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ABSTRACT

|  |
| --- |
| **Aim:** Financial inclusion refers to the availability and use of financial services to individuals and businesses at an affordable cost, without discrimination to any group. This study examines gender disparity in the utilization of formal financial services in Afghanistan using data from the Global Findex database (2011–2021).  **Design/methodology/approach:** This study is quantitative in nature, using data from the Global Findex database, World Bank. The study employs a descriptive summary to provide an overview of the data. The Kruskal-Wallis test and Dunn’s post-hoc test are then applied to test the hypothesis considered under this study.  **Research Findings:** The findings reveal significant disparities in account ownership, debit card usage, digital payment adoption, and savings, with males consistently demonstrating higher usage across these services. No disparity was observed for borrowings and mobile money, and low usage levels were exhibited for both genders. Additionally, barriers to financial inclusion, such as insufficient funds, distance, trust, documentation, and cost, limit access to formal financial services.  **Theoretical Contribution/Originality:** Despite the importance of financial inclusion and gender disparity, Afghanistan remains largely understudied. While numerous studies have examined gender gaps in financial access using the Global Findex database across various countries, research specific to Afghanistan is scarce. This study fills that gap, highlighting the need for targeted interventions. |

*Keywords: Gender Gap, Financial Inclusion, Afghanistan, Barriers to Financial Inclusion*

1. INTRODUCTION

Financial inclusion refers to the availability, accessibility, and usage of financial services for individuals and businesses. It is also considered to be a key factor for economic development. This enables individuals to save, borrow, invest, and transact, which is important for improving the livelihoods of individuals and communities (Ozili, 2020; Demirgüç-Kunt et al., 2022). Financial inclusion is particularly important in reducing poverty and economic development, playing a key role in achieving sustainable development goals (SDGs) (Beck et al., 2007; Nsiah et al., 2021; Ozili et al., 2022). For instance, Ma’ruf & Aryani (2019) find that financial inclusion significantly contributes to achieving SDGs, specifically poverty alleviation. Digital financial services emerged as an important driver of financial inclusion, specifically for developing countries in Africa and Asia (Khera et al., 2022). As Ozili ( 2018) states, digitalization helps accelerate financial inclusion in developing countries. Moreover, Kouladoum et al. (2022) find that the digital financial services significantly improve the rate of financial inclusion.

Financial inclusion helps in reducing gender disparity and empowers women to save, invest, and transact through access to financial services. Women who are included in access to financial services are more active economically and contribute towards entrepreneurship and development (Bhatia & Singh, 2019; Goel & Madan, 2019; Aziz et al., 2022). Financial development has a positive effect on women's empowerment, while gender discrimination, despite financial development, has a negative impact on women's empowerment (Arshad, 2023).

The Global Findex 2021 reveals that 1.4 billion adults still remain unbanked, with women and those from poor, rural households disproportionately affected. Despite progress, a significant gender gap in account ownership persists, though mobile money shows promise in narrowing this divide (Demirgüç-Kunt et al., 2022). In many countries, particularly in developing nations, significant disparities exist in access to financial services. Among the most notable disparities is gender inequality, with women often facing greater challenges in accessing and utilizing formal financial services compared to men (Kara et al., 2021; Tay et al., 2022).

There is a significant gap in the literature to study gender disparities in formal financial services utilization specific to Afghanistan. This study aims to address the gap in literature by examining gender disparities in formal financial services utilization in Afghanistan using the Global Findex data. This study aims to address the gap in literature by examining gender disparities in formal financial services utilization in Afghanistan using the Global Findex data. Furthermore, the study discusses and presents the barriers to financial inclusion in Afghanistan based on Global Findex 2021 data.

The paper is structured as follows: First, the literature review will provide an overview of financial inclusion and gender disparity in formal financial services utilization. The methodology section discussed the study design and operationalization, followed by the findings section, which presents all the statistical results. This is followed by a discussion section and ends with a conclusion and recommendations for addressing gender disparities in financial inclusion and suggestions for future research.

**2. LITERATURE REVIEW**

**2.1 Financial inclusion:**

Financial inclusion ensures all individuals and businesses have access to financial services, specifically vulnerable groups who are excluded from using financial services (Beck et al., 2007; Lyons & Kass-Hanna, 2019; Demirgüç-Kunt et al., 2022). Financial inclusion plays a pivotal role in fostering economic growth and poverty reduction, aligning with the global efforts to achieve the United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), particularly Goal 1 (No Poverty), Goal 8 (Decent Work and Economic Growth), and Goal 10 (Reduced Inequality) (Sarma & Pais, 2011; Tay et al., 2022; Ozili, 2022). Erlando et al. (2020) study the impact of financial inclusion on economic growth in Indonesia using a bivariate causality model and find that FI significantly contributes to economic growth, poverty reduction, and income inequality. Similarly, Daud (2023) finds that financial inclusion and digital technology are positively correlated with economic growth.

A large body of literature highlights that financial inclusion contributes to economic resilience by enabling individuals and businesses to better manage risks, increase investment opportunities, and improve their standard of living (Belayeth Hussain et al., 2019; Ajide, 2020; Hussain et al., 2021). For instance, Sakyi-Nyarko et al. (2022) reveal that financial inclusion significantly enhances household financial resilience, with savings and formal account ownership yielding stronger effects compared to mobile money. Urrea & Maldonado (2011) demonstrate that access to savings and credit, both formal and informal, significantly mitigates household vulnerability to income shocks. Similarly, financial inclusion enhances entrepreneurship by enabling access to capital and insurance, which are essential for starting and growing businesses (Goel & Madan, 2019; Wellalage et al., 2021). Hasan et al. (2023), using the Global Findex database, reveal that women entrepreneurs with greater digital financial literacy are more likely to use formal banking. Furthermore, digital financial services have revolutionized access, particularly in remote areas, by overcoming geographical and infrastructure barriers (Tay et al., 2022). A number of studies reveal that financial inclusion significantly reduces income inequality and improves household income (Kim, 2015; Zhang & Posso, 2017; Kling et al., 2020; Adera & Abdisa, 2023).

However, despite progress in some regions, a large segment of the global population remains excluded from formal financial services. According to Global Findex (2021), 1.4 billion adults still remain unbanked, with the vast majority living in developing countries (Demirgüç-Kunt et al., 2022).

**2.2 Barriers to financial inclusion**

Despite the critical importance of financial inclusion, various barriers hinder its progress. Access to financial services is often limited by lack of education or financial literacy (Lusardi & Mitchell, 2011; Ambarkhane et al., 2022). Kara et al. (2021) reveal that access to credit is positively influenced by higher education and financial literacy, while demographic and socio-economic factors, such as lower income, minority status, gender, and disability, significantly hinder access, leading marginalized groups to rely on high-cost fringe finance providers. Similarly, Saluja (2023) in a systematic review revealed that women’s financial inclusion is hindered by barriers such as patriarchal norms, psychological constraints, low income, limited financial literacy, restricted accessibility, and ethnic disparities, while interventions including government initiatives, microfinance, formal savings, asset transfers, self-help groups, and digital solutions have shown potential to address these challenges.

Demirgüç-Kunt & Klapper (2012a) using the Global Findex database, reveal that limited access to formal financial institutions, reliance on informal methods, and insufficient support for high-growth enterprises highlight significant barriers to financial inclusion. Studies show that in many developing countries, cultural norms, religious beliefs, and gender biases restrict financial inclusion (Demirguc-Kunt et al., 2014; Lu et al., 2021; Kulkarni & Ghosh, 2021). Anyangwe et al. (2022) find that cultural dimensions, such as power distance, masculinity, and uncertainty avoidance, act as barriers to financial inclusion, while individualism, long-term orientation, and indulgence positively influence formal account ownership and usage. Additionally, Demirgüç-Kunt et al. (2013) find that Gender disparities in financial inclusion are influenced by legal restrictions, discriminatory norms, and socio-cultural factors, with women in restrictive environments significantly less likely to own accounts or access savings and credit services.

Studies highlight that high cost, regulatory requirements (e.g., KYC), and distance significantly impact financial inclusion and hinder financial services usage (Allen et al., 2016; Saluja et al., 2023). Sanderson et al. (2018) demonstrate that barriers to financial inclusion include documentation requirements and the distance to financial access points, while age, education, financial literacy, income, and internet connectivity are key enablers. Ghosh (2020) state that distance is a major barrier to using bank accounts, with both travel time and physical distance reducing financial inclusion. Demirgüç-Kunt & Klapper (2012b) reveal that barriers such as high costs, physical distance, and lack of documentation significantly limit account usage. In the same way, Ayyagari & Beck (2015) highlight that financial inclusion in developing Asia is low, with fewer than 27% of adults having a formal bank account and only 33% of enterprises having access to credit or loans. Despite superior banking sector depth in the region, significant barriers such as cost, geographic access, and lack of identification hinder broader financial inclusion. Similarly, Fungáčová & Weill (2015) reveal that lower income and education are associated with less use of formal accounts and savings. Additionally, a large body of literature highlights that higher income is positively related to the usage of financial services and financial inclusion, or vice versa (Demirgüç-Kunt & Klapper, 2012a; Park & Mercado, 2015; Sanderson et al., 2018).

**2.3 Gender disparity in financial inclusion**

Globally, women are disproportionately excluded from financial systems, reflecting broader gender inequalities. According to Global Findex 2021, the gender gap in developing economies has fallen from 9% to 6% (Demirgüç-Kunt et al., 2022). Women face more barriers in access to credit compared to men (Sandhu et al., 2012; Mascia & Rossi, 2017). Social and cultural norms restricting women's mobility, decision-making power, and access to education are major contributors to this disparity (Demirgüç-Kunt et al., 2013). Similarly, Pahlevan Sharif et al. (2013) highlight that education is a key in reducing the gender gap in financial inclusion. In addition, Ndoya & Tsala (2021) reveal that income is the largest contributor to the gender gap in access to financial products and services, while education is the primary driver of the gap in their usage. Esmaeilpour Moghadam & Karami (2023) confirm that education reduces the gender gap in financial inclusion; however, this effect is insignificant in countries with high levels of gender discrimination.

Roy & Patro (2022) in a structured systematic literature review of 75 peer-reviewed articles (2000–2021) revealed that gendered financial inclusion is primarily influenced by demand-side factors, alongside socio-economic and cultural barriers. Studies highlight that digital financial services and fitech helps reducing gender gap (Esmaeilpour Moghadam & Karami, 2023; Mabrouk et al., 2023; Yeyouomo et al., 2023). For instance, Yeyouomo et al. (2023) in a study Sub-Saharan Africa reveal that fintech help reduce the gender gap in access to and use of financial services. In contrast, Johnen & Mußhoff (2023) find that formal digital credit has unexpectedly widened the gender gap in financial inclusion, primarily due to socio-economic disparities and uniform contract terms. Bala & Singhal (2018) also confirm this and state that this is primarily driven by exclusion from basic technological skills, social norms, and financial constraints. The digital gender divide limits women's access to ICTs, skills, and leadership, potentially worsening gender inequalities (Kuroda et al., 2019).

A number of studies used the Global Findex database to measure the gender gap in FI. For instance, Ghosh and Chaudhury (2019) used the Global Findex 2017 and Fairlie’s decomposition method to analyse India’s gender gap in financial inclusion. Employment status and education are key contributors, explaining most gaps in account ownership and savings, while structural barriers persist in borrowing. Similarly, Antonijević et al. (2022) analysed gender disparities in FI across 144 countries using the Global Findex Database 2017. Employing the Wilcoxon Signed-Ranks test due to non-normality of the data, it found significant gaps favouring men in account ownership, saving, borrowing, credit card use, and digital financial activities, with the largest differences in digital payments and account ownership. Additionally, Özşuca (2019) analysed the gender gap in FI across 14 MENA countries using the 2017 Global Findex Database and the Fairlie decomposition method. The findings revealed significant gaps favouring men in account ownership, formal saving, and borrowing, with employment as the largest contributing factor, followed by tertiary education and income level.

Pahlevan Sharif et al. (2013) found a gender gap in bank account ownership in low-income economies, but no gap in formal savings or credit access. Education reduced the gender gap in account ownership and informal savings but had no impact on formal savings or borrowing. Aziz et al. (2022) explores gender disparities in financial inclusion in South Asia, finding that women are less likely to use financial services, especially in countries with religious restrictions. However, countries promoting gender equality through legislation show higher financial activity among women. Financial inclusion is too low in South Asia, with low usage of banking services, credit cards, and e-banking, though mobile banking is on the rise. Gender bias remains, with more male than female users, and despite improving initiatives, much progress is still needed (Mani, 2016).

The following hypothesises have been formulated based on our literature discussion:

* H1: There is a significant gender difference in account ownership among Afghan adults.
* H2: There is a significant gender difference in borrowing from financial institutions among Afghan adults.
* H3: There is a significant gender difference in credit card ownership among Afghan adults.
* H4: There is a significant gender difference in debit card ownership among Afghan adults.
* H5: There is a significant gender difference in digital payment usage among Afghan adults.
* H6: There is a significant gender difference in mobile money usage among Afghan adults.
* H7: There is a significant gender difference in savings at financial institutions among Afghan adults.

Furthermore, we present the barriers to financial inclusion in Afghanistan based on Global Findex (2021) data at the end.

3. methodology

This study investigates gender differences in the usage of formal financial services in Afghanistan, using data derived from the Global Findex Database across the years 2011, 2014, 2017, and 2021. The analysis focused on several key financial services, including account ownership, credit card ownership, debit card ownership, mobile money usage, savings at financial institutions, borrowing from financial institutions, and digital payments.

**3.1 Data:**

The dataset used in this study was obtained from the Global Findex Database, which provides comprehensive information on the use of financial services by individuals in various countries. This dataset includes information on financial service usage disaggregated by gender and year. Initially, the data was in a wide format, with separate columns for each financial service variable (e.g., Account\_Female, Account\_Male). The data was converted into a long format using the pivot\_longer() function in R. This transformation allowed for a more manageable structure, where each record represented a single observation for a financial service variable, with corresponding gender and year information. This long format data enabled the analysis of gender differences across all years combined, rather than performing separate analyses for each year.

**3.2 Operationalization:**

Given that the data for many variables did not follow a normal distribution, the Kruskal-Wallis test was chosen to compare the usage of financial services between males and females. The Kruskal-Wallis test is a non-parametric method that does not assume normality and is ideal for comparing independent groups, such as gender in this case. This test is appropriate for comparing more than two independent groups when the data is not normally distributed (Conover, 1999; Ostertagova et al., 2014; Cleophas & Zwinderman, 2016). Since some variables showed significant differences, a Dunn’s post-hoc test was conducted to identify which specific groups (male or female) differed significantly within those variables (Dunn, 1964). This test was necessary because the Kruskal-Wallis test does not specify which groups are different when a significant result is found (Dinno, 2015). Dunn’s test, with Bonferroni correction, was used to adjust for multiple comparisons.

**3.3 Ethical Considerations**

As the data used in this study was secondary data derived from the publicly available Global Findex Database, no personal or sensitive information was used. Therefore, ethical approval was not required for this analysis.

4. results

**4.1 Summary Data**

Table 1: Descriptive Summary of Financial Services Utilization by Gender (2011-2021)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Year** | **Gender** | **Account Ownership (%)** | **Credit Card (%)** | **Debit Card (%)** | **Mobile Money (%)** | **Saved in Institution (%)** | **Borrowed from Institution (%)** | **Digital Payment (%)** |
| 2011 | Male | 15 | 1 | 8 | NA | 5 | 10 | NA |
| Female | 3 | 1 | 1 | NA | 1 | 5 | NA |
| **Gap** |  | **12** | **0** | **7** | **NA** | **4** | **5** | **NA** |
| 2014 | Male | 16 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 10 |
| Female | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| **Gap** |  | **12** | **2** | **3** | **0** | **5** | **7** | **9** |
| 2017 | Male | 23 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 17 |
| Female | 7 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| **Gap** |  | **16** | **2** | **3** | **0** | **5** | **1** | **13** |
| 2021 | Male | 15 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 12 |
| Female | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| **Gap** |  | **10** | **0** | **5** | **0** | **3** | **2** | **8** |

*Source: Authors’ calculation based on Global Findex database*

Table 1 provides detailed data on the usage of various financial services by males and females, while Figure 1 visualizes these disparities. Account ownership shows the largest and most consistent gap, peaking at 16 percentage points in 2017 before narrowing to 10 percentage points in 2021. Similarly, digital payment usage exhibits significant disparities, with the gap ranging from 8 to 13 percentage points over the years. While credit card usage remained negligible for both genders, debit card usage showed a minor improvement among males, maintaining a gap of 3 to 7 percentage points. Savings and borrowing from formal institutions showed a steady but smaller gap, typically between 3 and 5 percentage points. Mobile money adoption, introduced in 2017, was minimal and exhibited no gender gap. Figure 1 visually reinforces these findings by illustrating the persistent gender gaps in financial service utilization across all categories, emphasizing the areas where disparities remain most pronounced.
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*Figure 1: Gender Gap in utilization of financial services (2011-2021)*

*Source: Author’s calculation based on Global Findex database*

Figure 2 shows the mean utilization of financial services by males and females across the entire period (2011–2021). Males consistently demonstrate higher mean values across all categories, with the most significant disparities observed in account ownership and digital payments. Savings and borrowing from formal institutions also show higher average usage among males. Credit card and mobile money usage remain negligible for both genders, with little variation. This figure summarizes the overall trends, highlighting the persistent gender gaps across financial services despite minimal improvements in some areas over the years.
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*Figure 2: Mean percentage of financial services utilization by gender*

*Source: Author’s calculation based on Global Findex database*

**4.2 Hypothesis testing**

Table 2 shows the Kruskal-Wallis test was conducted to assess gender differences in the usage of various formal financial services. The results showed significant gender differences in account ownership, debit card ownership, digital payment usage, and savings at financial institutions, with p-values below 0.05. These findings suggest that gender plays a role in determining access to and usage of these financial services in Afghanistan. However, for borrowing from financial institutions, credit card ownership, and mobile money usage, the Kruskal-Wallis test did not show significant results, with p-values greater than 0.05. This non-significance can likely be attributed to the low usage or near-zero usage of these services for both genders, which restricts the ability to detect any meaningful differences. As a result, we cannot make a clear indication of gender equality or gender disparity in these services based on the available data.

Table 2: Results of the Kruskal-Wallis Test

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Variable** | **Kruskal-Wallis Statistic** | **p-value** | **Hypothesis** |
| Account | 5.40 | 0.02 | Accepted (H1) |
| Borrowed | 2.58 | 0.1 | Rejected (H2) |
| Credit Card | 2.43 | 0.1 | Rejected (H3) |
| Debit Card | 5.46 | 0.01 | Accepted (H4) |
| Digital Payment | 3.97 | 0.04 | Accepted (H5) |
| Mobile Money | 0.00 | 1.00 | Rejected (H6) |
| Saved | 5.67 | 0.01 | Accepted (H7) |

*Source: Author’s calculation based on Global Findex database*

Given the significant results from the Kruskal-Wallis test, we proceeded with Dunn’s post-hoc test (see Table 3) to explore the specific nature of gender differences. The Dunn’s test confirmed significant gender differences in account ownership, with men being significantly more likely to own an account than women (Z = -2.323, p = 0.01). Similarly, men were found to have significantly higher rates of debit card ownership compared to women (Z = -2.337, p = 0.01). Regarding digital payment usage, men were also found to use digital payments significantly more than women (Z = -1.993, p = 0.02). Lastly, men were more likely to save at financial institutions than women (Z = -2.381, p = 0.01), further reinforcing the findings from the Kruskal-Wallis test.

Table 3: Results of Dunn’s Post-Hoc Test

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Variable** | **Comparison** | **Z-score** | **Unadjusted p-value** | **Bonferroni Adjusted p-value** |
| Account | Female - Male | -2.323 | 0.01\* | 0.01 |
| Debit Card | Female - Male | -2.337 | 0.01\* | 0.01 |
| Digital Payment | Female - Male | -1.993 | 0.02\* | 0.02 |
| Saved | Female - Male | -2.381 | 0.01\* | 0.01 |

*Source: Author’s calculation based on Global Findex database*

*Note: Dunn’s post-hoc tests were only performed for variables with significant results in Kruskal-Wallis test (p < 0.05).*

**4.3 Barriers to financial inclusion:**

The utilization of financial services in Afghanistan has remained consistently low over the years, as highlighted in the earlier analysis of gender disparities. Across various financial services, such as account ownership, savings, and digital payments, usage levels were notably limited for both males and females, with females consistently lagging behind. The persistent gender gap observed in financial services utilization can be closely linked to several structural and cultural barriers prevalent in the country.

Figure 3 shows the primary barrier to having a bank account in 2021 was insufficient funds, reflecting widespread poverty and economic constraints that impact both genders but often disproportionately affect women. Additionally, logistical challenges, such as the distance to financial institutions, and a lack of trust in financial systems further discourage usage. Procedural barriers, including the lack of necessary documentation and the high cost of financial services, exacerbate exclusion, making formal financial systems inaccessible to many, particularly women. Cultural and social factors also play a significant role, with religious considerations and reliance on family-held accounts limiting individual financial participation, especially for females. These barriers collectively explain the low usage of financial services and the persistent gender gap, underscoring the need for targeted interventions to address these challenges and promote equitable financial inclusion.
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*Figure 3: Barriers to FI in Afghanistan (2021)*

*Source: Author’s compilation based on Global Findex database*

**5. DISCUSSION:**

The descriptive summary reveals persistent gaps in financial service usage, with males consistently demonstrating higher engagement across almost all categories. While account ownership and digital payment usage exhibited the most substantial disparities, other services like savings and debit card usage also showed notable gender differences. The negligible usage of credit cards and mobile money for both genders indicates limited penetration of these services in the country, reflecting broader infrastructural and accessibility challenges. This finding is aligned with Demirgüç-Kunt et al. (2022) which state financial inclusion is too low in developing countries, and Mani (2016) finds that financial inclusion in South Asia remains low, with gender disparities persisting despite growing mobile banking adoption. Among the unbanked population, women are excluded at a higher rate (Kara et al., 2021; Tay et al., 2022).

The statistical analyses further underscored these disparities. The Kruskal-Wallis test identified significant gender differences in account ownership, debit card usage, digital payment adoption, and savings at financial institutions; this aligns with the finding of Ghosh & Chaudhury (2019) and Antonijević et al. (2022), as they found a significant gender gap in access and usage of financial services across the globe using the Global Findex database 2017. For instance, Pahlevan Sharif et al. (2013) analyzed the gender gap in financial inclusion in low-income economies and found significant differences with men using at a higher rate. Similarly, Aziz et al. (2022) found that women are less likely to use financial services, especially in countries with religious restrictions. However, in contrast to previous studies, no significant differences were detected for borrowing, credit card usage, and mobile money, likely due to the near-zero usage of these services across both genders. This aligns with the findings of Pahlevan Sharif et al. (2013) that find no gap in formal savings and credit. This highlights the need to approach low-usage services with caution when drawing conclusions about gender equality, as the lack of significance may reflect minimal adoption rather than true parity. Dunn’s post-hoc tests provided further clarity, confirming significant gender differences in the services identified as significant in the Kruskal-Wallis test, with men consistently exhibiting higher usage rates, aligning with previous studies on the gender gap in financial inclusion (Antonijević et al., 2022; Demirgüç-Kunt et al., 2013; Mani, 2016; Ghosh & Chaudhury, 2019; Kuroda et al., 2019; Aziz et al., 2022; Demirgüç-Kunt et al., 2022; Roy & Patro, 2022).

The barriers to financial inclusion, as illustrated in this study, provide valuable context for understanding these findings. Insufficient funds emerged as the most significant constraint, highlighting the economic challenges that restrict access to financial services for a substantial portion of the population, aligning with the findings of Kara et al. (2021) and Saluja et al. (2023), which state lack of income as a major barrier to FI. Fungáčová & Weill (2015) find that lack of income and education are associated with less use of formal accounts and savings. Additional barriers, such as distance, procedural requirements, and cultural norms, exacerbate exclusion, particularly for women, aligning with the findings of Anyangwe et al. (2022), Demirgüç-Kunt et al. (2013), Allen et al. (2016), and Saluja et al. (2023).  For instance, Anyangwe et al. (2022) highlight that cultural factors like power distance and masculinity hinder financial inclusion, while individualism and long-term orientation promote formal account usage. Similarly, Demirgüç-Kunt et al. (2013) find that legal restrictions, discriminatory norms, and socio-cultural factors significantly limit women's access to financial services. Moreover, Sanderson et al. (2018) identify documentation requirements and distance to financial access points as key barriers to financial inclusion, and Ayyagari & Beck (2015) report that financial inclusion in developing Asia remains low, with under 27% of adults having bank accounts and only 33% of enterprises accessing credit.

6. Conclusion

This study examined gender disparities in financial services utilization in Afghanistan using the Global Findex database. The findings reveal significant gender differences in account ownership, debit card usage, digital payments, and savings at financial institutions, while borrowing, credit card ownership and mobile money usage showed no significant disparities, likely due to their minimal adoption among both genders. Structural and socio-cultural barriers, including lack of funds, limited financial access, and procedural challenges, contribute to the persistent gender gap. These results underscore the need for targeted policies to promote financial inclusion and reduce gender-based financial inequalities in Afghanistan.

Policymakers should prioritize targeted interventions to address gender disparities in financial service usage. Initiatives such as gender-responsive financial products, financial literacy programs and streamlined account-opening processes can help bridge the gap. Efforts should also aim to reduce cultural and procedural barriers that disproportionately affect women, ensuring equitable access to financial services.

This study does not explore regional or urban-rural disparities, which may reveal even greater gender gaps in financial inclusion. Future research should focus on these dimensions to provide a more nuanced understanding of financial exclusion across Afghanistan. Additionally qualitative studies could investigate socio-cultural factors in greater depth, complementing quantitative findings.

**Data Availability:**

The data is publicly available from the Global Findex database, World Bank, and can also be requested from the corresponding author.
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