Ecofriendly Management of Aphids (Macrosiphoniella pseudoartemisiae) on Dawana (Artemisia pallens)

Abstract

Artemisia pallens is an aromatic herb or shrub, Xerophytic in nature. The flowers are racemose panicles it belongs to the Asteraceae family. It is commercially cultivated for its fragrant leaves and flowers. It grows from seeds and cuttings and reaches maturity in four months. The plant is woody in the lower part of the stem. Dawana crops are mostly grown in Andhra Pradesh, Karnataka, Maharashtra and Tamil Nadu states in India. The aphids *Macrosiphoniella pseudoartemisiae* is the major pest of dawana in Maharashtra. Moreover the aphids are also major pest of Okra crop.

The pooled results indicated that among the treatments tested the treatment *Lecanicillium lecanii* @ 8 g/lit was significantly superior over all other treatments and found to be most effective by recording minimum survival of aphid population i.e. 13.13 aphids per tiller per plant after the first spray and 4.05 aphids per tiller per plant after second spray with 70.04% pest control and found at par with the treatment *Metarhizium anisopliae* @ 8 g/lit. *Lecanicillium lecanii* @ 8 g/lit.- recorded maximum fresh herbage yield i.e. 104.54 q/ha.

Key words: Aphids, Dawana, Ecofriendly, Lecanicillium lecanii, Artemisia pallens

Introduction

Artemissia pallens is an aromatic herb or shrub, xerophytic in nature. The flowers are racemose panicles and bear numerous small yellow flower heads or capitula, but the silvery white silky covering on stem gives the foliage a grey or white appearance. Dawana has alternate pinnatisect leaves or palmatisect leaves belonging to the family Asteraceae (Gangawane, 2017).

It is commercially cultivated for its fragrant leaves and flowers. It has two distinct morphological types one in which the plants are short in stature and flowering sets in early and the other in which plants are tall and flowers set in later. It grows from seeds and cuttings and reaches maturity in four months. The plant is woody in the lower part of the stem, but with yearly branches seen mostly grown in Andhra Pradesh, Karnataka, Maharashtra, and Tamil Nadu states in India (Shivakumara et al. 2022).

Davanone, divan ether, davana furan, and linalool are the major constituents of dawana oil.

The leaves and flowers yield an essential oil known as the oil of Davana. Davana blossoms are offered to Shiva the God of transformation. Davana has been widely used in Indian folk medicine for the treatment of diabetes mellitus. This plant is accredited with antihelmintic, antipyretic, and tonic properties and is also considered good fodder (Tambe, 2009). The oil possesses antispasmodic, antibacterial, antifungal and stimulant properties. Among the pests, the aphid *Macrosiphoniella pseudoartemisiae* is the major pest of *Artemisia pallens* in Maharashtra, hence efforts are made to study the management of aphids on Dawana by using bio-pesticides and plant products.

Material and Methods

The field experiment was conducted for four years during 2015-16, 2016-17, 2017-18 and 2018-19 at AICRP on Medicinal, Aromatic Plants and Betelvine Project Research Farm, Central Campus, MPKV, Rahuri (M.S.) with nine treatments *viz., Lecanicillium lecanii* @ 4 g/lit, *Lecanicillium lecanii* @ 8 g/lit, *Beauveria bassiana* @ 4 g /lit, *Beauveria bassiana* @ 8 g /lit, *Metarhizium anisopliae* @ 4 g/lit, *Metarhizium anisopliae* @ 8 g/lit, Azadirachtin 10000 ppm @ 3 ml/lit, NSE @ 5% and the control treatments also maintained for comparison with RBD design. The pre-treatment count survival of the pest population was recorded before spraying at 3, 7, and 14 days after sprays. Two sprayings were given when conducting of the experiment during the maximum aphid population level in the field.

The sowing of seeds on raised beds was done and after one to one and half months, the seedlings were transplanted in the experimental field as per treatments at 3×4 m plot size and 45×10 cm spacing were maintained.

Result and Discussion

The pooled results of four years of data i.e. 2015-16, 2016-17, 2017-18, and 2018-19 were presented in Table 1. Observations on pre-treatment counts were recorded and the pooled mean ranged from 42.89 aphids per tiller per plant to 46.13 aphids per tiller per plant. Subsequently, the observations were recorded at 3, 7, and 14 days after sprayings.

The pooled results indicated that among the treatments tested the treatment *Lecanicillium lecanii* @ 8 g/lit was significantly superior over all other treatments and found to be most effective by recording minimum survival of aphids population i.e. 13.13 aphids per tiller per plant after the first spray and 4.05 aphids per tiller per plant after second spray with 69.98% pest control and found at par with the treatment

Metarhizium anisopliae @ 8 g/lit recordings the aphid population i.e. 15.30 aphids per tiller per plant after first spray and 5.28 aphids per tiller per plant after second spray with 65.41% pest control.

As regards the fresh herbage yield of Dawana, statistically significant differences were recorded among the treatments. The treatment *Lecanicillium lecanii* @ 8 g/lit recorded significantly maximum fresh herbage yield i.e. 104.54 q/ha. However, the treatment *Metarhizium anisopliae* @ 8 g/ha was found at par recorded fresh herbage yield i.e. 95.51 q/ha. The treatment *Lecanicillium lecanii* @ 8 g/lit recorded the highest B: C ratio i.e. 2.80 and ICBR 17.25 among the treatments tested. It was found that two sprayings of the treatment *Lecanicillium lecanii* @ 8 g/lit were most effective and found at par with the treatment *Metarhizium anisopliae* @ 8 g/lit.

Results of entomopathogenic fungi and neem-based insecticides proved to be moderately effective up to 7 days after spray treatment during present investigation. These results are more or less in agreement with Gour and Parekh (2003), who reported that NSE was at least effective against mustard aphids on mustard. Salunke (2003) reported a moderate effect of econeem and neem seed extract on cowpea aphids on cowpea. Verma and Chaman Lal (2006) reported that *Azadirachtin indica* was effective but provided only a moderate level of control of mustard aphids. Shivakumara *et al.* (2022) revealed that the commercial bioinsecticide Azadirachtin 10000 ppm @ 5 ml/L was the most effective in protecting plants from aphid feeding and was comparable to synthetic insecticide in the Bedki crop. Tambe (2009) reported that Azadirachtin 1% and NSE 5% were observed moderately effective against lucern aphids upto 5 days after spraying.

Among entomopathogenic fungi *Lecanicillium lecanii* 1.15% @ 5 g/L proved excellent control on aphids. Shivkumara *et al.* (2022) reported neem oil spray of 10000 ppm @ 0.75% could effectively manage the aphid population in field conditions. Yeo *et al.* (2003) reported that *Lecanicillium lecanii* is the most effective and pathogenic to aphids. Karthikeyan and Selvanarayanan (2011) conducted studies on the bioefficacy of *Lecanicillium lecanii* against *Aphis gossypii* and recorded the highest mortality of *Aphis gossypii* (100 per-cent) at 0.025 concentration.

Gangawane (2017) reported that among all entomopathogenic fungi *Lecanicillium lecanii* 1.15% @ 7.5 g/L provides excellent control of oat aphids on forage oat. Sosamma and Philp (2017) revealed that high concentration (10^8 spores/ml) gives the best result with *Lecanicillium lecanii* followed by *Beauveria*

bassiana as cowpea aphid mortality declined with decreasing concentration. Mishra et al. (2015) evaluated that in the okra crop Lecanicillium lecanii which recorded 82.16 and 82.92 per cent reduction in aphid population. Janghel et al. (2015) evaluaed different biopesticides and bioagents against sucking pests of okra, results showed that for control of aphids, the most effective biopesticide was Lecanicillium lecanii. Rana and Singh (2002) studied field trials of Lecanicillium lecanii against mustard aphid *Lipaphis erysimi* at the concentration of 10⁶ spores/ml at ETL 13-15 aphids per plant and reported a significant reduction was found 10 days after spraying. Narwade et al. (2023) evaluated six sequential strategies against the sucking pest complex of okra. The result showed that treatment with spraying Lecanicillium lecanii @ 5 g/L followed by Thiamethoxam 25 SG @ 0.25 g/L followed by Pongamia oil 1% @ 10 ml/L was found to be most effective and recorded the least average survived population of aphids, leaf hoppers, whitefly, and mites. Choudhury et. al.(2019) revealed that azadirachtin 1% was found best remedy to control fruit and shoot borer on Okra. Khan et.al.(2020) reported that bio-pesticides was a solution for hazardous insecticides for management of county bean in Bangladesh.

Table 1.Efficacy of different biopesticides against aphids on DawanaArtemisia pallens (Summary table 2015-16 to 2018-19) after firstspray

Sr.	Treatments	Pre-	I st spra	l mean)	% reduction	
No.		treatment	survival aphid population aphids/tiller/			in pest
		count (Pooled				population
		mean)	plant			
		aphids/tiller/	3 DAT 7 DAT 14 DAT			
		plant				
1.	Lecanicillium lecanii	45.22	44.91	34.39	17.89	60.43
	@ 4 g/lit.	(6.68)	(6.66)	(5.86)	(4.17)	
2.	Lecanicillium lecanii	45.17	44.54	30.77	13.13	70.82
	@ 8 g/lit.	(6.67)	(6.63)	(5.56)	(3.59)	
3.	Beauveria bassiana	44.47	44.32	42.45	39.91	10.25
	@ 4 g/lit.	(6.61)	(6.60)	(6.46)	(6.26)	
4.	Beauveria bassiana	46.13	45.78	41.49	35.73	22.53
	@ 8 g/lit.	(6.74)	(6.72)	(6.41)	(5.96)	
5.	Metarhizium anisopliae	45.25	44.83	34.23	19.99	55.82
	@ 4 g/lit.	(6.67)	(6.64)	(5.84)	(4.44)	
6.	Metarhizium anisopliae	44.22	43.90	30.88	15.30	65.38
	@ 8 g/lit.	(6.60)	(6.58)	(5.56)	(3.90)	
7.	Azadirachtin 10,000 ppm	42.89	33.87	21.17	23.72	44.67
	@ 3 ml/lit.	(6.48)	(5.78)	(4.58)	(4.85)	
8.	NSE 5%	45.89	39.97	27.53	31.97	30.32

		(6.72)	(6.29)	(5.22)	(5.63)	
9.	Control	45.72	47.05	48.38	47.86	
		(6.72)	(6.81)	(6.9)	(6.83)	
	S.E. <u>+</u>	0.01	0.01	0.11	0.12	
	C.D. @ 5%	N.S.	0.05	0.34	0.35	

Figures in parenthesis are $\sqrt{X + 0.5}$ transformed values

					•	
Treatments	II nd spray (Pooled			% reduction	Fresh	
	mean) survival aphid			in pest	pest	herbage
	population aphids/tiller/			population	control	yield
	plant				of two	(q/ha)
	3 DAT	7 DAT	14 DAT		sprays	
Lecanicillium lecanii	17.31	13.25	7.22	59.60	60.01	91.53
@ 4 g/lit.	(4.11)	(3.65)	(2.66)			
Lecanicillium lecanii	12.73	8.86	4.05	69.14	69.98	104.54
@ 8 g/lit.	(3.54)	(3.02)	(2.05)			
Beauveria bassiana	39.30	37.88	35.75	10.40	10.32	61.36
@ 4 g/lit.	(6.22)	(6.11)	(5.93)			
Beauveria bassiana	35.07	32.02	27.54	22.92	22.72	65.57
@ 8 g/lit.	(5.91)	(5.66)	(5.26)			
Metarhizium anisopliae	19.56	15.12	8.95	55.19	55.50	86.90
@ 4 g/lit.	(4.39)	(3.90)	(3.01)			
Metarhizium anisopliae	14.99	10.62	5.28	65.45	65.41	95.51
@ 8 g/lit.	(3.86)	(3.30)	(2.35)			
Azadirachtin 10,000	18.97	12.11	13.53	41.91	43.29	78.39
ppm @ 3 ml/lit.	(4.49)	(3.48)	(3.69)			
NSE 5%	27.68	19.64	20.56	35.69	33.005	68.35
	(5.25)	(4.41)	(4.72)			
Control	46.60	42.58	32.85			60.71
	(6.75)	(6.42)	(5.75)			
S.E. <u>+</u>	0.13	0.17	0.12			2.18
C.D. @ 5%	0.39	0.50	0.37			6.37
	 @ 4 g/lit. Lecanicillium lecanii @ 8 g/lit. Beauveria bassiana @ 4 g/lit. Beauveria bassiana @ 8 g/lit. Metarhizium anisopliae @ 4 g/lit. Metarhizium anisopliae @ 8 g/lit. Azadirachtin 10,000 ppm @ 3 ml/lit. NSE 5% Control S.E. <u>+</u> 	Treatments II nd mean popula Image: state st	Treatments II nd spray (I mean) surviva population aph plant 3 DAT 7 DAT Lecanicillium lecanii 17.31 13.25 @ 4 g/lit. (4.11) (3.65) Lecanicillium lecanii 12.73 8.86 @ 8 g/lit. (3.54) (3.02) Beauveria bassiana 39.30 37.88 @ 4 g/lit. (6.22) (6.11) Beauveria bassiana 35.07 32.02 @ 8 g/lit. (5.91) (5.66) Metarhizium anisopliae 19.56 15.12 @ 4 g/lit. (4.39) (3.30) Azadirachtin 10,000 18.97 12.11 ppm @ 3 ml/lit. (4.49) (3.48) NSE 5% 27.68 19.64 (5.25) (4.41) Control A6.60 42.58 (6.75) (6.75) (6.42) 58	mean survival aphid population aphi/s/tiller/ population aphi/s/tiller/ plant 3 DAT 7 DAT 14 DAT Lecanicillium lecanii 17.31 (4 g/lit. (4.11) (3.65) (2.66) Lecanicillium lecanii 12.73 @ 4 g/lit. (3.54) (3.02) Beauveria bassiana 39.30 37.88 @ 4 g/lit. (6.22) (6.11) Beauveria bassiana 35.07 32.02 27.54 @ 8 g/lit. (5.91) (5.66) (5.26) Metarhizium anisopliae 19.56 15.12 8.95 @ 4 g/lit. (4.39) (3.90) (3.01) Metarhizium anisopliae 14.99 10.62 5.28 @ 8 g/lit. (3.86) (3.30) (2.35) Azadirachtin 10,000 18.97 12.11 13.53 ppm @ 3 ml/lit. (4.49) (3.48) (3.69) NSE 5% 27.68 19.64 20.56	mean survival aphid population aphids/tiller/ plantin pest populationJ DATJ DATJ DATJ DATJ DATJ DATLecanicillium lecanii17.3113.257.2259.60@ 4 g/lit.(4.11)(3.65)(2.66)(2.66)(2.66)(2.66)(2.66)Lecanicillium lecanii12.738.864.0569.14@ 8 g/lit.(3.54)(3.02)(2.05)(2.05)(3.01)Beauveria bassiana39.3037.8835.7510.40@ 4 g/lit.(6.22)(6.11)(5.93)(5.26)Metarhizium anisopliae19.5615.128.9555.19@ 8 g/lit.(3.69)(3.00)(3.01)(3.01)(3.01)Metarhizium anisopliae14.9910.625.2865.45@ 8 g/lit.(3.86)(3.30)(2.35)(4.19)Metarhizium anisopliae14.9910.625.2865.45@ 8 g/lit.(3.86)(3.30)(2.35)(4.19)MSE 5%27.6819.6420.5635.69NSE 5%27.6819.6420.5635.69(5.25)(4.41)(4.72)(4.60)42.5832.85Control46.6042.5832.85(6.75)(6.42)(5.75)(5.75)(5.75)SE. ± <th< td=""><td>Treatments IInd spray (Poled mean) survival aphid population aphids/tiller/ plant % reduction in pest population Mean % pest control of two sprays Lecanicillium lecanii 17.31 13.25 7.22 59.60 60.01 @ 4 g/lit. (4.11) (3.65) (2.66) 69.14 69.98 @ 8 g/lit. 39.30 37.88 35.75 10.40 10.32 Beauveria bassiana 39.30 37.88 35.75 10.40 10.32 @ 8 g/lit. (5.21) (5.66) (5.26) 65.19 55.50 Metarhizium anisopliae 19.56 15.12 8.95 55.19 55.50 @ 8 g/lit. (3.86) (3.30) (2.35) 41.91 43.29 Metarhizium anisopliae 19.56 15.12 8.95 55.19 55.50 @ 8 g/lit. (3.86) (3.30) (2.35) 41.91 43.29 Metarhizium anisopliae 14.99 10.62 5.28 65.45 65.41 @ 8 g/lit. (3.48) (3.69) 33.005 27.6</td></th<>	Treatments II nd spray (Poled mean) survival aphid population aphids/tiller/ plant % reduction in pest population Mean % pest control of two sprays Lecanicillium lecanii 17.31 13.25 7.22 59.60 60.01 @ 4 g/lit. (4.11) (3.65) (2.66) 69.14 69.98 @ 8 g/lit. 39.30 37.88 35.75 10.40 10.32 Beauveria bassiana 39.30 37.88 35.75 10.40 10.32 @ 8 g/lit. (5.21) (5.66) (5.26) 65.19 55.50 Metarhizium anisopliae 19.56 15.12 8.95 55.19 55.50 @ 8 g/lit. (3.86) (3.30) (2.35) 41.91 43.29 Metarhizium anisopliae 19.56 15.12 8.95 55.19 55.50 @ 8 g/lit. (3.86) (3.30) (2.35) 41.91 43.29 Metarhizium anisopliae 14.99 10.62 5.28 65.45 65.41 @ 8 g/lit. (3.48) (3.69) 33.005 27.6

Table 2.Efficacy of different biopesticides against aphids on DawanaArtemisia pallens (Summary table 2015-16 to 2018-19) after secondspray

C	. Treatments Estimated Additional Additional Additional Net ICBR B:									
Sr.	Treatments						ICBR	B:C		
No.		oil yield	yield over		cost (Rs.)	-		ratio		
		(lit/ha)	control	(Rs.)		income				
			(lit./ha)			(Rs.)				
1.	Lecanicillium	9.15	3.08	46200	2800	43400	15.50	2.55		
	lecanii @ 4									
	g/lit.									
2.	Lecanicillium	10.45	4.38	65700	3600	62100	17.25	2.80		
	lecanii @ 8									
	g/lit.									
3.	Beauveria	6.13	0.06	900	2800	0	0.00	1.81		
	bassiana @ 4									
	g/lit.									
4.	Beauveria	6.55	0.48	7200	3600	3600	1.28	1.89		
	bassiana @ 8									
	g/lit.									
5.	Metarhizium	8.69	2.61	39150	2800	36350	12.98	2.44		
	anisopliae									
	@ 4 g/lit.									
6.	Metarhizium	9.55	3.48	52200	3600	48600	13.50	2.60		
	anisopliae									
	@ 8 g/lit.									
7.	Azadirachtin	7.83	1.76	26400	5600	20800	3.71	2.12		
	10,000 ppm									
	@ 3 ml/lit.									
8.	NSE 5%	6.83	0.76	11400	2300	9100	3.95			
9.	Control	6.07								

Table 3.Incremental cost benefit ratio and B:C ratio of different
treatments against aphids on Dawana

Conclusion

From the four years of pooled data, it was concluded that among the treatments tested, the treatment *Lecanicillium lecanii* @ 8 g/lit was found most effective for the management of aphids on Dawana, *Artemisia pallens* with minimum survival of aphid population and maximum percentage of pest control and found at par with the treatment *Metarhizium anisopliae* @ 8 g/lit.

Disclaimer (Artificial intelligence)

Option 1:

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc.) and text-to-image generators have been used during the writing or editing of this manuscript.

Option 2:

Author(s) hereby declare that generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models, etc. have been used during the writing or editing of manuscripts. This explanation will include the name, version, model, and source of the generative AI technology and as well as all input prompts provided to the generative AI technology

Details of the AI usage are given below:

1.

2.

3.

References

- Choudhury, M. A. R., Mondal, M. F., Khan, A. U., Hossain, M. S., Azad, M. O. K., Prodhan, M. D. H., Uddain, J., Rahman, M. S., Ahmed, N., Choi, K. Y., and Naznin, M. T. 2021. Evaluation of Biological Approaches for Controlling Shoot and Fruit Borer (*Earias vitella* F.) of Okra Grown in Peri-Urban Area in Bangladesh. Horticulture. 7(7): 1-8. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/</u> horticulturae7010007.
- Gangawane, A.B. 2017. Seasonal abundance and biological control of oat aphids (*Rhopalosiphum padi* L.) on forage oat (*Avena sativa* L.). M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis, Department of Agril. Entomology, submitted to Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri, Maharashtra, pp. 26-30.
- Gour, T.S. and Parekh, B.Z. (2003) Field evaluation of insecticide against mustard aphids *Lipophisery simi* under semi arid region of Rajasthan. Indian Journal of Plant Protection, pp: 153-158.
- Janghel, M., Mishra, I. and Mishra, B. 2015. Evaluation of different bio-pesticides against the aphid in okra at Bhubaneswar. Journal of Agricultural Environment Science, 15(4): 694-698.
- Karthikeyan, A. and Selvanarayan, V. 2011. In vitro efficacy of B. bassiana (Bals) vulli and Lecanicillium lecanii (Zimm) viegas against pest of cotton. Journal of Recent Research in Science and Technology, 3(2): 142-143.
- Khan, A. U., Choudhury, M. A. R., Talucder, M. S. A., Hossain, M. S., Ali, S., Akter, T. and Ehsanullah, M. 2020. Constraints and solutions of country bean (*Lablab purpureus* L.) Production: A review. Acta Entomology and Zoology. 1(2): 37-45. Doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.33545/27080013.2020.v1.i2a.17.</u>

- Mishra, B.K., Mishra, I. and Mohanisha, J. 2015. Evaluation of the different biopesticides against the aphids in okra at Bhubaneshwar. Middle-East Journal on Science and Research. 23(3): 421-425.
- Narwade, D.K., Pawar, S.A., Saindhane, Y.S., Datkhile, R.V. and Bhalekar, M.N. 2023. Management of sucking pest complex in okra by sequential strategy. The Pharma Innovation Journal, 12(11): 414-419.
- Rana, J.S. and Singh, D.S. 2002. Entomopathogenic fungi, V. lecanii (Zimm) as aa potential biocontrol agent against mustard aphid, *Lipaphis erysimi* (Kalt) on rapeseed mustard, Cruciferae Newsletter, 24: 97-98.
- Salunke, J.S. 2003. Studies on population dynamics and management of major pests in cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L.) Wakp. M.Sc. (Agri.) thesis Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri.
- Shivakumara, K.T., Keerthi, M.C., Polaiah, V., Thondaiman, T. and Manivel, P. 2022. Seasonal abundance of oleander aphid, *Aphis nerii* Boyer de Fonscolombe and its predator on *Gymnema sylvestre* R. Br. in relation to weather parameters from India. Author: Satyajit Roy Source: International Journal of Tropical Insect Science 42(2), pp. 1925-1932.
- Sosamma, J. and Philip, B. 2017. Efficacy of different entomopathogenic fungi against cowpea aphid. *Aphis craccivora* (Koch). International Journal of Plant Protection, pp. 68-71.
- Tambe, A.B. 2009. Studies on management of pest infesting lucern, *Medicago sativa* (Linnaeus), Ph.D. Thesis Submitted to Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri.
- Tanni, A. S., Maleque, M. A., Choudhury, M. A. R., Khan, A. U., and Khan, U. H. S. 2019. Evaluation of Promising Exotic Okra Genotypes to Select Breeding Materials for Developing Pest Resistant High Yielding Okra Variety. Bangladesh Journal of Entomology. 29(1): 17-26.
- Verma, L.R. and Chamanlal 2006. Efficacy of different indigenous plant extracts and their combinations in comparison to monocrotophos against mustard aphids. India Journal of Entomology, 68(2): 132-138.
- Yeo, H., Pell, J.K., Alderson, P.G., Clark, S.J. and Pye, B.J. 2003. Laboratory evaluation of temperature effects on germination and growth of

entomopathogenic fungi and their pathogenicity to aphid. Pest Management Science. 59(2): 156-165.