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|  | Reviewer’s comment **Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.** | Author’s Feedback *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | **The work, although it has small shortcomings, is extremely valuable. It represents a concrete, "grassroots" study regarding the agricultural sector in the villages of northeastern India and although it is carried out at the level of 2020 and only for one village, it could (and this is what I suggest to the authors!) be repeated, replicated for the current year and for a somewhat larger region. Thus, it could also capture the effect of the COVID-19 pandemic and technological changes in the analyzed rural environment.** |  |
| **Is the title of the article suitable?**  **(If not please suggest an alternative title)** | **YES** |  |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | Yes, the abstract is of the right length and describes both the paper and the title well enough. However, although the proposals for the decision factor exist, it would not hurt for the author to highlight more clearly the objectives of the paper, the methodology used and the most significant results. Although methodological elements are present in the abstract, there is a need for a clearer highlighting. Thus, each of these aspects should be clearly presented in a sentence or two in the abstract. | Improved accordingly |
| Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here. | The introduction is clear, and although it has some notes on specialized studies, it is felt that in addition to the introduction there should be a short section on literature review. Although the methodology is relatively clear, the part of the information put in the graph is not visible; requiring a whole page to discern what is there. I recommend that the author leave the table with the distribution elements studied in the methodology, and to put the graph with the region in the appendix, specifying in the methodology that the map is in the appendix. The part of the map made with Google Maps can remain in the methodology. When looking at the results, pay attention to the data presented and the comments, for example, not 61.9% is the leased land but 41.2% according to the table (differing from the explanatory text). In conclusion, the presentation of the problems is coherent, but it would be worth highlighting for each category of regional actors what needs to be done. For example, for education in villages, including sciences related to plant cultivation, the education system must focus on raising the level of primary and secondary education, as well as on attracting more girls/women to the education system, especially since they are the most exposed to hard agricultural work compared to men. Another example for the local management system mayors and local councillors: highlighting problems related to infrastructure, markets, irrigation, increased possibilities for purchasing land so that those who do not own land can buy it more easily; those who own land can increase their agricultural properties for better performance, agricultural specialization in schools, etc. At the same time, the degree of penetration of technology in rural areas (AI systems, crop surveillance drones, satellite monitoring, etc.) is not discussed so that land productivity increases. In conclusion, there is a need to specify what is the legislative possibility of improving the legislation regarding the agricultural system. | Improved accordingly |
| **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.** | The bibliographic references are not enough; another 10-15 titles even from areas beyond India and rural areas should be collected and added. If a small literature review section is elaborated, these titles can be added relatively naturally. However, the works specified in the bibliography are recent and valuable. | We appreciate the importance of incorporating recent studies. However, we believe the selected references effectively support the manuscript's objectives while maintaining thematic relevance. |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Yes |  |
| Optional/General comments | **A very good article but with some minor structural deficiencies. If the sections were arranged more carefully, the study could be considered to be truly valuable.**  The study is very laborious, if additional data has been obtained and this should be presented in the form of graphs or tables in annexes. **I congratulate the authors for the effort made and for the perseverance to obtain valuable data for a micro-study on rural India.** |  |
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