**Editor’s Comment:**

With reference to your mail, I have gone to the revised file. The authors have carried out the corrections suggested by the reviewer and also given justifications for the same. Hence, the manuscript can be accepted for publication after carrying out the following suggestions with MINOR REVISION and it does not require further review.

**Suggestion for authors**

1. There are some grammatical, alignments and typographical errors noted in the manuscript and it should be thoroughly checked and corrected throughout the manuscript.

2. The use of abbreviations in the abstract section may distract readers who wish to quickly skim through several publications before deciding to read one in full. It may therefore help to write out terms fully in this section (For example, FSH).

3. Check the abbreviations throughout the manuscript and introduce the abbreviation when the full word appears the first time in the abstract and the remaining for the text and then use only the abbreviation (For example, EDTA, BSA etc.,).

4. The full form of the species should be given when the first time appears in both the abstract and in the remaining part of the manuscript and it should be followed by only the first letter of the genus (For example, *Cyperus esculentus* / *Phoenix dactylifera*when the first time appear and followed by *C. esculentus* / *P. dactylifera*).

5. When referring to SPSS versions beginning from 19, authors should cite ‘IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 21 (IBM Corp., Armonk, N.Y., USA)'.

6. The authors may improve the discussion of their results by focusing on the present findings and introducing data from other authors who also worked with the same or other studies with recent references since it is lack of sufficient references.
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