*Original Research Article*

Antimicrobial Resistant Genes in Non-typhoidal *Salmonella* Species in Food and Stool Samples in Port Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria

.

ABSTRACT

|  |
| --- |
| **Background:** Non-typhoidal *Salmonella* (NTS) species are the major cause of foodborne infection.  **Aim:** To analyze antimicrobial-resistant genes found in non-typhoidal *Salmonella* species in food and stool samples in Port Harcourt using molecular methods.  **Study Design**: This was a cross-sectional study with simple randomized sampling.  **Methodology**: In this study, 12 *Salmonella*-positive isolates obtained from food and stool samples in Port Harcourt were subjected to antimicrobial sensitivity testing using the disk diffusion method of the commonly prescribed antibiotics in the city. Molecular techniques were employed to determine the presence of TET-W and CTX-M resistant genes, and also phylogenetic relationships.  **Results**: Out of 12 isolates [7 (3.3%) food samples and 5 (2.4%) stool samples], all (100.0%) were sensitive to ofloxacin, imipenem, levofloxacin, and gentamicin. High sensitivity was also observed to be ciprofloxacin (92.0%) and ceftriaxone (75.0%). However, complete resistance (100.0%) was recorded against tetracycline and cefotaxime. Resistance to cefpodoxime-proxetil (16.7%) and amikacin (8.3%) was exclusive to food isolates. Clinical isolates showed 20.0% resistance compared to 23.0% in food isolates, with none being sensitive to all antibiotics tested. Resistance patterns by antibiotic class indicated 0.0% resistance to fluoroquinolones, 4.2% to aminoglycosides, 25.0% to beta-lactams, and 100.0% to tetracycline. Multiple Antibiotic Resistance (MAR) analysis revealed that 75.0% of isolates had MAR indices >0.2, significantly higher (p = .0143) than those with MAR <0.2. Notably, all isolates with MAR indices >0.2 were obtained from Obio/Akpor. PCR assays confirmed the presence of resistance genes, with TET-W detected in all tested stool and food isolates, while CTX-M was found in 25.0% of food isolates but not in stool isolates.  **Conclusion**: There was total resistance to tetracycline antibiotics. More antimicrobial resistance was noted in food samples than in clinical samples which could have arisen from the use of antibiotics in agricultural activities or improper handling of food by vendors. |

*Keywords: Non-typhoidal Salmonella, antimicrobial resistance, foodborne disease*

1. INTRODUCTION

Ten percent of the world's population suffers from foodborne infections, which also cause 33 million deaths annually [1]. The high prevalence of *Salmonella enterica* is of great public health concern due to its detection in several foods, including fish, poultry, vegetables, and shellfish [2, 3]. This trend in *Salmonella* infection has been attributed to poor or lack of adequate hygienic procedures in the food chain (conservation, transit, processing, and marketing) [3, 4]. Typhi and Paratyphi are common serovars of *S. enterica* that cause severe systemic typhoid fever in humans [5]. However, other species of *Salmonella* cause gastroenteritis which are often referred to as nontyphoidal *Salmonella* (NTS). Serovars that belong to this category include *Salmonella* ser. Typhimurium and *Salmonella* ser. Enteritidis [5, 6].

There are an estimated 1.3 billion cases of acute gastroenteritis each year, resulting in 3 million deaths [7]. Africa has a high mortality rate of 4,100 deaths per year, or 320 deaths per 100,000 people [8]. Variations in serovar fitness, virulence factors, and host vulnerability are related to the disease's severity and prognosis [9]. The development of resistance in these pathogens to antimicrobials poses a global risk to human and animal health. This development of resistance led to difficulties in the clinical treatment of patients infected with antibiotic-resistant bacteria and the likelihood of these resistant diseases spreading [10, 11]. This raises serious alarm as a significant portion of the antibiotic-resistant *Salmonella* has been obtained from eating tainted food that originated from animals, putting human health at risk and driving up medical expenses [12, 13]. Antimicrobial-resistant infections are expected to cause 10 million fatalities globally by 2050, according to some experts [14].

The adoption of antibiotics in animal husbandry in several regions of the world has further increased the chances of the spread of resistant strains of infectious agents. *Salmonella* species which develop resistance to extended-spectrum cephalosporins have been discovered from chickens. The consumption of these poultry products could potentially cause resistant infection [15, 16]. Resistant *Salmonella* infection has been connected to higher fatality and poorer clinical outcomes [17]. Based on the laws and initiatives put in place to combat illness, different nations have reported different prevalence rates of salmonellosis. Despite the growing number of reports of non-typhoidal *Salmonella*, a particularly invasive, multidrug-resistant strain in Sub-Saharan Africa, surveillance, documentation, and reporting of salmonellosis are frequently inadequate and dispersed over the African region [4,18,19]. Developing effective control methods requires a thorough understanding of the molecular epidemiology and antibiotic resistance profiles of *Salmonella* isolates, particularly in nations like Nigeria where the disease is highly prevalent [18,19].

Determining the antimicrobial profile of this disease is crucial to identifying the most effective medications for treating salmonellosis, which is a disease that affects people of all demographics. Currently, there is a paucity of data on this NTS in Nigeria. As a follow-up to a previous demographic study on the prevalence of NTS in food and clinical samples [20], this study evaluated the antimicrobial profile of non-typhoidal *Salmonella* as well as the antimicrobial resistance genes of this pathogen. Laboratories in Nigeria hardly perform susceptibility testing on positive *Salmonella* stool cultures leading to misuse of antibiotics in treatment, therefore, it is justifiable that this study will provide salient data on antibiotic regimens in the treatment of salmonellosis. This research brings to light the prevalence of *Salmonella* in locally made food.

2. material and methods

**2.1 Study Area**

The study was carried out in Port Harcourt of Rivers State located in the oil-rich Niger Delta of Nigeria. Port Harcourt is situated within latitude 4°49´27ʺ N and longitude 7°2´1 ʺ E with an estimated population of 3,480,101 and a land area of 369 km2. The study worked on isolating NTS species from food and clinical samples. Hence, the food samples were obtained from street food vendors within the Port Harcourt metropolis. The clinical samples were obtained from the Rivers State University Teaching Hospital (RSUTH) and University of Port Harcourt Teaching Hospital (UPTH), others were collected from medical laboratories and identified individuals presenting with gastroenteritis in the Port Harcourt metropolis.

A map of a city

Description automatically generated

**Map 1. Map of Port Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria** (Adapted from Ogbozige and Toko, [21]).

**2.2 Selection Criteria**

Individuals with visible signs and symptoms of gastroenteritis such as vomiting, diarrhea, nausea, and bloody stool were included in the study. Individuals on any antibiotics were excluded from the study. Foods included in this study were foods that have been prepared on the day of sampling and freshly dished in less than 30 minutes from the time of cooking. Waste foods were excluded from the study.

**2.3 Sample Collection**

From December 2022 to November 2023, a cross-sectional approach was utilized to collect stool samples from suspected individuals with gastroenteritis were collected using a vial. Subjects were given clear guidance on how to avoid contamination. The clinical samples were either processed directly or sent to the medical microbiology laboratory of RSU where processing took place immediately.

**2.4 Sample size**

The study used a prevalence of 16.3% determined by Akinyemi et al. [22]. Using Cochran's equation of sample size = , the appropriate sample size given the specified combination of precision, confidence and variability was 209.6. Hence, the sample size adopted for both clinical samples and food samples was 210 each.

**2.5 Bacterial Isolation**

Stool specimens were prepared in Selenite F Broth and incubated at 37°C for 18 hours. The overnight culture was sub-cultured unto *Salmonella-Shigella* Agar (SSA) and bismuth sulfite agar (BSA) and incubated for 18 hours at 37°C. For the food samples, a 1:10 dilution of each food sample was made by weighing and homogenizing 10 g of the food sample and dissolving it in 90 mL of peptone water. 1 mL of the dissolved sample was transferred aseptically into 10 mL of sterile Selenite F Broth and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. The overnight culture was sub-cultured unto *Salmonella-Shigella* Agar (SSA) and BSA and incubated for 18 hours at 37°C. In all, twelve isolates were recovered (5 out of 210 stool samples and 7 out of 210 food samples). The isolates recovered were subjected to biochemical tests (triple sugar iron test, Urease and Indole test) and were kept in nutrient agar slants in an incubator for further testing.

**2.6** **Antimicrobial Susceptibility Profiling**

Antimicrobial susceptibility test was done using the Kirby-Bauer disk diffusion technique as recommended by the Clinical and Laboratory Standard Institute (CLSI) [23]. Five mL tryptic soya broth (OXOID, England) was inoculated with test isolates and incubated at 35°C for 4 hours. Culture of each isolate was compared with 0.5 McFarland turbidity standards. Using swabs, isolates were inoculated on Mueller-Hinton agar, and the inoculated plates were allowed to dry for 30 minutes at room temperature. The isolates were tested for their susceptibility to fourteen (14) antimicrobial agents with the following disc contents; tetracycline (30 μg), amoxicillin/clavulanic acid (30 μg), ciprofloxacin (5 μg), gentamicin (120 μg), ofloxacin (5 μg), ceftriaxone (30 μg), cefpodoxime-proxetil (10 μg), cefuroxime (30 μg), cefotaxime (30μg), ceftazidime (30 μg), imipenem (30 μg), levofloxacin (10 μg), cefepime (30 μg), and amikacin (30 μg ). Muller-Hinton agar cultures were coated with antibiotic-impregnated discs, which were then incubated for 20 hours at 37°C. Using the CLSI interpretive chart, the widths of the zones of inhibition were measured to the nearest millimeter and categorized as resistant, moderate, or susceptible [24].

**2.7** **Determination of Multiple Antibiotic Resistance (MAR) Index**

For the determination of the MAR index, the formular MAR = was used, where ‘a’ represents the number of antibiotics to which the test isolate showed resistance and ‘b’ represents the total number of antibiotics to which the test isolate was subjected to for susceptibility.

**2.8 Molecular Analysis**

**2.8.1 DNA Extraction**

Logarithmic phase cultures (1.5 mL) were harvested by centrifugation at 10,000 rpm for 5 minutes. The bacterial DNA was extracted using the HotSHOT DNA Extraction Kit (Qiagen) according to manufacturer’s instructions. The extracted DNA was collected and quantified using Nanodrop before storage at -20°C until further use.

**2.8.2** **Detection Bacteria 16S rRNA and Antimicrobial Resistance Genes**

Conventional PCR was performed to amplify the variable region (V4) of the 16S rRNA gene using primers in **Table 1**. 20 μL PCR reaction mixture (4 µL of 5x master mix, 0.4 µL of each 10 µM 16S rRNA, TET-W and CTX-M primers (to achieve a final concentration of 0.2 µM), 13.2 µL of molecular-grade water, and 2 µL of extracted DNA. PCR amplification was performed using the Applied Biosystems PCR Thermal Cycler 9700 with the following conditions: 5 min at 94°C; 35 cycles of 40 s at 94°C, 40 s at 58°C, and 1 minute 30 s at 72°C; 5 minutes at 72°C. This was followed by gel electrophoresis where a 2% agarose gel was prepared by dissolving 0.6g agarose powder in 30 mL 1X Tris-Borate-EDTA (TBE) buffer. Safe Green dye (30 µL) was added for visibility. The gel, with pre-placed wells for PCR products, solidified, and the comb was removed. PCR products (4 µL loaded buffers each) and a marker lane were loaded into the wells. Electrophoresis was conducted, and the gel was visualized under a UV transilluminator. Positive bacterial samples were expected to show a band size around 292 bp following 16S rRNA PCR, 168 bp (TET-W), and 255 bp (CTX-M).

**Table 1: List of Primers used for PCR Amplification**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Target** | **Forward** | **Reverse** |
| 16S rRNA | 5’-GTGYCAGCMGCCGCGGTAA-3 | 5’-GGACTACNVGGGTWTCTAAT-3’ |
| TET-W | 5`-GAGAGCCTGCTATATGCCAGC-3 | 5`-GGGCGTATCCACAATGTTAAC-3` |
| CTX-M | 5`-GTGATACCACTTCACCTC-3` | 5`-AGTAAGTGACCAGAATCAG-3` |

**Data Analyses**

The results were presented as percentages, Mean±SD, photographs and bar charts. The statistical package used was GraphPad Prism. The statistical tools used for the analyses were Chi-square and t-test. The statistical significance was considered at 95% confidence interval.

3. results

**3.1 Antimicrobial Susceptibility Patterns of the Isolates**

*Salmonella* isolates showed either sensitive, resistant or intermediate susceptibility to test antibiotics as shown in **Table 2**. Out of the 12 isolates obtained 7 (3.3%) were recorded for food samples and 5 (2.4%) stool samples. The highest rate of resistance to tetracycline was 12 (100.0%) and cefotaxime 12 (100.0%) were recorded. Five (42.0%) of the isolates were resistant to amoxicillin + clavulanic acid while three (25.0%) were resistant to cefuroxime. Resistance to cefpodoxime-proxetil (16.7%) and Amikacin (8.3%) were associated only with food isolates (two out of seven for cefpodoxime- proxetil and one out of seven for amikacin). Overall, there was a 20% resistance in the clinical isolates compared to 23% resistance among the food isolates. In terms of antibiotic classes, the isolates showed no resistance to the fluoroquinolones (0.0%), carbapenems (0.0%), but the highest resistance 100% against tetracycline (**Fig. 1**).

**Table 2. Antimicrobial susceptibility in percentages of *Salmonella* isolates.**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | | N = 12 | | |
| **Antibiotic Class** | **Antibiotic** | **Sensitive (%)** | **Intermediate (%)** | **Resistant (%)** |
| Carbapenems | Imipenem  (R ≤ 19, I = 14 – 15, S ≥ 16) | 12 (100) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) |
| Cephalosporins | Amoxicillin-Clavulanic acid  (R ≤ 13, I = 14 – 17, S ≥ 18) | 1 (8.3) | 6 (50.0) | 5 (41.7) |
| Cefotaxime  (R ≤ 14, I = 15 – 22, S ≥ 23) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 12 (100) |
| Cefpodoxime-Proxetil  (R ≤ 14, I = 15 – 22, S ≥ 23) | 3 (25.0) | 7 (58.3) | 2 (16.7) |
| Ceftazidime  (R ≤ 14, I = 15 – 17, S ≥ 18) | 1 (8.3) | 9 (75.0) | 2 (16.7) |
| Cefepime  (R ≤ 14, I = 15 – 17, S ≥ 18) | 8 (66.7) | 4 (33.3) | 0 (0) |
| Cefuroxime  (R ≤ 14, I = 15 – 22, S ≥ 23) | 5 (41.7) | 4 (33.3) | 3 (25.0) |
| Ceftriaxone  (R ≤ 13, I = 14 – 20, S ≥ 21) | 9 (75) | 3 (25) | 0 (0) |
| Aminoglycosides | Amikacin  (R ≤ 14, I = 15 – 16, S ≥ 17) | 8 (66.7) | 3 (25.0) | 1 (8.3) |
| Gentamicin  (R ≤ 17, I = 18 – 19, S ≥ 20) | 12 (100) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) |
| Tetracyclines | Tetracycline  (R ≤ 14, I = 15 - 18, S ≥ 19) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 12 (100) |
| Fluoroquinolones | Ofloxacin  (R ≤ 12, I = 13 – 15, S ≥ 16) | 12 (100) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) |
| Ciprofloxacin  (R ≤ 15, I = 16 – 20, S ≥ 21) | 11 (91.7) | 1 (8.3) | 0 (0) |
| Levofloxacin  (R ≤ 13, I = 14 – 16, S ≥ 17) | 12 (100) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) |



**Fig.1. Prevalence of Resistance by Antibiotic Classes**

**3.2 Multiple Antibiotic Resistance (MAR) Index of the Isolates**

The MAR indices analysis of the isolated bacteria revealed that 9 isolates (75%) had MAR indices greater than 0.2 which were significantly higher (p = .0143) than those less than 0.2 3 (25%). The *Salmonella* isolates which had MAR indices greater than 0.2 were mostly *Salmonella* isolates obtained from food samples 6 (66.7%). Notably, all the isolates with MAR indices greater than 0.2 were isolated from only one of the local government areas, Obio/Akpor 9 (100%) (**Table 3**).

**Table 3. Distribution of the Isolates based on MAR Index**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Sample Types** | | **Geographical Locations** | | |
| **MAR Index** | **Total of Isolates (%)** | **Food (%)** | **Stool (%)** | **Obio/Akpor Isolates (%)** | **Ikwerre Isolates (%)** | **PHALGA Isolates (%)** |
| **< 0.2** | 3 (25) | 1 (33.3) | 2 (66.7) | 3 (100) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) |
| **> 0.2** | 9 (75) | 6 (66.7) | 3 (33.3) | 9 (100) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) |
| **p-value** | **.0143** | **.0075** | .5271 | **.0143** | - | - |

Key: MAR= Multiple Antibiotic Resistance

**3.3 Confirmation of 16s Ribosomal RNA of Bacterial Isolates**

PCR technique successfully detected the V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene specific to *Salmonella* species in the samples. **Figure 2** shows the gel electrophoresis picture of 6 representatives of the 12 isolates, and they could be confirmed to be 292 bp band size which was expected. This validates the specificity of the PCR assay for bacteria species identification.


A row of yellow lights

Description automatically generated with medium confidence

**Fig. 2. Bands Generated from 16S rRNA Amplification.** Lane M is 1 kb Ladder, S1-6 are culture samples positive for bacteria while S7-8 are control samples.

**3.4 Antimicrobial Resistance Genes Detection**

PCR assays targeting TET-W and CTX-M resistance genes resulted in specific amplicons of the expected sizes. Gel electrophoresis confirmed the presence of these resistance genes in the bacterial cultures, providing insights into their antibiotic resistance profiles (**Figure 3**). The TET-W primers amplified six of 168 bp amplicons while the CTX-M primers amplified a 255 bp product. While all the tested *Salmonella* isolates 2 (100%) from the stool samples used showed TET-W genes, none 0 (0%) showed a CTX-M gene. On the other hand, all the *Salmonella* assessed isolates 4 (100%) from food samples also showed the presence of TET-W but only one 1 (25%) showed CTX-M gene (**Table 4**).



**Figure 3. Representative bands that are positive for TET-W and CTX-M antimicrobial genes.** Lane M is 1 kb Ladder, S1-6 are culture isolates amplified with TET-W primers while S1b-6b were amplified with CTX-M primers.

**Table 4. Prevalence of Antimicrobial Resistant Genes in NTSspecies.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Sample** | **CTX-M n (%)** | **TET-W n (%)** |
| Stool | 0 (0) | 2 (100) |
| Food | 1 (25) | 4 (100) |
| Total | 1 (100) | 6 (100) |
| p-value | .4945 | >0.9999 |

4. discussion

The high burden of NTS has caused a huge economic impact which has affected the healthcare settings [25]. This study elucidated the prevalence of antibiotic resistance among NTS species in clinical and food samples collected from Port Harcourt in Nigeria. In our study, a disturbing trend of complete resistance to tetracycline and cefotaxime was observed among all isolates. These antibiotics belong to the tetracyclines and third-generation cephalosporins respectively. These results align with previous studies by Adedokun *et al*. [20] who reported high resistance of *Salmonella* serovars isolated from food, animals and human samples in Lagos of Nigeria, however, this resistance was exhibited in other classes of cephalosporins such as cefuroxime and ceftazidime which showed lower resistance in our study. Similarly, Akinyemi et al. [22] reported cefuroxime and ceftazidime resistance in *Salmonella* species from febrile patients.

Outside Nigeria, a 2015 study demonstrated high resistance to tetracycline from retail aquaculture products however was lower than those found in this study [27]. In the same country, raw chicken of commercial broilers exhibited similar high resistance to cefotaxime and tetracycline [28]. Put together, these studies have shown extensive evidence of increasing resistance of *Salmonella* to tetracycline due to its widespread use in food-producing animals. In our study, the resistance observed against amoxicillin-clavulanic acid (42%) and cefuroxime (25%) suggests a potential reduction in the efficacy of these antibiotics for treating *Salmonella* infections, particularly in clinical settings [29]. The observation of intermediate susceptibility among isolates to ceftazidime (66.6%) and cefpodoxime-proxetil (58.3%) suggests a gradual shift towards resistance, which has been previously reported in various surveillance studies [30].

Besides these resistance phenotypes, the *Salmonella* isolates also demonstrated some sensitivity patterns to the different classes of antibiotics. Notably, all isolates exhibited complete sensitivity to ofloxacin, imipenem, levofloxacin, and gentamicin, indicating the continued efficacy of fluoroquinolones and carbapenems against *Salmonella* spp. This finding is consistent with previous studies that have reported low resistance rates of *Salmonella* to fluoroquinolones [31]. Additionally, high sensitivity to ciprofloxacin (92.0%) and ceftriaxone (75.0%) suggests that these antibiotics remain viable treatment options in Port Harcourt, as corroborated by recent reports in the literature [31].

In terms of resistance to antibiotic classes, the majority of the isolates were resistant to the tetracycline, followed by β-lactam antibiotics then aminoglycoside which is corroborated by the report of Adedokun *et al.* [26]but contrasts that of Pławińska-Czarnak *et al.* [32] who reported a higher resistance to aminoglycoside than β-lactams in *Salmonella* isolated from raw meat in Poland. Although increasing resistance of *Salmonella* to quinolones has been reported, in this study most of the isolates were susceptible to ofloxacin, gentamicin and ciprofloxacin. This difference in susceptibility might be due to the source of isolates since clinical isolates are known to be more resistant to quinolones and most of the isolates in this study were from non-clinical samples.

The Multiple Antibiotic Resistance (MAR) index analysis revealed that 75% of isolates had MAR indices greater than 0.2, indicating exposure to high-risk antibiotic environments [24]. The significantly higher prevalence (p = 0.0143) of MAR indices >0.2 among food isolates (66.7%) compared to clinical isolates aligns with previous studies suggesting that food sources may act as reservoirs for resistant *Salmonella* strains [33]. Interestingly, all isolates with MAR indices greater than 0.2 were obtained from the Obio/Akpor local government area, suggesting localized antibiotic selection pressures that may contribute to resistance spread. A recent study corroborated this trend through the demonstration that Obio/Akpor has a high prevalence of resistance pathogens [34].

The antibiotic resistance trends obtained in our study vary from other studies. Recent studies have demonstrated high fluoroquinolone resistance trends with NTS [35, 36]; however, our study portrays a contrary view with low resistance to fluoroquinolones. Several reasons could have caused this observation in our study. There could be other serovars that were not targeted in our study such as *Salmonella* serovars *S.* Schwarzengrund which could be in poultry or environment or *S.* Infantis which may be purely environmental strains [36]. Transfer of resistance genes via a plasmid from environmental sources could have been responsible for the antibiotic resistance pattern. This might have involved the contamination of food by humans which could have introduced the antibiotic resistance genes.

The molecular analysis via PCR further confirmed the presence of resistance genes, providing genetic evidence for the observed phenotypic resistance. The detection of TET-W genes in all stool isolates (100%) and all tested food isolates (100%) strongly supports tetracycline resistance, in agreement with earlier reports identifying TET-W genes in foodborne *Salmonella* [33]. The detection of CTX-M genes in only 25% of food isolates and none from stool samples suggests that extended-spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL) production is still emerging among these isolates, though continued surveillance is necessary. The reason for this low level of resistance of the blaCTX-M could be due to the few types of the gene amplified while other classes that have been implicated in serious public health are CTX-M-8 and CTX-M-2 [37, 38]. This is due to the wide distribution of these genes.

These findings have opened the avenue for stringent antibiotic stewardship and enhanced surveillance of antimicrobial resistance in both food and clinical settings. This present study had some important limitations. First, the sampling was carried out over a relatively short period. Again, the study could have explored the presence of resistance genes on the plasmid. This is because several studies have shown that plasmids harbor resistance genes as a form of adaptational measure to withstand harsh conditions [39, 40, 41, 42].

5. Conclusion

More NTS strains were observed in food samples (3.3%) than stool samples (2.4%). The NTS strains demonstrated 100% resistance to tetracycline and cefotaxime. This phenotypic attribute was confirmed by the molecular detection of TET-W gene in all the bacterial isolates. Also, bacteria exhibiting MAR indices of >0.2 was significantly higher than those having MAR indices of <0.2 which indicates that majority of the bacteria have had prior transient exposure to antibiotics.

Consent

All authors declare that a written informed consent was obtained from the patient. A copy of the written consent is available for review by the Editorial office of this journal.

Ethical approval

All authors hereby declare that all experiments have been examined and approved by the Rivers State Health Research Ethical Committee with REC number RSUTH/REC/202319 in accordance with the ethical standards laid down in the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki.

**Disclaimer (Artificial intelligence)**

Authors hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc.) and text-to-image generators have been used during the writing or editing of this manuscript.

References

1. Havelaar AH, Kirk MD, Torgerson PR, Gibb HJ, Hald T, Lake RJ, et al. World Health Organization global estimates and regional comparisons of the burden of foodborne disease in 2010. PLoS medicine*.* 2015; 12(12): e1001923. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1001923>
2. Ananchaipattana C, Hosotani Y, Kawasaki S, Pongsawat S, Md. Latiful B, Isobe S, Inatsu Y. Prevalence of foodborne pathogens in retailed foods in Thailand. Foodborne path dis. 2012; 9(9): 835-840. [https://doi.org/10.1089/fpd.2012.116](https://doi.org/10.1089/fpd.2012.1169)
3. Elhadi N. Prevalence and antimicrobial resistance of *Salmonella* spp. in raw retail frozen imported freshwater fish to Eastern Province of Saudi Arabia. Asian Pac J Trop Biomed. 2014; 4(3): 234-238. <https://doi.org/10.1016/S2221-1691(14)60237-9>
4. Tack B, Vanaenrode J, Verbakel JY, Toelen J, Jacobs J. Invasive non-typhoidal *Salmonella* infections in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review on antimicrobial resistance and treatment. BMC medicine. 2020; 18(212): 1-22. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-020-01652-4>
5. Crump JA, Mintz ED. Global trends in typhoid and paratyphoid fever. Clin infect dis. 2010; 50(2): 241-246. <https://doi.org/10.1086/649541>
6. Raffatellu M, Wilson RP, Winter SE, Baumler AJ. Clinical pathogenesis of typhoid fever. J Infect Developing Countries. 2008; 2(4): 260-266. <https://doi.org/10.3855/jidc.219>
7. Yang X, Huang J, Wu Q, Zhang J, Liu S, Guo W, Cai S, Yu S. Prevalence, antimicrobial resistance and genetic diversity of *Salmonella* isolated from retail ready-to-eat foods in China. Food Control. 2016; 60: 50-56. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2015.07.019>
8. Majowicz SE, Musto J, Scallan E, Angulo FJ, Kirk M, O'Brien SJ et al. International Collaboration on Enteric Disease “Burden of Illness” Studies. The global burden of nontyphoidal Salmonella gastroenteritis. Clinical infectious diseases. 2010 50(6): 882-889. <https://doi.org/10.1086/650733>
9. Thung TY, Radu S, Mahyudin NA, Rukayadi Y, Zakaria Z, Mazlan N, et al. Prevalence, virulence genes and antimicrobial resistance profiles of *Salmonella* serovars from retail beef in Selangor, Malaysia. Front microbiol. 2018; 8: 2697. <https://dio.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.02697>
10. Roca I, Akova M, Baquero F, Carlet J, Cavaleri M, Coenen S, et al. The global threat of antimicrobial resistance: science for intervention. New Microbes and New Infections. 2015; 6: 22–29. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nmni.2015.02.007>
11. Zwe YH, Yen-Tang VC, Aung KT, Gutiérrez RA, Ng LC, Yuk HG. Prevalence, sequence types, antibiotic resistance and gyrA mutations of *Salmonella* isolated from retail fresh chicken meat in Singapore. Food Control. 2018; 90(3): 233–240. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2018.03.004>
12. Barreto M, Castillo-Ruiz M, Retamal P. *Salmonella* enterica a review or the trilogy agent, host and environment and its importance in Chile. Revista Chilena Infectología. 2016; 33(5): 547–557. <https://doi.org/10.4067/s0716-10182016000500010>
13. Eng SK, Pusparajah P, Ab-Mutalib NS, Ser HL, Chan KG, Lee LH. *Salmonella*:A review on pathogenesis, epidemiology and antibiotic resistance. Front Life Science. 2015; 8(3): 284–293. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21553769.2015.1051243>
14. Marquardt RR, Li S. Antimicrobial resistance in livestock: advances and alternatives to antibiotics. Animal Frontiers. 2018; 8(2): 30–37. <https://doi.org/10.1093/af/vfy001>
15. Castellanos LR, van der Graaf-van Bloois L, Donado-Godoy P, León M, Clavijo V, Arévalo A, et al. Genomic Characterization of Extended-Spectrum Cephalosporin-Resistant *Salmonella* enterica in the Colombian Poultry Chain. Front Microbiol. 2018; 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.02431>
16. Pan H, Paudyal N, Li X, Fang W, Yue M. Multiple food-animal-borne route in transmission of antibiotic-resistant *Salmonella* Newport to humans. Front Microbiol, 2018; 9:1–10. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.02431>
17. Parisi A, Crump JA, Glass K, Howden BP, Furuya-Kanamori L, Vilkins S et al. Health outcomes from multidrug-resistant *Salmonella* infections in high-income countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Foodborne Pathogens and Disease. 2018; 15(7): 428-436. <https://doi.org/10.1089/fpd.2017.2403>
18. Akullian A, Montgomery JM, John-Stewart G, Miller SI, Hayden HS, Radey MC et al. Multi-drug resistant non-typhoidal *Salmonella* associated with invasive disease in western Kenya. PLoS neglected tropical dis. 2018; 12(1): e0006156. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0006156>
19. Feasey NA, Dougan G, Kingsley RA, Heyderman RS, Gordon MA. Invasive non-typhoidal *Salmonella* disease: an emerging and neglected tropical disease in Africa. The Lancet. 2012; 379(9835): 2489-2499. <https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(11)61752-2>
20. Edamkue EV, Ollor OA, Monsi TP. Prevalence of Non-typhoidal Salmonella Species in Food and Stool Samples in Port Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria. Asian J. Res. Infect. Dis. 2025; 15(10): 36-34. <https://10.9734/ajrid/2024/v15i10380>
21. Ogbozige FJ, Toko MA. Piper Trilinear and Gibbs Description of Groundwater Chemistry in Port Harcourt, Nigeria. Applied Science and Engineering Progress. 2020; 13(4): 362-369. <https://10.14416/j.asep.2020.08.003>
22. Akinyemi KO, Bamiro BS, Coker AO. Salmonellosis in Lagos, Nigeria: incidence of Plasmodium falciparum-associated co-infection, patterns of antimicrobial resistance, and emergence of reduced susceptibility to fluoroquinolones. Journal of Health, Population, and Nutrition. 2007; 25(3): 351-358. PMID: 18330069
23. CLSI. *Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing*. 30th Ed. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). (2020).
24. Cockerill FR. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing; twenty-second informational supplement. CLSI. 2012; 32:100.
25. Yue M, Han X, De Masi L, Zhu C, Ma X, Zhang J et al. Allelic variation contributes to bacterial host specificity. Nat Commun. 2015; 6: 8754. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms9754>
26. Adedokun FL, Ajayi A, Essiet UU, Oduyebo O, Adeleye AI, Smith SI. Antibiotic Resistance and Plasmid Replicon Types of Non-Typhoidal *Salmonella* Serovars Isolated from Food Animals and Humans in Lagos, Nigeria. Microbiology Insights. 2023; 16. <https://doi.org/10.1177/11786361231181909>
27. Zhang WH, Lin XY, Xu L, Gu XX, Yang L, Li W et al. CTX-M-27 producing *Salmonella* enterica serotypes Typhimurium and Indiana are prevalent among food-producing animals in China. Frontiers in Microbiology. 2016; 7: 436. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.00436>
28. Li L, Liao XP, Liu ZZ, Huang T, Li X, Sun J et al. Co-spread of oqxAB and blaCTX-M-9G in non-Typhi *Salmonella* enterica isolates mediated by ST2-IncHI2 plasmids. Int J Antimicrobial Agents, 2014; 44(3): 263-268. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2014.05.014>
29. Kariuki S, Wairimu C, Mbae C. Antimicrobial Resistance in Endemic Enteric Infections in Kenya and the Region, and Efforts Toward Addressing the Challenges. J Infect Dis. 2021; 224(12): 883-889. <https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jiab457>
30. Threlfall, EJ. Antimicrobial drug resistance in *Salmonella*: problems and perspectives in food- and water-borne infections, FEMS Microbiology Reviews, 26(2): 141–148. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6976.2002.tb00606.x>
31. Narimisa N, Razavi S, Masjedian Jazi F. Prevalence of antibiotic resistance in *Salmonella* Typhimurium isolates originating from Iran: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Frontiers in Veterinary Science. 2024; 27(11): 1388790. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2024.1388790>
32. Pławińska-Czarnak J, Wódz K, Kizerwetter-Świda M, Bogdan J, Kwieciński P, Nowak T. Resistance to *Salmonella* spp. when isolated from raw meat products. Antibiotics (Basel). 2022; 11(7): 876. <https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics11070876>
33. Monsi TP, Giami LK, Ganabel, CB. Modulation of Virulent Factors in Klebsiella pneumoniae Exposed to Different Hydrogen Ion Concentrations. Microbiology Archives, an International Journal. 2024; 6; 2, 27-32.
34. Gambino D, Gargano V, Butera G, Sciortino S, Pizzo M, Oliveri G et al. Food is reservoir of MDR Salmonella: prevalence of ESBLs profiles and resistance genes in strains isolated from food. Microorganisms. 2022; 10(4): 780. <https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms10040780>
35. Mahindroo J, Thanh DP, Kaur H, Nguyen TN, Nguyen TH, Carey ME **et al.** The genomic diversity and antimicrobial resistance of Non-typhoidal Salmonella in humans and food animals in Northern India. One Health. 2024**;** 1**(**19**)**:100892.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.onehlt.2024.100892>
36. Monte, D.F., Lincopan, N., Berman, H. et al. Genomic Features of High-Priority *Salmonella enterica* Serovars Circulating in the Food Production Chain, Brazil, 2000–2016. Sci Rep. 2019; 9: 11058. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-45838-0>
37. Bevan ER, Jones AM, Hawkey PM. Global epidemiology of CTX-M β-lactamases: temporal and geographical shifts in genotype. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2017; 72: 2145–2155. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkx146>
38. Card RM, Cawthraw SA, Nunez-Garcia J, Ellis RJ, Kay G, Pallen MJ et al. An in vitro chicken gut model demonstrates transfer of a multidrug resistance plasmid from Salmonella to commensal Escherichia coli. MBio. 2017; 8(4): 10-128. <https://doi.org/10.1128/mbio.00777-17>
39. Monsi TP, Ekwusa VO, Adebayo, OA, Chinwebudu, MM. Prevalence and Antibiogram of Bacterial Pathogens Associated with Wounds in Patients Attending University of Harcourt Teaching Hospital. Acta Scientific Microbiology. 2025; 8(2): 23-34
40. Okogeri EI, Amala SE, Nwokah EG, Monsi TP. Cross-sectional study of extended spectrum β-lactamase genes (SHV, TEM, CTX-M and OXA) in Klebsiella species from clinical specimens in Port Hacourt, Nigeria. Am. J. Med. Sci. Med. 2020; 8: 180-186. <https://doi.org/10.12691/ajmsm-8-5-3>
41. Monsi, TP, Abbey, SD, Wachuku, CK, Wokem, GN. Plasmid-mediated resistance in extended spectrum beta–lactamase–producing Klebsiella pneumoniae isolates at Rivers State University Teaching Hospital. European Journal of Pharmaceutical and Medical Research. 2019; 6(2); 75-82.
42. Monsi TP, Amala SE, Ugoaru NF. Acquisition of antibiotic resistance in Escherichia coli exposed to a locally produced herbal drug. Microbiology Research Journal International. 2017; 22(2): 1-7. <https://doi.org/10.9734/MRJI/2017/34812>

ABBreviations

NTS - Non-typhoidal *Salmonella*

RSUTH - Rivers State University Teaching Hospital

RSU - Rivers State University

UPTH - University of Port Harcourt Teaching Hospital

SSA - *Salmonella* *Shigella* agar

BSA - Bismuth sulfite agar