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**PART 1: Comments**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Reviewer’s comment**  **Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.** | **Author’s Feedback** *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | This research paper is of particular value to the academic community as it examines the experiences, developments, problems, and solutions of the Indian Geographical Indications (GI) system over the past twenty years. The study explains the classification and provincial distribution of GIs and provides important strategies for marketing and protecting farmers, artisans, and local products. The findings of this study can play an important role in protecting traditional products, strengthening rural economies, and opening up international markets. Therefore, this study is of fundamental importance for sustainable development, preservation of cultural heritage, and expansion of scientific studies. | Thank you |
| **Is the title of the article suitable?**  **(If not please suggest an alternative title)** | The current title of the article is **“Two Decades of Geographical Indications in India: Analysing Trends, Categories, and Intellectual Property Implications”** It is an appropriate title because it effectively captures the content of the article and highlights the main research issues.  However, to enhance the title's appeal and clarity, we can suggest the following alternative titles:  **“Twenty Years of Experience with Geographical Indications in India: Progress, Problems, and Policy Recommendations”**  or  **“Two Decades of Geographical Indications in India: Achievements, Challenges, and Economic Implications."** | Modified the title as per the suggestion. |
| **Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.** | Yes, the abstract is quite comprehensive as it includes the research topic, objectives, GI registration numbers, key findings, problems, and recommendations. However, some suggestions could enhance the abstract's strength:  Suggestions:  Research Objectives: Clarifying the specific objectives of the study in the abstract would help readers understand the main questions the study aims to answer, such as category analysis, provincial distribution, registration time, and the status of verified users.  Source of Data: It should be noted that this study relies on secondary data from the official IPR India website to clarify the reliability of the information.  Practical Suggestions: While some suggestions have been mentioned, adding one or two specific and practical recommendations, such as public awareness programs for underdeveloped areas, would be beneficial.  Repetition: Reducing the repetition of phrases like "enhancing the system" would help keep the summary clear and engaging. | The abstract is modified as per the suggestions, incorporating the objectives, source of data, and policy suggestions, and tried to reduce repetitions wherever possible. |
| **Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.** | Yes, this article is scientifically sound and in line with accepted scientific principles. The research has a clear  scientific structure that is organized into sections of Introduction, Methodology, Results, and Discussion, and it uses reliable scientific sources.  In addition, the statistical analysis, tables, and graphs support the validity and accuracy of the research. The topic is of modern scientific importance as it refers to the economic, legal, and regional impacts of geographical indications.  However, some minor weaknesses could improve the scientific quality of the article, such as:   1. The limitations of the study are not clearly stated. 2. Some sections (such as the in-depth conclusion of the analysis) could be strengthened. 3. Reducing the repetition of some sentences would increase the scientific beauty.   Overall, the article has scientific validity, but with a few corrections, it could be more standardized. | Included the limitations of the study. Accepted the suggestions and modified accordingly. |
| **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have** | Yes, the references or sources of the article are sufficient and relatively modern. Most of the references are from |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.** | 2022, 2023, and 2024, which shows the novelty and freshness of the topic. In addition, reputable magazines and academic journals have been used, such as the Journal of Intellectual Property Rights, the Journal of Rural Studies, etc.  However, for further improvement, it is suggested that:   1. Official reports or policy documents published by the Government of India on Geographical   Indications (GI) should also be added, which would better explain the legal and administrative aspects of the topic.   1. GI-related specific reports of international organizations, such as WIPO (World Intellectual Property Organization), FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization), or UNCTAD, could also be used.   Overall, the current references are sufficient, but adding the aforementioned suggested sources would further enhance the scientific strength of the article. | Accepted the suggestions and modified accordingly. |
| **Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?** | Yes, the language and quality of the English in the article are appropriate for academic publications. The  sentences are fluent, professional, and constructed following the standards of academic writing. Technical terms, concepts, and scientific terminology are used appropriately, which increases the clarity of the topic for the reader. | Yes |
| **Optional/General** comments | This research paper is a valuable scholarly effort that provides a sound scientific analysis of the twenty years of experience, developments, problems, and opportunities of India’s Geographical Indications (GI). The topic of the paper is novel, relevant, and of great importance for the protection of regional economies, cultural heritag,e and intellectual property.  The paper has a strong scientific structure, the data sources are reliable, and its analytical part is also strong. However, I have the following general suggestions for further improvement:   1. The limitations of the study should be clarified. 2. The practical policy recommendations in the conclusion should be more specific and strengthened. 3. Reducing some of the less repetitive sentences would increase the literary beauty of the paper. 4. If possible, a brief comparative analysis of international GI experiences should also be added.   Overall, the paper is publishable and considered useful to the scientific community. | Accepted the suggestions and modified manuscript |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **PART 2:** | | |
|  | **Reviewer’s comment** | **Author’s comment** *(if agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?** | *(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in detail)* | *No* **ethical issues in this manuscript** |