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|  | **Reviewer’s comment**  **Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.** | **Author’s Feedback** *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part*  *in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
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| **Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.** | Yes | yes |
| **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.** | Sufficient | yes |
| **Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?** | The paper contains several grammatical errors, awkward phrasings, and missing prepositions that affect readability. Suggest to use proof-reading assistance to improve the article | I tried to improve my texte |
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