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**PART 1: Comments**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | |  |  | | --- | --- | | **Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer** | | | **review.** |  |   **Reviewer’s comment** | **Author’s Feedback** *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | This manuscript presents a valuable discussion on marine talent cultivation in Lianyungang using the CDIO model. Given the increasing global focus on marine economic development and sustainable talent management, this study is relevant for policymakers, educators, and industry professionals. By integrating the CDIO approach, the paper contributes to engineering education literature while addressing a pressing regional development issue. The study offers insights into the challenges and strategies for building a strong talent pipeline in the marine sector, which can be beneficial for other coastal cities with similar aspirations. | Thanks to the experts for their painstakingly reviewing and adding the significance of the manuscript to the academic community, please refer to the highlight section on the second page. |
| **Is the title of the article suitable?**  **(If not please suggest an alternative title)** | The title generally reflects the content of the manuscript. However, it could be made more specific by emphasizing the key focus of the study.    A suggested alternative:  "Enhancing Marine Talent Development in Lianyungang: A CDIO-Based Strategic Approach" | Thanks for your valuable comments, the title of the article has been revised to:"Enhancing Marine Talent Development in Lianyungang: A CDIO-Based Strategic Approach" |
| **Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.** | The abstract provides a good overview of the research but could be more concise and structured. It repeats certain points about the importance of marine talent development. Consider specifying the research methods and key findings more explicitly. A clearer statement on how the CDIO model is applied would enhance readability. Additionally, avoid redundant phrases such as "a large number of leading talents" and "construction of a marine talent team. | Thanks for your valuable comments, the abstract of the article has been revised to:  The ocean is a strategic location for high-quality development. As Marine economic activities are technology-intensive and capital-intensive industrial fields, a large number of talents with innovation ability, cross-border integration and international vision are needed. As one of the first coastal open cities in China, Lianyungang needs to do a good job in the construction of Marine talents and show its due responsibility as a port city. Starting from the current situation and existing problems of the construction of Marine talents in Lianyungang, this paper takes CDIO mode as the research perspective, and puts forward research ideas and countermeasures for the construction of Marine talents team from four aspects: conceive, design, implement and operate. |
| **Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.** | The manuscript is scientifically sound in its discussion of marine talent development and the CDIO model. However, it would benefit from a more structured analysis, particularly in defining the key challenges and systematically linking them to proposed solutions. Some claims regarding Lianyungang’s marine economic development need supporting data and comparative analysis with other regions to strengthen the argument. | According to the revision suggestions, the third section of the article has been modified. Please refer to the highlighted part in the third section of the article for details. |
| **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.** | The references include a mix of recent and relevant sources, but there is room for improvement. Some key references on the CDIO model in talent development outside China could be included to provide a broader perspective. Additionally, citations for specific economic data on Lianyungang’s marine sector should be verified and properly referenced. | Some latest references about the CDIO model have been added to the references of the article. |
| **Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?** | The English quality of the manuscript needs to be improved for scientific communication but requires significant improvement for clarity and coherence. Some sentences are repetitive and overly complex. Grammar issues and phrases, such as “the main driving force for the sustainable development of the Marine industry,” should be revised to make them more readable. Professional language editing services are highly recommended. | The English expressions in the article have been revised to better meet the requirements of the English language context. |
| **Optional/General** comments | * The introduction is informative but would be more effective if it explicitly stated the research gap and contribution of the study. * Some sections, such as "Strengthen policy support," contain lengthy descriptions that could be condensed. * The discussion on the CDIO model could benefit from a clearer explanation of how it has been successfully implemented in similar contexts. * A graphical or tabular representation of key findings would enhance readability and comprehension. |  |
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|  | **Reviewer’s comment** | **Author’s comment** *(if agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?** | *(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in detail)* |  |