|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Journal Name: | [**International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry**](https://journalirjpac.com/index.php/IRJPAC) |
| Manuscript Number: | **Ms\_IRJPAC\_132192** |
| Title of the Manuscript: | **ETHNOBOTANICAL, PHYTOCHEMICAL STUDIES AND DETERMINATION OF MINERAL ELEMENTS OF COMMIPHORA AFRICANA (A. RICH) ENGEL. HAVERSTED IN MALI** |
| Type of the Article | **Original Research Article** |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **PART 1: Comments** | | |
|  | **Reviewer’s comment**  **Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.** | **Author’s Feedback** *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | **This research clearly shows that Commiphora africana has an important role in traditional medicine in Mali. I agree that this plant contains essential minerals such as iron, magnesium, calcium, potassium and zinc, which are beneficial for body health. Apart from that, findings regarding bioactive compounds such as terpenoids, flavonoids and alkaloids further strengthen their potential as natural medicinal ingredients. Therefore, I support the need for further research to explore the benefits and chemical content of this**  **plant in more depth.** | We agree with you. Thank you. |
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