**Economic Analysis of Dry Direct Seeded and Transplanted Rice in the Eastern Part of Uttar Pradesh, India**

**ABSTRACT**

The study was aimed at comparing dry direct seeding and transplanted systems of rice cultivation with the participation of farmers concerning rice growth, yield, water productivity, and economic returns. The study was carried out by Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Malhana, Deoria, Uttar Pradesh, under the administrative control of ICAR-IIVR, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh, India during Kharif 2023 and Kharif 24. A total of 33 FLDs conducted in 2023 and 30 FLDs during 2024 at Deoria District as well as the KVK farm under dry direct seeding conditions with the Pusa Sambha 1850 paddy variety seeded in the midweek of June and harvested in the first to midweek of November. The rice grain yields of 11-12 percent were higher under DDSR during both the demonstration periods. Results of this study indicated that higher grain yield with dry direct seeding rice can be achieved by using rice cultivars that can produce more productive tillers and longer panicles. The 20.87 and 19.80 percent cost of cultivation was saved under the dry direct seeding of rice than the transplanted system of rice during study period. The net return was 34.92, 36.77 percent higher and benefit cost ratio 39.56 and 43. 37 percent higher compared with the transplanted system of rice in the demonstrated years.The dry-direct seeded of rice is more suitable, more the water saving, labor saving as well as the saving of the environment and more economical for paddy cultivation in eastern part of India. Furthermore, we require more demonstrations at various locations in this region.
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Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.), is grown widely throughout all continents as one of the world's staple crops,, but primarily in Asia. The world's population is expected to double by 2050, which means that the targeted 70% increase in rations until 2050 will require an average annual increase in food production of 44 million metric tons, which should be maintained for the next 40 years (FAO, 2009). However, 35 % of rice-producing regions are currently experiencing yield stagnation (Ray *et al.*, 2012), which could have a significant impact on global food security if rice production does not improve. Additionally, the possibility of alternative systems for developing and implementing better rice production using limited resources with minimal environmental impact is becoming necessary due to increased competition for land, energy, and water, as well as the growing negative environmental impact of current food production (*Tilman et al*., 2001). India produced 137.83 million tons of rice in 47.82 million hectares of land with a productivity of 2882 kg/ha in 2023-24 (Agricultural Statistics at a Glance, 2023), ranking second in production in the world only to China. Uttar Pradesh produced 16.14 million tons of rice in 5.90 million hectares of land with a productivity of 2737 kg/ha in 2023-24 (Agricultural Statistics at a Glance, 2023). Uttar Pradesh is a large producer of rice across the country in 2023-24. The main problems faced by the rice growers for the sustainability of rice ecosystems is to increase production in line with population expansion with lesser inputs.. Irrigated rice systems account for 78 percent of all rice production. In India, direct seeded rice (DSR) can boost farmers' incomes by lowering expenses and raising yields.

Fig. 1: Rice productivity in India, Uttar Pradesh, and FLD during 2023-24.

**2. Material and Method**

The evaluation of the differences between dry direct seeded (DDS) and transplanted rice systems (TPR) was done using experimental data generated in FLDs at farmers' fields during Kharif 2023 and 2024. The study was carried out by Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Malhana, Deoria, Uttar Pradesh, under the administrative control of Indian Council of Agriculture Research-Indian Institute of Vegetable Research (ICAR-IIVR) Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh, India. A total of 30 FLDs in kharif 2023 and 33 FLDs in kharif 2024 were conducted among the farming community of Deoria District as well as the KVK farm under dry direct seeding conditions for Pusa Sambha 1850 paddy variety. The crop was seeded by a seed cum ferti-drill machine in the midweek of June and harvested in the first to midweek of November. The total cost, gross return, net return, and return-cost ratio of demonstrations were calculated as per the standard method. The yield and economic analysis were recorded for comparisons of the dry direct seeding condition with the traditional transplanting method used by the farming community. The critical inputs in the form of quality seed of Pusa Sambha 1850 were provided for FLDs by KVK to the farmers. The technical guidance was provided by the KVK staffs on various field operations like seeding, nutrient management, weed management, water management, plant protection measures, harvesting, and threshing, etc. The demonstrated technologies in the FLDs are presented in Table 1 and compared with traditional methods.

**Table 1: Agronomical practices used under front line demonstration and farmers practices**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Growing Practice** | **Front Line Demonstration** | **Farmer Practice** |
| Farming Situation | : Irrigated sandy loam soils | Irrigated sandy loam soils |
| Varieties | : Pusa sambha 1850 | Pusa sambha 1850 |
| Date of DSR/Nursery Sowing | : Mid week of June | Mid week of June |
| Method of Sowing | : Dry Direct Seeding | Transplanting |
| Seed Rate (kg/ha) | : 35 kg/ha | 50 kg/ha |
| Seed Treatment | : Fungicide | Fungicide |
| Fertilizers (kg/ha) | : 120 N, 60 P, 50 K and 20 ZnSO4 | 120 N, 60 P, 50 K and 20 ZnSO4 |
| Herbicides | : Yes | Yes |
| Irrigation (No.) | : 2-3 | 2-3 |
| Date of harvesting | : First week of November | First week to mid week of November |

The biological yield, harvesting index, extension gap and technological gap were calculated by using the following formulas as given below

Biological yield = Grain yield + Straw Yield……….(i)

Harvest Index = Economical yield/Biological Yield X 100……….(ii)

**Economic study of front line demonstration**

A number of aspects, such as the cost of cultivation, gross returns, net returns, and the B:C ratio, were assessed in order to calculate the economic study of different systems.

Cost of Cultivation = All input X prevailing market price…………………….(iii)

Gross Returns = Main and by product of crops X Prevailing market price…(iv)

Net Returns = Gross Returns ̶ Cost of cultivation……………………… (v)

Benefit: Cost ratio (B: C) = Gross Returns ̸ Cost of cultivation…………………………(vi)

**3. Result and Discussion**

**3.1 Interpretation of Grain Yield (t/ha)**

The data shown in Figure 2, revealed that the maximum grain yield (4.78 t/ha) was noted under the dry direct seeding of rice than the transplanted rice, which was 10.65 percent higher than the transplanted system of rice during the demonstration period of Kharif 2023. However, the higher grain yield (4.67 t/ha) was recorded under the dry direct seeding of rice than the transplanted rice in the study period of Kharif 2024, which was 11.59 percent higher than the transplanted rice.

Fig. 2: Comparison of yield (t/ha) under DDSR and TPR during both years of 2023-24.

The disparity in rice yield between flooded and non-flooded conditions is related to the general fertility of the soil and the availability of plant nutrients; the latter results in an unfavorable nutritional regime for a number of plant nutrients (Sahrawat 2012).

**3.2.1 Gross Cost (Rs)**

The data presented in Figure 3, minimum gross cost (30340 Rs), was found under the dry direct-seeded of rice than the transplanted rice, which was 20.87 percent less than the transplanted rice during the study period of the Kharif 2023. However, the same trend was noted under the dry direct seeded of rice than the transplanted rice, which was 19.80 percent less than the transplanted system of rice during the demonstration year of the Kharif 2024. The 20.87 and 19.80 percent cost of cultivation was saved under the dry direct seeded of rice than the transplanted system of rice during both years of demonstration. These types of data were reported by Awanth *et al*. (2007). Short- to medium-term on-station studies reported 34-46% savings with machine labor used in zero tillage-dry-direct seeded rice (ZT-Dry-DSR) compared to puddled transplanted rice.

Fig.3: Analysis of Gross cost (Rs/ha) under DDSR and TPR during both the year

**3.2.2 Gross Return (Rs)**

**4. Conclusion**

The dry-direct seeded of rice is more suitable, more the water saving, labor saving as well as the saving of the environment and more economical for paddy cultivation in eastern part of India. Furthermore, we require more demonstrations at various locations in this region.

**REFERENCE**

1. *Agricultural Statistics At A Glance*, 2023
2. Awanth, Alii, Safdarme, Ashrafmm, Yaqubm. 2007. Economic effect of different plant establishment techniques on rice (Oryza sativa L.) production. *J. Agric. Res*. 45(1):73-80.
3. Kamboj B.R., Kumar A., Bishnoi D.K., Singl A. K., Kumar V, Jat M.L., Chaudhary N., Jat H.S., Gosain D.K., Khippala, Garg R, L., athwal O.P., Goyal S. P., Goyal, N. K., Yadav A., Malik D. S., Mishra A, Bhatia R., 2012. Direct Seeded Rice Technology in Western Indo-Gangetic Plains of India: CSISA Experiences. *CSISA, IRRI and CIMMYT*. 16p.
4. Meena,K., Srivastava, R.,Singh, S., Tiwari, A., 2022.Performance of Pigeonpea Varieties Sown on Ridge under Front Line Demonstration at farmers fields in Deoria District of Uttar Pradesh, India. IJPSS, 34(23), p.764-771
5. Ray, D. K., Raman K. N., Mueller N. D., West P. C., Foley J. A., 2012. Recent patterns of crop yield growth and stagnation. *Nature Communications* 3, Article number: 1293 doi: 10.1038/ncomms2296.
6. Sahrawat K. L., 2012. Soil fertility in flooded and non- flooded irrigated rice systems. *Archives Agro. and Soil Sci*. 58:423-436.
7. Tilman D., Fargione J., Wolff B. D., Antonio C., Dobsona, Howarth R., Schindler D., Schlesinger W. H., Simberlof F. D, Swackhamer D. 2001. Fore casting agriculturally driven global environmental change. Science. 292(5515):281-284.