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PART 1: Comments

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Reviewer’s comment**  **Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.** | **Author’s Feedback** *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | **This manuscript is important for the scientific community because of the following observed points:**   1. **The manuscript aimed to determine the relationship between shared justice leadership and digital access in the new normal education among public elementary school teachers in the Philippines.** 2. **The findings of the manuscript have implication for educational policymakers, teachers, and school administrators thereby highlighting the importance of inclusive digital learning environment and equitable technology policies.** 3. **Through the research focus on shared justice leadership and digital access, this manuscript has contributed towards the development of more effective strategies that promotes technological integration in education with focus on instructional practices and students learning outcomes.** 4. **Additionally, the manuscript coverage area on the Philippine’s educational setting offers an invaluable insights the advantages and disadvantages faced by educators in global south, which makes it an invaluable addition to existing literature on leadership and**   **educational technology.** | We are grateful for your valuable comments. |
| **Is the title of the article suitable?**  **(If not please suggest an alternative title)** | **The title ‘Shared Justice Leadership And Digital Access In The New Normal Education Among Public Elementary School Teachers’, succinctly reflects the study’s focus, scope, and coverage. However, a slight revise of the topic to “Exploring the Intersection Of Shared Justice Leadership And Digital Access In The New Normal Education Among Public Elementary School Teachers in Philippine’ could make the title to be more concise, attracting and engaging.**  **N/B; the content of the manuscript remains the same irrespective of the title.** | Thank you for your valuable suggestion. While we appreciate your feedback on the title, we have decided to retain the original phrasing as it closely aligns with the scope and focus of the study. However, we will certainly consider your suggestion for future study. |
| **Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.** | **The abstract of the manuscript is comprehensive because it did provide a clear introduction and insight of the study’s objectives, methodology, key findings, and recommendations.**  **However I would suggest the addition of the introduction of the study’s theoretical framework.** | We are grateful for your valuable comments. |
| **Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.** | **Yes the manuscript is scientifically correct because of:**   1. **The manuscript offers a detailed and clear research design, well-structured data analysis methods with explained tables and figures, and factual conclusion with attainable recommendations.** 2. **The manuscript included and related its findings to renowned theories of; Political and Philosophical Theory of Shared Justice by Wang (2018), the Behaviorist Philosophy of Learning by Baum (2017), and the Shared Cognitive Theory by Bandura (2023). This relatedness and inclusion makes the manuscript to be theoretically outstanding scientifically.** 3. **Additionally, the actions for implementation in the recommendation are sustainable because they will be beneficial to students, teachers, educational administrators, policy**   **makers and the community/society at large if enacted.** | We are grateful for your valuable comments. |
| **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.** | **Yes the references are sufficient and recent, and it includes a diverse blend of local and**  **international literature which demonstrates a thorough research and consultation of multiple literatures.** | We are grateful for your valuable comments. |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?** | **The language of the manuscript is well-written for scholarly communication because it is clear, concise, with good sentence construction and flow.** | We are grateful for your valuable comments. |
| **Optional/General** comments | **Well written and researched manuscript, the author(s) have contributed to the scientific community through a detailed overview of ‘Shared Justice Leadership And Digital Access In The New Normal Education Among Public Elementary School Teachers’ which have provided more**  **context on Philippine educational system and detailed background information on the challenges faced by public elementary school teachers.** | We are grateful for your valuable comments. |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **PART 2:** | | |
|  | **Reviewer’s comment** | **Author’s comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?** | *(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)* |  |