parts of the Eastern Ghats, cocoa is cultivated in diverse agro-climatic zones. Notably, cocoa plants can also tolerate partial shade and moderately acidic soils, making them adaptable to mixed cropping systems and sustainable farming practices (Nair et al., 2017; Maney et al., 2022).

Cocoa pulp mainly consists of water, sugars (sucrose, fructose, glucose), and organic acids, with citrate being the most dominant. It also contains small amounts of malic, tartaric, and oxalic acids. Potassium is the primary mineral, and ascorbic acid accounts for 97% of its vitamin content (Pettipher, 1986). During chocolate processing, cocoa beans and pulp are fermented, and the nutrient-rich mucilage is usually discarded. However, if collected hygienically, this mucilage can be used to produce value-added health drinks like low-alcohol, nutrient-rich wine, helping farmers increase utilization and earn better returns.

Wine production, or vinification, uses biotechnology to convert fruit juice into alcohol, typically with *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* yeast. Cocoa pulp juice has been successfully used to make products like vinegar, beer, and jelly. Fermenting the mucilage not only creates value-added products but also improves bean quality by reducing acidity. The process involves separating the pulp, fermenting it with *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, and evaluating the resulting wine’s quality (Ngangonum et al., 2022).


Wine aging, or reductive aging, involves chemical changes that occur after bottling without oxygen. In cocoa pulp wine, aroma and flavor are key to its quality and market value. Aging enhances these traits by altering phenolic compounds, which affect taste, aroma, and color. These changes involve reactions like anthocyanin breakdown, tannin interactions, oxidation, and polymerization (Hatice & Ezgi, 2017).

The objective of the study is to examine the physicochemical properties of cocoa mucilage and wine, with the aim of improving quality and enhancing the post-harvest handling of cocoa fruit and mucilage.

**2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**2.1 Sample Collection**

Cocoa pods were procured from local farmers of Idukki district of Kerala. The following flow chart (Fig. 1) shows the preparation of wine from cocoa mucilage.



**Fig. 1. Preparation of cocoa mucilage wine**

**2.2 Preparation of Cocoa Mucilage**

To break the pods, a cocoa pod breaker was employed. The extracted beans were then processed using a cocoa pulp extractor, to remove the desired amount of pulp and facilitate proper fermentation. The extractor features two concentric cylinders, with the inner one containing uniform holes and rotating at a fixed RPM using a motor and variable frequency drive (VFD). The pulp extraction rate depends on the inner cylinder's speed, with 20% extraction achieved at 25 RPM. Physicochemical properties of the extracted cocoa mucilage such as pH, total soluble solids (TSS), titratable acidity, ascorbic acid content, color, antioxidant activity (DPPH), reducing sugar, and total phenolic content (TPC) were analysed using standard methods.

**2.3 Setup for Anaerobic Fermentation**

Approximately 1 litre of filtered cocoa mucilage drippings was mixed with 1.5 litres of water and about 1 litre of sucrose solution. Saccharomyces cerevisiae strains from a commercial yeast culture were then added to the mixture. A 2% concentration of commercially dried yeast was used as the starter culture. The Fermentation experiments were carried out in ceramic vats of 5 L capacity. The ceramic vats were tied with muslin cloth and stored in a dark room. The fermentation temperature for cocoa wine production was approximately 25 30°C and stirring was performed during the initial seven days of fermentation. The vat was stirred once in a day at regular time intervals in clockwise and anticlockwise direction for seven days. After seven days, the vats were tied tightly and kept without agitation up to 21st day of storage. At 21st day, the suspended solid particles were removed with filter under aseptic conditions. The wine was stored for 21 days more and then tested for quality parameters.

**2.4 Physicochemical Properties**

Physicochemical properties are important in the area of nutritional aspects, quality, storage and overall acceptability of the product. Physicochemical properties of the extracted cocoa mucilage and prepared cocoa mucilage wine such as pH, total soluble solids (TSS), titratable acidity, ascorbic acid content, color, antioxidant activity (DPPH), reducing sugar, and total phenolic content (TPC) were analysed using standard methods.

**2.4.1 TSS**

Total soluble solids were measured at 20°C with a hand refractometer and the results were expressed as °Brix (AOAC, 2005).

**2.4.2 pH**

The pH of the fruit sample was determined using a digital pH meter (AOAC, 2000). One gram of fruit juice was diluted to 10ml with water respectively. The pH was analysed with buffer (pH 4.7). Triplicate was taken from each sample.

**2.4.3 Acidity**

A 10% sample was prepared by diluting 10 ml of juice to 100 ml with distilled water in a volumetric flask. Using phenolphthalein as an indicator, 10 ml of this 10% sample was titrated against 0.1 N NaOH, which had been standardized using normal oxalic acid. The endpoint was identified by a color change from colorless to pale pink (Rekha et al., 2012). The total acidity, expressed as a percentage of tartaric acid was calculated using the formula provided in the equation.

**2.4.4 Total Phenolic Content**

The total phenolic content was determined using the colorimetric method described by Gao et al., (2019), with the Folin-Ciocalteu reagent and gallic acid as the standard. To 1 ml of appropriately diluted sample, 5 ml of Folin-Ciocalteu reagent solution was added, mixed thoroughly and incubated for 5 minutes. Subsequently, 4 ml of 7.5% (m/v) Na₂CO₃ solution was added, mixed well and the mixture was kept in the dark at room temperature for 1 hour. The absorbance was measured at 760 nm and the total phenolic content was calculated and expressed as milligrams of gallic acid equivalents per millilitre of sample.

**2.4.5 Antioxidant Capacity (DPPH)**

The antioxidant activity was evaluated using the DPPH free radical scavenging assay as described by Hayat et al., (2011). Various concentrations of sample were placed in clean test tubes and 2 ml of DPPH solution was added to each. The tubes were incubated in the dark for 30 minutes and the absorbance was measured at 517 nm using spectrophotometer. Control absorbance was also measured alongside the samples. The scavenging activity was calculated using the formula provided in equation.

where A is control absorbance, and B is the absorbance of DPPH and substrate.

**2.4.6 Ascorbic Acid**

The volumetric titration method was used to determine ascorbic acid content. In a conical flask, 5 ml of standard ascorbic acid solution (100 µg/ml) containing 10 ml of 4% oxalic acid was titrated against 2,6-dichlorophenol indophenol dye. The endpoint was indicated by the appearance and persistence of a pink color. The volume of dye consumed (V₁ ml) was equivalent to the amount of ascorbic acid. Similarly, 5 ml of the sample (prepared by dissolving 5 g of sample in 100 ml of 4% oxalic acid) was added to a conical flask along with 10 ml of 4% oxalic acid and titrated against the dye (V₂ ml). The ascorbic acid content was calculated using the formula provided by Rekha et al., (2012).

**2.4.7 Reducing Sugar**

The reducing sugar content was determined using a modified DNS method (Khatri, 2020). To prepare the DNSA reagent, 1 g of 3,5-dinitrosalicylic acid (DNSA) was dissolved in 80 ml of 0.5 N NaOH at 45°C, along with 30 g of sodium-potassium tartrate. The solution was brought to a final volume of 100 ml with distilled water after cooling to room temperature. A 0.1 ml sample was diluted with 10 ml of distilled water and 0.5 ml of this diluted sample was transferred to a test tube containing 2.5 ml of distilled water. Then 3 ml of DNSA reagent was added and the mixture was heated in a boiling water bath for 5 minutes. The absorbance was measured at 540 nm using a spectrophotometer. The reducing sugar content was calculated from a standard calibration curve of D-glucose, and the results were expressed in terms of percentage of reducing sugar.

**2.4.8 Color**

The color was determined by colorimeter. It was expressed as L\*, a\*, b\* value (Sasikumar et al., 2024).

**2.5 Quality Analysis of Cocoa Mucilage Wine**

**2.5.1 Alcohol Content**

The alcohol percentage was determined using the dichromate spectrophotometric method (Miller, 1959). A 3 ml sample was transferred to a 100 ml distillation flask, diluted with 30 ml of distilled water and heated in a distillation unit at 70–80°C for 20 minutes. The distillate was collected in a 50 ml flask containing 25 ml of potassium dichromate solution. This mixture was then placed in a water bath maintained at 60°C for 20 minutes. After cooling to room temperature, the volume was adjusted to 50 ml with distilled water and the absorbance was measured at 600 nm using a spectrophotometer. The total alcohol content (%) was calculated using a calibration curve with ethanol as the standard.

**3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The physicochemical properties of a fruit determine its ideal use, whether for direct consumption, processing, or technological applications ultimately affecting the quality of the final product (Najman et al., 2023). The physicochemical properties of cocoa mucilage and cocoa mucilage wine, such as total soluble solids (TSS), pH, acidity, total phenolic content (TPC), antioxidant activity (DPPH), ascorbic acid, reducing sugar and colour, were analysed according to standard protocols.

**3.1 Physicochemical Properties**

The TSS content of prepared wine is 21.5°Brix which is higher compared to TSS of mucilage (17.7°Brix). TSS was increased in the wine because sucrose solution was added for the purpose of fermentation which provided the medium for activation of yeast.

The pH of prepared wine was obtained as 3.66 which is slightly lower than the pH of mucilage (3.97). The preferred wine pH is around 3.6 and the better pH for yeast and lactic acid bacteria is around 4.5. However, spoilage bacteria can also grow well at pH 4.5. But spoilage bacteria do not grow well below pH 3.6. Wine yeasts and some lactic acid bacteria can still metabolize in a pH range of 3.3–3.6. The low pH can prolong the fermentation process due to slow growth of microorganisms involved (Jacobson, 2006).

The titrable acidity of wine was found to be 0.0381 g/ml, which is lower than the value for mucilage (0.3 g/ml). Throughout the fermentation process, no consistent pattern in the change in titrable acidity was observed. However, it was found that the titratable acidity of the must had reduced by the end of fermentation (Kasture and Kadam, 2018).

The total phenolic content of the wine was measured at 258 mg GAE/mL, significantly higher than the TPC of the pulp, which was 107.03 mg GAE/ml. Several processes contribute to the phenolic transformations in wine during and after production. These include anthocyanin degradation, tannin interactions with proteins and polysaccharides, procyanidin cation formation, oxidation and polymerization reactions of procyanidins, anthocyanin copigments synthesis, reactions of anthocyanins with compounds containing polarized double bonds and condensation reactions between anthocyanins and tannins (Hatice & Ezgi, 2017).

The antioxidant scavenging activity (DPPH) of wine showed a higher value (41.72%) compared to that of cocoa mucilage (34.3%). Red wines exhibit stronger antioxidant activity. Polymeric phenolic compounds are responsible for half of the total scavenging radical activity of red wine (as measured by the DPPH and ABTS techniques). The order of reactivity for the remaining 50% is as follows: phenolic acids and flavanols are the next most active, followed by anthocyanins and flavan-3-ol. (Fernandez et al., 2004).

The vitamin C value for wine was obtained as 0.016 mg/100 ml. This is lower compared to vitamin C content of mucilage (1.01 mg/100 ml). All wine varieties showed a drop in L-ascorbic acid level following the conclusion of the fermentation process. Other publications claim that several percent of L-ascorbic acid were lost during the alcoholic fermentation process (Czyżowska et al., 2015). The loss of L-ascorbic acid could have been caused by a number of circumstances.

The reducing sugar content was determined to be 21.42%, indicating an increase compared to the 10.41% observed in mucilage. The typicality of the cultivars and the winery's winemaking process are closely related to the higher reducing sugar concentration of wine. Additionally, the fluctuation in reducing sugar content and other physicochemical qualities is influenced by other factors such soil type, grape sanitary conditions, climate, weather and wine management (Neto et al., 2015).

The cocoa mucilage wine had colour characteristics: L\* value of 68.25, a\* value of 2.02 and b\* value of 11.03. Higher L\* value indicates lighter colour of wine. The color of the wine is one crucial factor that influences consumer preference. a\* & b\* values showed an increase and L\* value decreased as the wine fermentation progress.

**Table 1. Physico-chemical characteristics of cocoa mucilage**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Quality parameters** | **Result** |
| Total phenolic content (mgGAE/ml) | 107.03±0.12 |
| Total soluble solids (°Brix) | 17.7±0.2 |
| Ph | 3.97 |
| Titrable acidity (g/ml tartaric acid) | 0.3±0.05 |
| Vitamin C (mg/100ml) | 1.01±0.04 |
| Antioxidant scavenging activity (DPPH) (%) | 34.3±0.1 |
| Reducing sugar (%) | 10.41±0.2 |
| Color  L\*  a\*  b\* | 72.25±0.04  2.0±0.03  9.3±0.03 |

**Table 2. Physico-chemical characteristics of freshly prepared cocoa mucilage wine**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Quality parameters** | **Result** |
| Total phenolic content (mgGAE/ml) | 258±0.2 |
| Total soluble solids (°Brix) | 21.5°±0.12 |
| Ph | 3.66±0.01 |
| Titrable acidity (g/ml tartaric acid) | 0.0381±0.07 |
| Vitamin C (mg/100ml) | 0.016±0.02 |
| Antioxidant scavenging activity (DPPH) (%) | 41.72±0.09 |
| Reducing sugar (%) | 21.42±0.1 |
| Alcohol content (%) | 7±0.4 |
| Color  L\*  a\*  b\* | 68.25±0.03  2.02±0.02  11.03±0.03 |

**3.2 Quality Analysis of Cocoa Mucilage Wine**

Alcohol content of the wine was found to be 7.4%. Alcohol content increases as fermentation continues. It is due to the steeped decrease of TSS during fermentation. This is attributed to high fermentability of wine, due to the availability of high amount of sugar (Thungbeni et al., 2020). Cocoa mucilage contains less sugar compared to wine because additional sucrose is typically added during wine fermentation. This added sugar causes an initial increase in the sugar content of wine, serving to activate the yeast and initiate alcoholic fermentation. Over time, as the wine ages, the sugar content decreases as it is consumed during the fermentation process. It was observed that alcohol generally had a greater impact on taste and mouthfeel characteristics than fragrance descriptors (King et al., 2013).

**4. CONCLUSION**

To improve food processing methods and create novel cocoa-based products, it is essential to research the physicochemical characteristics of cocoa. Enhancing processing efficiency, storage, and handling requires consideration of parameters such as total soluble solids (TSS), pH, acidity, antioxidant activity (DPPH), total phenolic content (TPC), ascorbic acid, reducing sugars, and colour. This information helps to increase overall processing effectiveness, reduce waste, and produce high-quality products. These characteristics have a big impact on shelf life and product preservation, and they also affect customer appeal. Cocoa quality can be raised and post-harvest handling losses can be greatly decreased by improving its by-product utilization through wine fermentation.

**REFEERENCES**

AOAC. (2000). Official Methods of Analysis. Association of Official Analytical Chemists. Washington, D.C. 16th edition.

AOAC. (2005). Official Methods of Analysis. Association of Official Analytical Chemists. Washington, DC.

Czyzowska, A., Klewicka, E., Pogorzelski, E., & Nowak, A. (2015). Polyphenols, vitamin C and antioxidant activity in wines from Rosa canina L. and Rosa rugosa Thunb. *Journal of Food Composition and Analysis*, 39, 62-68.

DCCD [Directorate of Cashewnut & Cocoa Development]. 2021. DCCD home page [on line]. Available: https://www.dccd.gov.in. [14 Sep. 2021].

Fernández-Pachón, M. S., Villaño, D., Garcıa-Parrilla, M. C., & Troncoso, A. M. (2004). Antioxidant activity of wines and relation with their polyphenolic composition. *Analytica Chimica Acta*, 513(1), 113-118.

Gao, H., Wen, J. J., Hu, J. L., Nie, Q. X., Chen, H. H., Nie, S. P., & Xie, M. Y. (2019). Momordica charantia juice with Lactobacillus plantarum fermentation: Chemical composition, antioxidant properties and aroma profile. *Food Bioscience*, 29, 62-72.

González-Orozco, C. E., & Pesca, A. (2022). Regionalization of cacao (Theobroma cacao L.) in Colombia. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems*, 6, 925800.

Hatice, E. and Ezgi, A. 2017. New techniques for wine aging. In: Ege University, Department of Food Engineering. 40th World Congress of Vine and Wine. BIO Web of Conferences 9, 02012 (2017). Ege University, Izmir, Turkey, pp. 1-1.

Hayat, K., Gondal, M. A., Khaled, M. M., Ahmed, S., & Shemsi, A. M. (2011). Nano ZnO synthesis by modified sol gel method and its application in heterogeneous photocatalytic removal of phenol from water. *Applied Catalysis A: General*, 393(1-2), 122-129.

Jacobson, J. L. (2006). Introduction to wine laboratory practices and procedures. Springer Science & Business Media.

Kasture, M. C., & Kadam, J. J. (2018). Periodical changes in TSS, pH, titratable acidity and yeast count during fermentation of sapota wine due to different levels of TSS adjusted by different sugar sources. *International Journal of Microbiology Research*, 10(7), 1299-1302.

Khatri, D., & Chhetri, S. B. B. (2020). Reducing sugar, total phenolic content, and antioxidant potential of nepalese plants. *BioMed Research International*, 2020(1), 7296859.

King, E. S., Dunn, R. L., & Heymann, H. (2013). The influence of alcohol on the sensory perception of red wines. *Food Quality and Preference*, 28(1), 235-243.

Maney, C., Sassen, M., & Hill, S. L. (2022). Modelling biodiversity responses to land use in areas of cocoa cultivation. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 324, 107712.

Miller, M. M. (1959). Treatment of chronic alcoholism by hypnotic aversion. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 171(11), 1492-1495.

Nair, V. D., Nair, P. R., Dari, B., Freitas, A. M., Chatterjee, N., & Pinheiro, F. M. (2017). Biochar in the agroecosystem–climate-change–sustainability nexus. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 8, 2051.

Najman, K., Adrian, S., Sadowska, A., Świąder, K., Hallmann, E., Buczak, K., ... & Szterk, A. (2023). Changes in physicochemical and bioactive properties of quince (Cydonia oblonga Mill.) and its products. *Molecules*, 28(7), 3066.

Neto, F. S., de Castilhos, M. B., Telis, V. R., & Telis‐Romero, J. (2015). Effect of ethanol, dry extract and reducing sugars on density and viscosity of Brazilian red wines. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, 95(7), 1421-1427.

Pettipher, G. L. (1986). Analysis of cocoa pulp and the formulation of a standardised artificial cocoa pulp medium. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, 37(3), 297-309.

Rekha, C., Poornima, G., Manasa, M., Abhipsa, V., Devi, J. P., Kumar, H. T. V., & Kekuda, T. R. P. (2012). Ascorbic acid, total phenol content and antioxidant activity of fresh juices of four ripe and unripe citrus fruits. *Chemical Science Transactions*, 1(2), 303-310.

Rusconi, M., & Conti, A. (2010). Theobroma cacao L., the Food of the Gods: A scientific approach beyond myths and claims. *Pharmacological research*, 61(1), 5-13.

Sasikumar, R., Vivek, K., Kadirvel, G., & Jaiswal, A. K. (2024). Analysis of physicochemical and phytonutrients properties of bastard oleaster fruits and its mass prediction using artificial neural network model. *Journal of Agriculture and Food Research*, 17, 101224.

Sharma, M., Vidhya, C. S., Ojha, K., Yashwanth, B. S., Singh, B., Gupta, S., & Pandey, S. K. (2024). The role of functional foods and nutraceuticals in disease prevention and health promotion. *European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety*, 16, 61-83.

Singh, R., Singh, R. B., Mojto, V., Pella, D., Fedacko, J., Vargova, V., & Gvozdjáková, A. (2022). Cocoa and chocolate consumption and prevention of cardiovascular diseases and other chronic diseases. In Functional foods and nutraceuticals in metabolic and non-communicable diseases (pp. 279-299). Academic Press.

Thungbeni, K., Samir, T. E., & Deena, W. (2020). Effect of Different Levels of Wine Yeast and Sugar in Wine Production from Pineapple (Ananas comosus). *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 9(12), 681-693.

Yıldırım, H. K., & Dündar, E. (2017). Potential of lactic acid bacteria as suppressors of wine allergies. In BIO Web of Conferences (Vol. 9, p. 04009). EDP Sciences.