|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Journal Name: | [**Chemical Science International Journal**](https://journalcsij.com/index.php/CSIJ) |
| Manuscript Number: | **Ms\_CSIJ\_132427** |
| Title of the Manuscript: | **Synthesis, spectrometrical characterization and pharmacological properties of six substituted hydrazones with carbonyl compounds** |
| Type of the Article | **Original Research Article** |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **PART 1: Comments** | | |
|  | **Reviewer’s comment**  **Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.** | **Author’s Feedback** *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | **Compounds containing electron pairs always give biological activity, which helps in the pharmacological study of fungi and cancer cells.** | ok |
| **Is the title of the article suitable?**  **(If not please suggest an alternative title)** | **I suggest the title should read: Preparation and characterization of several hydrazones and evaluation of fungal and cancer activity.** | This proposed title seems less precise. We prefer the original title which seems to better clearly reflect the purpose of our study. |
| **Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.** | **yes** | ok |
| **Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.** | yes | ok |
| **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.** | It's okay enough | ok |
| **Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?** | I suggest using the grammar program for linguistic revision, as some of the research needs correction. | It is directly taken into account in the manuscript |
| **Optional/General** comments |  |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **PART 2:** | | |
|  | **Reviewer’s comment** | **Author’s comment** *(if agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?** | *no* |  |