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|  | **Reviewer’s comment**  **Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.** | **Author’s Feedback** *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | This manuscript presents a significant contribution to the mathematical modelling of HIV/AIDS transmission dynamics by incorporating time delays and prophylaxis use, which are critical yet often overlooked factors in existing models. The study demonstrates how optimal prophylaxis use and minimal time delays can effectively reduce the reproduction number RT below 1, thereby controlling the spread of the disease. The findings provide valuable insights for public health strategies, emphasizing the importance of timely intervention and prophylaxis awareness. Additionally, the model's stability analysis and numerical simulations offer a robust framework for future research on epidemic control,  making it a relevant resource for epidemiologists and mathematicians alike. |  |
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