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| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | Ventricular Aneurysm is a serious condition. As the management strategies for true and false aneurysms differ, early detection and aggressive management are crucial. Multimodal imaging can be helpful in diagnosing doubtful cases. | Thank you for the feedback. |
| **Is the title of the article suitable?**  **(If not please suggest an alternative title)** | The title should be modified for clarity. Suggested alternative: "A Case Report on Multimodal Imaging in Diagnosing True or False Ventricular Aneurysm." | Thank you for the suggestion. |
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