Original Research Article

INFLUENCE OF ALCOHOL AND TOBACCO ON HALITOSIS IN THE ELDERLY

.

ABSTRACT

|  |
| --- |
| **Aims:** Evaluate the association of external factors on the breath of elderly Brazilians aged 65 to 74 years.  **Study design:** Analytical cross-sectional study  **Place and Duration of Study:** 50 elderly people, living in Juiz de Fora and registered in the Family Health Strategies (FHS), from January to May 2019  **Methodology:** Individuals of both sexes, aged between 65 and 74 years, were included. The following information was collected: halitosis measurement, questionnaires on socioeconomic data and daily habits/practices, smoking and alcohol consumption. Halitosis was measured using a portable halimeter, and for addictions to alcoholism and smoking, the AUDIT and QSU-B questionnaires were used, respectively. Pearson's chi-square test was performed, the odds ratio was calculated, and the univariate and multivariate logistic regression model was constructed, using pseudo-R2 measurements.  **Results:** The mean age of the 220 individuals was 69.9 (± 2.9) years, and 59% were female. Of these, 111 (50.5%) had excellent to good breath. Older adults aged 70 to 74 years were more likely to have halitosis (OR: 3.01; CI 1.71-5.31; p<0.001) in relation to the elderly aged 65 to 69 years. Individuals who cleaned their mouths once or twice/day were 9.11 times more likely to have halitosis than those who cleaned their mouths three or more times/day. Each additional year of life increases the chance of halitosis by 7% and the individual being male reduces the chance of having halitosis by 38%, although p values were not significant for these variables.  **Conclusion:** This study demonstrated the correlation between lifestyle habits, such as fluid consumption, frequency of hygiene, alcohol dependence, and halitosis in the elderly. |

*Keywords: Halitosis. Tobacco Use Disorder. Alcohol Drinking. Dental care for aged; Geriatrics.*

1. INTRODUCTION

Halitosis is an abnormal condition of the breath, not a disease, and is characterized by local and/or systemic imbalance1,2. Approximately 32% of the world's population is affected by bad mouth odor, and there has been a trend of growth over the years3. In Brazil, breath alteration affects approximately 30% of its population, i.e., almost 50 million people4.

When observing this alteration in the elderly, the prevalence is high5. Some factors may contribute to halitosis being more prevalent in the elderly, such as poor oral hygiene, changes in eating habits, use of prostheses, reduced salivary flow, the presence of systemic diseases, and the use of multiple medications6.

Bad mouth odor is an embarrassing symptom, with an impact on human relationships, affecting millions of people around the world, causing social isolation and impacting the individual's quality of life7-9. There is a lack of studies evaluating the influence of external factors, such as alcoholism and smoking, and their impact on halitosis in the elderly. Thus, broader investigations are needed than what has been presented in scientific studies on the subject to evaluate not only organic factors but also external factors to which the population in question is exposed4,10.

Understanding the influencing factors is crucial for effective resolution approaches, minimizing the impacts on social relationships and the quality of life of those affected. Therefore, this study aimed to evaluate the association of external factors on the breath of elderly Brazilians aged 65 to 74 years.

2. material and methods


**2.1 Study design**

The present study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the Federal University of the Vales do Jequitinhonha e Mucuri (UFVJM) under approval number 2,045,667.

This is an analytical cross-sectional study composed of a sample of elderly people from the city of Juiz de Fora, conducted by UFVJM. This study was carried out following the guidelines of the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE)11.

**2.2 Sample**

For the sample calculation, a pilot study was carried out, where data were collected from 50 elderly people, living in Juiz de Fora and registered in the Family Health Strategies (FHS), from January to May 2019, using the standard deviation found for normal salivation (± 0.69) in the formula below.



Considering the 95% confidence interval and an error of 0.1, the sample size of 183 older adults was used. To compensate for possible losses, 20% was added to the amount, totaling 220 participants, who were distributed proportionally to the number of elderly people registered in each FHS. All 38 FHS, in the urban area, were included in the research, and the number of people invited from each unit was proportional to the total elderly population registered by the team, in a proportional stratified randomization.

The inclusion criteria were individuals of both sexes, aged between 65 and 74 years. Individuals who were hospitalized, institutionalized, and had disabilities that prevented them from answering the questionnaire or participating in data collection were excluded from the study.

**2.3 Characterization of variables and data collection**

A single trained researcher collected the data in the FHS between September 2019 and March 2020. Data collection followed the following order: halitosis measurement, questionnaires on socioeconomic data and daily habits/practices, smoking and alcoholism.

The degree of halitosis was measured using a portable halimeter (Breath Alert®, TANITA, Japan), which detects volatile sulfur compounds. The participant was instructed to blow for five seconds on the device, at five centimeters, and at the end of this procedure, the device generated the degree of halitosis on a scale from 0 to 4, where 0 (excellent), 1 (good), 2 (regular), 3 (poor) and 4 (very bad). This procedure was repeated three times, and the final degree of halitosis for each participant was the average between the values of the three calculations. This variable was dichotomized into unaltered breath (values 0 and 1) and halitosis (values 2, 3 and 4).

The variables age, gender, frequency of oral hygiene, and fluid intake were collected through a questionnaire. The dichotomization of the age variable was performed by dividing the age range considered in half: 65 to 69 and from 70 to 74 (5 years each).

For addictions to alcoholism and smoking, the questionnaires Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test - AUDIT12 and Questionnaire of Smoking Urges Brief - QSU-B13 respectively were used. Both are validated and translated into Portuguese. The AUDIT consists of 10 questions that aim to identify possible alcohol dependents. The score ranges from 0 to 40 and has four levels of evaluation of the results (abstainers, risky use, harmful use and probable dependence). This categorization suggests making (or not) an intervention concise. The QSU-B is structured with 10 affirmative questions to which the answers are a 7-point Likert scale ranging from "strongly disagree" to "strongly agree". The results can be analyzed through the total sum of points. The cut-off points of the Brazilian version are from 0 to 13 points, minimum craving; from 14 to 26, light craving; from 27 to 42, moderate craving; and 43 or more points, intense craving.

In another study, using the same sample, the association of these external factors with changes in salivary flow was assessed14.

**2.4 Statistical analysis**

Data were tabulated and analyzed using Data Analysis and Statistical Software College Station, Texas, USA (STATA, version 15). Pearson's chi-square test was performed, the odds ratio was calculated, and the univariate and multivariate logistic regression model was constructed, using pseudo-R2 measurements. The multivariate model chosen considered the Log Likelihood value, considering a CI of 95% and p≤ 0.05.

3. RESULTS

The mean age of the 220 individuals was 69.9 years (± 2.9), and 59% were women. Of these, 111 (50.5%) had excellent to good breath, 44 (20%) had regular breath, and 65 (29.5%) had bad to very bad breath. Most individuals reported being mixed-race (55.9%), and 62.5% of the elderly reported having up to eight years of schooling. The income of 91.8% of the individuals ranged from less than one minimum wage to three minimum wages. Most individuals were married (62.3%) (Table 1).

**Table 1: Socioeconomic characterization of the elderly. Juiz de Fora, 2019.**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Socioeconomic and Demographic Variables** | | **N** | **%** |
| **Age** | 65 to 69 years old | 87 | 39,5 |
| 70 to 74 years old | 133 | 60,5 |
| **Sex** | Women | 130 | 59,1 |
| Men | 90 | 40,9 |
| **Skin color** | White | 67 | 30,5 |
| Brown | 123 | 55,9 |
| Black | 30 | 13,6 |
| **Schooling** | More than 11 years of study | 25 | 11,4 |
| From 8 to 11 years of study | 32 | 14,5 |
| Up to 8 years of study | 138 | 62,7 |
| Illiterate | 25 | 11,4 |
| **Income** | Up to a minimum wage | 102 | 46,4 |
| 1 to 3 salaries | 100 | 45,4 |
| 3 to 5 salaries | 18 | 8,2 |
| **Marital status** | Single/divorced/widowed | 83 | 37,7 |
| Common-law marriage/married | 137 | 62,3 |

Older adults aged 70 to 74 years were more likely to have halitosis (OR: 3.01; CI 1.71-5.31; p<0.001) in relation to the elderly aged 65 to 69 years. There was a statistically significant association between low fluid intake and halitosis, where older adults who ingested less than one liter of fluids per day were 8.15 times more likely to have halitosis, compared to those who ingested more than two liters per day. The greater the alcohol addiction, the greater the chances of halitosis (OR risk: 2.07; CI: 1.13-3.78; High risk OR: 7.85; CI: 2.38-28.24)(Table 2).

**Table 2: Univariate regression between the independent variables and halitosis in the elderly aged 65 to 74 years. Juiz de Fora, 2019.**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Variable** | **Scale** | **Halitosis** | | | | | |
| **% (n)** | **Halitosis**  % (n) | **Normal**  % (n) | **P** **value** | **psR2** | **OR (Gross)**  (IC) |
| Age | 65 a 69 | 39,5 (87) | 26,6 (29) | 52,3 (58) | **<0,001** | -26,2 | Reference |
| 70 a 74 | 60,5 (133) | 73,4 (80) | 47,7 (53) | 3,01 (1,71-5,31) |
| Sex | Women | 59,1 (130) | 61,5 (67) | 56,8 (63) | 0,477 | 4,8 | Reference |
| Men | 40,9 (90) | 38,5 (42) | 43,1 (48) | 1,21 (0,70-2,08) |
| Fluid intake | > 2 L | 13,6 (30) | 6,4 (7) | 20,7 (23) | **<0,001** | -40,0 | Reference |
| 1 to 2 L | 40,5 (89) | 27,5 (30) | 53,2 (59) | 1,67 (0,43-4,33) |
| < 1 L | 45,9 (101) | 66,1 (72) | 26,1 (29) | 8,15 (3,15-21,08) |
| Frequency of oral hygiene | >2 times/day | 62,7 (138) | 39,4 (43) | 85,6 (95) | **<0,001** | -48,5 | Reference |
| ≤ 2 times/day | 37,3 (82) | 60,6 (66) | 14,4 (16) | 9,11 (4,73-17,53) |
| AUDIT | Low risk | 61,8 (136) | 50,5 (55) | 73,0 (81) | **<0,001** | -25,2 | Reference |
| Risk | 29,6 (65) | 34,9 (38) | 24,3 (27) | 2,07 (1,13-3,78) |
| High risk | 8,6 (19) | 14,7 (16) | 2,7 (3) | 7,85 (2,38-28,24) |
| QSU-B | Minimal | 83,6 (184) | 78,9 (86) | 88,3 (98) | 0,131 | -13,1 | Reference |
| Moderate | 10,0 (22) | 11,9 (13) | 8,1 (9) | 2,78 (1,11-6,97) |
| Intense | 6,4 (14) | 9,2 (10) | 3,6 (4) | 3,97 (1,20-13,17) |

Note: N: total number of elderlies; psR²: pseudoR²: correlation coefficient; OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence interval.

Each additional year of life increases the chance of halitosis by 7%, and the men presented a reduced chance of having halitosis by 38%. However, the p values were not significant for these variables. Elderly people who ingested less than 1 L/day had increased chances of halitosis when compared to groups that ingested more than 1 L/day, and alcohol addiction values in the risk and high-risk categories increased the chances of breath alteration compared to elderly people at low risk, both by 2.1 times (*p<0.05)* (Table 3).

**Table 3: Multivariate logistic regression on halitosis in the elderly (n=220) aged 65 to 74 years. Juiz de Fora, 2019.**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Variables** | **Halitosis** | | | | |
| **OR** | **IC 95%** | **P value** | **psR2** | **Log Likelihood** |
| Age | 1,07 | 0,97 – 1,19 | 0,162 | 0,16 | -128,2 |
| Sex | 0,62 | 0,33 – 1,16 | 0,138 |
| Fluid intake | 2,10 | 1,55 – 2,84 | **<0,0001** |
| AUDIT | 2,12 | 1,27 – 3,53 | **0,004** |

Note: OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence interval; psR²: correlation coefficient; AIC: Akaike's information criterion.

4. Discussion

The current study revealed a high prevalence of halitosis in the sample, with a significant tendency to be more likely as age advances. This may be related to the fact that as age advances, the elderly has a greater chance of developing systemic diseases and, consequently, an increase in the consumption of medications, which, when combined with the habits of this age group, influence the development of halitosis15.

One of these habits is low fluid intake, which in the present study demonstrated a relationship with the presence of halitosis. This can be explained by the fact that dehydration, along with stress and eating habits, are one of the most common causes of the condition6. This deficiency in fluid intake can result in a reduction in salivary flow and an increase in saliva viscosity, leading to the development of halitosis16.

The relationship between halitosis and oral hygiene revealed that a lower frequency of this practice is associated with higher chances of halitosis. This may be related to the accumulation of bacteria on the tongue, forming a biofilm, the main cause of bad breath17. In addition, decreased motor function or dementia may be factors that lead to a reduction in the frequency and quality of oral hygiene in the elderly15.

The present study demonstrated the association of the presence of halitosis with alcohol addiction, which may be related to the fact that the consumption of alcoholic beverages reduces the levels of salivary immunoglobulins, generating toxic metabolites (acetaldehyde) during degradation. This can modify the function of the salivary glands18, leading to hyposalivation, dehydration of the oral mucosa, increased epithelial desquamation, and the production of volatile compounds after metabolization, resulting in bad mouth odor16.

One of the limitations of the study was the lack of evaluation of halimetry after oral hygiene, which prevented the confirmation of the direct relationship between halitosis and hygiene. In addition, the prevalence of elderly individuals with halitosis and moderate/severe craving was low. The lack of statistical significance may be due to the low number of smokers. The portable halimeter used in the study limited the discrimination of volatile sulfur compounds, not identifying all types and other important odors.

On the other hand, it is noteworthy that the uniqueness of this study lies in the investigation of halitosis in elderly people living in a municipality, unlike previous studies carried out in long-term care institutions or dental clinics4,5,19. In addition, the fact that the present study used a device to measure halitosis reinforces the validity of the results found, considering that the assessment of halitosis by self-perception can generate results with low precision, considering that the elderly have a loss of smell and do not care as much about the odor when compared to young adults20.

5. conclusion

This study demonstrated the correlation between lifestyle habits, such as fluid consumption, frequency of hygiene, alcohol dependence, and halitosis in the elderly. Therefore, it is crucial to implement continuous educational actions that promote comprehensive care, adopting interdisciplinary approaches to ensure effective oral hygiene, adequate water intake, and monitoring of other health promotion initiatives.

Consent (where ever applicable)

Authors may use the following wordings for this section: "All authors declare that ‘written informed consent was obtained from the patient (or other approved parties) for publication of this case report and accompanying images. A copy of the written consent is available for review by the Editorial office/Chief Editor/Editorial Board members of this journal.

Ethical approval (where ever applicable)

The present study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the Federal University of the Vales do Jequitinhonha e Mucuri (UFVJM) under approval number 2,045,667. All authors hereby declare that all experiments have been examined and approved by the appropriate ethics committee and have therefore been performed in accordance with the ethical standards laid down in the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki.

References

1. Tungare S, Zafar N, Paranjpe AG. Halitosis. 2023 Aug 14. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2024 Jan–.
2. Jiun IL, Siddik SN, Malik SN, Tin-Oo MM, Alam MK, Khan MM. Association Between Oral Hygiene Status and Halitosis Among Smokers and Nonsmokers. Oral Health Prev Dent. 2015;13(5):395-405.
3. Silva MF, Leite FRM, Ferreira LB, Pola NM, Scannapieco FA, Demarco FF, Nascimento GG. Estimated prevalence of halitosis: a systematic review and meta-regression analysis. Clin Oral Investig. 2018 Jan;22(1):47-55.
4. Aguiar, Maria Cecília Azevedo de, et al. "Halitosis and associated factors in institutionalized elderly persons." *Revista Brasileira de Geriatria e Gerontologia* 20 (2017): 856-868.
5. Caxias FP de, Catanoze IA, Silva EVF da, Helena JT, Brandini DA, Santos DM dos, et al. Halitosis in geriatrics: factors related to this oral problem. An observational prospective clinical study. HSJ. 2020 May 14;10(2):36-42.
6. Nalcaci R, Baran I. Oral malodor and removable complete dentures in the elderly. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod. 2008 Jun;105(6):e5-9.
7. Aimetti M, Perotto S, Castiglione A, Ercoli E, Romano F. Prevalence estimation of halitosis and its association with oral health-related parameters in an adult population of a city in North Italy. J Clin Periodontol. 2015 Dec;42(12):1105-14
8. Lu HX, Chen XL, Wong M, Zhu C, Ye W. Oral health impact of halitosis in Chinese adults. Int J Dent Hyg. 2017 Nov;15(4):e85-e92.
9. Wu J, Cannon RD, Ji P, Farella M, Mei L. Halitosis: prevalence, risk factors, sources, measurement and treatment - a review of the literature. Aust Dent J. 2020 Mar;65(1):4-11.
10. Eldarrat AH. Influence of oral health and lifestyle on oral malodour. Int Dent J. 2011 Feb;61(1):47-51.
11. Malta M, Cardoso LO, Bastos FI, Magnanini MM, Silva CM. STROBE initiative: guidelines on reporting observational studies. Rev Saude Publica. 2010 Jun;44(3):559-65.
12. Lima CT, Freire AC, Silva AP, Teixeira RM, Farrell M, Prince M. Concurrent and construct validity of the audit in an urban brazilian sample. Alcohol. 2005 Nov-Dec;40(6):584-
13. Araujo RB, da Silva Oliveira M, Moraes JFD, Pedroso RS, Port F, de Castro MDGT. Validation of the Brazilian version of questionnaire of smoking urges-brief. Arch Clin Psychiatry. 2007;34(4):166-175.
14. Lima PO, Barroso HH, Silva LD, Almeida HF, Rocha RL, Canuto MH, Pinheiro ML. Changes in Salivary Flow in the Elderly: A Quantitative Cross-Sectional Study. 2023 Apr 24. PREPRINT (Version 1) available at Research Square.
15. Moreno LB, Colussi PRG, Marostega MG, Rosalen NP, Rösing CK, Muniz FWMG. Self-reported halitosis and associated factors among older adults: A cross-sectional study. J Oral Biol Craniofac Res. 2022 Jul-Aug;12(4):431-436.
16. Coelho Dal Rio AC, Danielli Nicola EM, Franchi Teixeira AR. Halitosis--an assessment protocol proposal. Braz J Otorhinolaryngol. 2007 Nov-Dec;73(6):835-842.
17. Gaddey HL. Oral manifestations of systemic disease. Gen Dent. 2017 Nov-Dec;65(6):23-29.
18. Waszkiewicz N, Jelski W, Zalewska A, Szulc A, Szmitkowski M, Zwierz K, Szajda SD. Salivary alcohol dehydrogenase in non-smoking and smoking alcohol-dependent persons. Alcohol. 2014 Sep;48(6):611-6.
19. Zellmer M, Gahnberg L, Ramberg Prevalence of halitosis in elderly living in nursing homes. Int J Dent Hyg. 2016 Nov;14(4):295-300.
20. Masood M, Newton T, Bakri NN, Khalid T, Masood Y. The relationship between oral health and oral health related quality of life among elderly people in United Kingdom. J Dent. 2017 Jan;56:78-83.