

Some Fixed Point Results for Integral Type Mappings in b-Metric Space

Abstract

This article explores the fixed point results by generalizing the result proved by Singh[15] for Lebesgue integrable mapping satisfying b-(E.A.) property in b- metric spaces. In this manuscript, we establish standard fixed point theorem for the following generalized Lebesgue integrable mapping satisfying b-(E.A.) property in b- metric spaces:

$$\int_0^{b^{\varepsilon}d(fx,gy)} \varphi(t)dt \leq \int_0^{M_b(x,y)} \varphi(t)dt \text{ for all } x, y \in \dot{X}$$

Where,

$$M_b(x, y) = \{d(Sx, Ty), d(fx, Sx), d(gy, Ty), \frac{d(Sx, gy) + d(fx, Ty)}{2b}, \\ d(Sx, fx) \left[\frac{1 + d(Sx, Ty)}{1 + d(Ty, fy)} \right], d(Ty, gy) \left[\frac{1 + d(Sx, Ty)}{1 + d(Sx, fx)} \right], \\ \left. \frac{d^2(Sx, fx)}{1 + d(fx, gy)}, \frac{d^2(Ty, gy)}{1 + d(fx, gy)} \right\}.$$

Some examples and corollaries are provided to support our result.

Keywords: Fixed Point, Generalized b-(E.A) property, Weakly Compatible Mapping, b-metric Space.

Mathematical Subject Classification (2000): Primary 47H10, Secondary 54H25.

1. Introduction

Many fixed point results have been established over the past 95 years and we discover that the majority of these results are based on the Banach contraction principle. There are numerous ways to generalize the idea of metric spaces. Czerwik introduced the idea of a b- metric space in [7, 8] and in the following few years, other writers have proved numerous fixed point theorems in b- metric spaces. Jungck [11] first proposed the idea of compatible mapping in 1986 and he used it to strengthen the commutativity requirements in standard fixed point theorems.

Aamri and Moutawakil [1] and Liu *et al.* [17] have defined the property (E.A) and the common property (E.A), respectively. Later on, authors such as Ali *et al.* [3], Babu and Sailaja [5], Nazir

and Abbas [12], Oztirk and Radanovic [13] and Ozturk and Turkoglu [14] published new fixed point results based on this idea. Sequential requires the following definitions.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1[7] Let \dot{X} be a non empty set. A mapping $\mathfrak{d}: \dot{X} \times \dot{X} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called b-metric if there exists a real number $b \geq 1$ such that for every $x, y, z \in \dot{X}$, we have

- (i) $\mathfrak{d}(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$.
- (ii) $\mathfrak{d}(x, y) = \mathfrak{d}(y, x)$ if and only if $x = y$.
- (iii) $\mathfrak{d}(x, z) \leq b[\mathfrak{d}(x, y) + \mathfrak{d}(y, z)]$

In this case (\dot{X}, \mathfrak{d}) is called a b-metric space. There exists so many examples in literature see [3,4,5] showing that every metric space is a b- metric space with $b = 1$, while the converse need not be true i.e the class of b-metric space is effectively larger than that of ordinary metric spaces.

Definition 2.2 [10] Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in a b-metric space (\dot{X}, \mathfrak{d}) .

- (i) $\{x_n\}$ is called b-convergent if and only if there exists $x \in \dot{X}$ such that $\mathfrak{d}(x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
- (ii) $\{x_n\}$ is called b-Cauchy sequence if and only if there exists $x \in \dot{X}$ such that $\mathfrak{d}(x_n, x_m) \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$.

A b-metric space (\dot{X}, \mathfrak{d}) is said to be complete if and only if each b-Cauchy sequence in \dot{X} is b-convergent.

Definition 2.3 [9] Let (\dot{X}, \mathfrak{d}) be a b-metric space. A subset $Y \subset \dot{X}$ is called closed if and only if for each sequence $\{x_n\}$ in Y which is b-converges to an element x , we have $x \in Y$.

Definition 2.4 [11] Let (\dot{X}, \mathfrak{d}) be a b-metric space and f and g are self maps on \dot{X}

- (i) f and g are said to be compatible if whenever a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in \dot{X} such that $\{gx_n\}$ and $\{fx_n\}$ are b- convergent to some $t \in \dot{X}$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{d}(fgx_n, gfx_n) = 0$.
- (ii) f and g are said to non-compatible if there exists at least one sequence $\{x_n\}$ in \dot{X} such that $\{fx_n\}$ and $\{gx_n\}$ are b-convergent to some $t \in \dot{X}$, but $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{d}(fgx_n, gfx_n)$ is either non zero or does not exist.

Definition 2.5 [14] f and g are said to satisfy the b-(E.A) property if there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g x_n = t$, for some $t \in \dot{X}$.

Remarks 2.6 Non compatibility implies b-(E.A)-property.

Example 2.7 [14] Let $\dot{X} = [0, 1]$ and define $\mathfrak{d} : \dot{X} \times \dot{X} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ as follows

$$\mathfrak{d}(x, y) = (x - y)^2.$$

Let $f, g : \dot{X} \rightarrow \dot{X}$ be defined as

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{6}, & x \in [0, \frac{1}{2}] \\ \frac{x+1}{9}, & x \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1] \end{cases} \text{ and } g(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1-x}{3}, & x \in [0, \frac{1}{2}] \\ \frac{x}{3}, & x \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1] \end{cases}$$

for a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in \dot{X} such that $x_n = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n}, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

$$\text{and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g x_n = \frac{1}{6}$$

So f and g are satisfying the b-(E.A) property.

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{d}(f g x_n, g f x_n)$ exists and it is not equal to 0. Thus f and g are non-compatible.

Definition 2.8 [3] Let f and g be self-maps of a set \dot{X} . If $w = f x = g x$ for some x in \dot{X} then x is called a coincidence point of f and g and w is called a point of coincidence of f and g .

Definition 2.9[3] Let f and g be self-maps of a set \dot{X} . Then f and g are said to be weakly compatible if they commute at their coincidence point.

Proposition 2.10[3] Let f and g be weakly compatibility self-maps of a set \dot{X} . If f and g have a unique point of coincidence $w = f x = g x$, then w is called unique common fixed point of f and g .

Definition 2.11 [3] A function ϕ is said to be integral sub additive, if for each $\alpha, \beta > 0$,

$$\int_0^{\alpha+\beta} \phi(t) dt \leq \int_0^{\alpha} \phi(t) dt + \int_0^{\beta} \phi(t) dt$$

Lemma 2.12 [17] Let $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be lebesgue integrable mapping which is summable on each compact subset of $[0, \infty)$, non negative and $\{a_n\}$ be a sequence of nonnegative numbers with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = a$. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{a_n} \phi(t) dt = \int_0^a \phi(t) dt$$

Lemma 2.13 [17] Let $\phi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be lebesgue integrable mapping which is summable on each compact subset of $[0, \infty)$, non negative and $\{a_n\}$ be a sequence of non negative numbers. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{a_n} \phi(t) dt = 0 \Leftrightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0.$$

We define ψ and ϕ as follows:

$\psi = \{\psi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty), \psi \text{ is upper semi-continuous, sequence } \psi^n(t) \text{ converges to } 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ for all } t > 0 \text{ and } \psi(t) < t \text{ for any } t > 0\}$

$\phi = \{\phi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty), \phi \text{ is lebesgue integrable, summable on each compact subset of } [0, \infty), \text{ non negative and for each } \epsilon > 0, \int_0^\epsilon \phi(t) dt > 0\}$

3. Main Result

In 2020, Amarjeet Singh Saluja[15] proved the following fixed point theorem:

“Let (X, d) be b-metric space with $b > 1$ and $f, g, S, T: X \rightarrow X$ be mappings with $fX \subseteq TX$ and $gX \subseteq SX$ such that

$$(3.1.1) \quad \int_0^{b^\epsilon d(fx, gy)} \phi(t) dt \leq \int_0^{M_b(x, y)} \phi(t) dt \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X$$

Where $\epsilon > 1$ is a constant and $\phi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is Lebesgue integrable mapping which is summable on each compact subset of $[0, \infty)$, non-negative and such that for $c > 0, \int_0^c \phi(t) dt > 0$ and

$$(3.1.2) \quad M_b(x, y) = \max \left\{ \frac{d(Sx, Ty), d(fx, Sx), d(gy, Ty),}{\frac{d(gy, Ty) + d(fx, Sx)}{2b}}, \frac{d(Sx, gy) + d(fx, Ty)}{2b} \right\}$$

Suppose that one of the pair (f, S) and (g, T) satisfy the b- (E.A)- property and that one of the subspaces $f(X), g(X), T(X)$ and $S(X)$ is b-closed in X . Then the pair (f, S) and (g, T) have a point of coincidence in X , Moreover, if the pair (f, S) and (g, T) are weakly compatible, then f, g, S and T have a unique common fixed point.”

In this paper, we prove the above-mentioned theorem proved by Singh[15] by using generalized b- (E.A)- property as follows:

Theorem 3.1 Let (\check{X}, \check{d}) be b-metric space with $b > 1$ and $f, g, S, T: \check{X} \rightarrow \check{X}$ be mappings with $f\check{X} \subseteq T\check{X}$ and $g\check{X} \subseteq S\check{X}$ such that

$$(3.1.3) \quad \int_0^{b^\epsilon \check{d}(fx, gy)} \phi(t) dt \leq \int_0^{M_b(x, y)} \phi(t) dt \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \check{X}$$

where $\epsilon > 1$ is a constant and $\varphi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is Lebesgue integrable mapping which is summable on each compact subset of $[0, \infty)$, non-negative and such that for

$c > 0$, $\int_0^c \varphi(t)dt > 0$ and

$$(3.1.4) \quad M_b(x, y) = \{d(Sx, Ty), d(fx, Sx), d(gy, Ty), \frac{d(Sx, gy) + d(fx, Ty)}{2b}, \\ d(Sx, fx) \left[\frac{1 + d(Sx, Ty)}{1 + d(Ty, fy)} \right], d(Ty, gy) \left[\frac{1 + d(Sx, Ty)}{1 + d(Sx, fx)} \right], \\ \frac{d^2(Sx, fx)}{1 + d(fx, gy)}, \frac{d^2(Ty, gy)}{1 + d(fx, gy)} \}$$

Suppose that one of the pair (f, S) and (g, T) satisfy the b- (E.A)- property and that one of the subspaces $f(\dot{X}), g(\dot{X}), T(\dot{X})$ and $S(\dot{X})$ is b-closed in X . Then the pair (f, S) and (g, T) have a point of coincidence in \dot{X} , Moreover if the pair (f, S) and (g, T) are weakly compatible, then f, g, S and T have a unique common fixed point.

Proof If the pair (f, S) satisfies the b-(E.A)-property, then there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in \dot{X} satisfying $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = q$, for some $q \in \dot{X}$.

As $f\dot{X} \subseteq T\dot{X}$ there exists a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in \dot{X} such that

$$fx_n = Ty_n.$$

Hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ty_n = q$$

Now, we will show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gy_n = q,$$

From (3.1.3), we have

$$(3.1.5) \quad \int_0^{b^\epsilon d(fx_n, gy_n)} \varphi(t)dt \leq \int_0^{M_b(x_n, y_n)} \varphi(t)dt,$$

where

$$M_b(x_n, y_n) = \max \{d(Sx_n, Ty_n), d(fx_n, Sx_n), d(gy_n, Ty_n), \frac{d(Sx_n, gy_n) + d(fx_n, Ty_n)}{2b}, \\ d(Sx_n, fx_n) \left[\frac{1 + d(Sx_n, Ty_n)}{1 + d(Ty_n, fy_n)} \right], d(Ty_n, gy_n) \left[\frac{1 + d(Sx_n, Ty_n)}{1 + d(Sx_n, fx_n)} \right], \\ \frac{d^2(Sx_n, fx_n)}{1 + d(fx_n, gy_n)}, \frac{d^2(Ty_n, gy_n)}{1 + d(fx_n, gy_n)} \}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \{d(Sx_n, Ty_n), d(fx_n, Sx_n), d(gy_n, Ty_n), \frac{d(Sx_n, gy_n) + d(fx_n, Ty_n)}{2b}, \\
&\quad d(Sx_n, fx_n) \left[\frac{1 + d(Sx_n, Ty_n)}{1 + d(Ty_n, fy_n)} \right], d(Ty_n, gy_n) \left[\frac{1 + d(Sx_n, Ty_n)}{1 + d(Sx_n, fx_n)} \right], \\
&\quad \frac{d^2(Sx_n, fx_n)}{1 + d(fx_n, gy_n)}, \frac{d^2(Ty_n, gy_n)}{1 + d(fx_n, gy_n)} \} \\
\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_b(x_n, y_n) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max \{ d(q, q), d(q, q), d(q, gy_n), \frac{1}{2b} (d(q, gy_n) + \\
&\quad d(q, q)), d(q, q) \left[\frac{1 + d(q, q)}{1 + d(q, fy_n)} \right], \\
&\quad d(q, gy_n) \left[\frac{1 + d(q, q)}{1 + d(q, q)} \right], \frac{d^2(q, q)}{1 + d(q, gy_n)}, \frac{d^2(q, gy_n)}{1 + d(q, gy_n)} \} \\
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ 0, 0, d(q, gy_n), \frac{1}{2b} d(q, gy_n), 0, d(q, gy_n), 0, \frac{d^2(q, gy_n)}{1 + d(q, gy_n)} \right\} \\
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(q, gy_n).
\end{aligned}$$

Now, on taking limit and using lemma 2.13, we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{b^\varepsilon d(fx_n, gy_n)} \varphi(t) dt \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{d(q, gy_n)} \varphi(t) dt$$

Since $b^\varepsilon > b > 1$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gy_n = q,$$

If $T\check{X}$ is closed subspace of \check{X} , then there exists $r \in \check{X}$, such that $Tr = q$.

Now, we shall show that $gr = q$. Indeed, we have

From (3.1.3), we have

$$(3.1.6) \quad \int_0^{b^\varepsilon d(fx_n, gr)} \phi(t) dt \leq \int_0^{M_b d(x_n, r)} \phi(t) dt,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
M_b(x_n, r) &= \{d(Sx_n, Tr), d(fx_n, Sx_n), d(gr, Tr), \frac{d(Sx_n, gr) + d(fx_n, Tr)}{2b}, \\
&\quad d(Sx_n, fx_n) \left[\frac{1 + d(Sx_n, Tr)}{1 + d(Tr, fr)} \right], d(Tr, gr) \left[\frac{1 + d(Sx_n, Tr)}{1 + d(Sx_n, fx_n)} \right], \\
&\quad \frac{d^2(Sx_n, fx_n)}{1 + d(fx_n, gr)}, \frac{d^2(Tr, gr)}{1 + d(fx_n, gr)} \}.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_b(x_n, r) &= \max \left\{ d(q, q), d(q, q), d(q, gr), \frac{1}{2b} (d(q, gr) + d(q, q)), \right. \\
&\quad \left. d(q, q) \left[\frac{1 + d(q, q)}{1 + d(q, fr)} \right], d(q, gr) \left[\frac{1 + d(q, q)}{1 + d(q, q)} \right], \frac{d^2(q, q)}{1 + d(q, gr)}, \frac{d^2(q, gr)}{1 + d(q, gr)} \right\} \\
&= \max \left\{ 0, 0, d(q, gr), \frac{1}{2} d(q, gr), 0, d(q, gr), 0, \frac{d^2(q, gr)}{1 + d(q, gr)} \right\} \\
&= d(q, gr).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence from (3.1.6), we have

$$\int_0^{b^{\varepsilon} d(q, gr)} \phi(t) dt \leq \int_0^{d(q, gr)} \phi(t) dt,$$

which is a contradiction, since $b > 1$.

Hence $q = gr$ or $Tr = gr = q$, which implies that r is coincidence point of the pair (g, T) . As $g\dot{X} \subseteq S\dot{X}$, there exists $z \in \dot{X}$, such that $q = Sz$

From (3.1.3), we have

$$(3.1.7) \quad \int_0^{b^{\varepsilon} d(fz, gr)} \phi(t) dt \leq \int_0^{M_b(z, r)} \phi(t) dt \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \dot{X},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
M_b(z, r) &= \max \left\{ d(Sz, Tr), d(fz, Sz), d(gr, Tr), \frac{d(Sz, gr) + d(fz, Tr)}{2b}, \right. \\
&\quad \left. d(Sz, fz) \left[\frac{1 + d(Sz, Tr)}{1 + d(Tr, fr)} \right], d(Tr, gr) \left[\frac{1 + d(Sz, Tr)}{1 + d(Sz, fz)} \right], \right. \\
&\quad \left. \frac{d^2(Sz, fz)}{1 + d(fz, gr)}, \frac{d^2(Tr, gr)}{1 + d(fz, gr)} \right\} \\
&= \max \left\{ d(q, q), d(fz, q), d(q, q), \frac{d(q, q) + d(fz, q)}{2b}, \right. \\
&\quad \left. d(q, fz) \left[\frac{1 + d(q, q)}{1 + d(q, q)} \right], d(q, q) \left[\frac{1 + d(q, q)}{1 + d(q, fz)} \right], \right. \\
&\quad \left. \frac{d^2(q, fz)}{1 + d(fz, q)}, \frac{d^2(q, q)}{1 + d(fz, q)} \right\} \\
&= \max \left\{ 0, d(fz, q), 0, \frac{1}{2b} d(q, fz), d(q, fz), \frac{d^2(q, fz)}{1 + d(fz, q)}, 0 \right\} \\
&= d(q, fz).
\end{aligned}$$

From (3.1.7), we have

$\mathfrak{d}(q, fz) = 0$, as $b^\epsilon > b > 1$.

Therefore, $Sz = fz = q$.

Thus, $fz = Sz = gr = Tr = q$

By weak compatibility of the pair (f, S) and (g, T) , we obtain

$fq = Sq$ and $gq = Tq$.

Now we shall show that q is the common fixed point of f, g, S and T .

From (3.1.3), we have

$$(3.1.8) \quad \int_0^{b^\epsilon \mathfrak{d}(fq, q)} \varphi(t) dt = \int_0^{b^\epsilon \mathfrak{d}(fq, gr)} \varphi(t) dt \leq \int_0^{M_b(q, r)} \varphi(t) dt \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \dot{X},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M_b(q, r) &= \max \left\{ \mathfrak{d}(Sq, Tr), \mathfrak{d}(fq, Sq), \mathfrak{d}(gr, Tr), \frac{\mathfrak{d}(Sq, gr) + \mathfrak{d}(fq, Tr)}{2b}, \right. \\ &\quad \mathfrak{d}(Sq, fq) \left[\frac{1 + \mathfrak{d}(Sq, Tr)}{1 + \mathfrak{d}(Tr, fr)} \right], \mathfrak{d}(Tr, gr) \left[\frac{1 + \mathfrak{d}(Sq, Tr)}{1 + \mathfrak{d}(Sq, fq)} \right], \\ &\quad \left. \frac{\mathfrak{d}^2(Sq, fq)}{1 + \mathfrak{d}(fq, gr)}, \frac{\mathfrak{d}^2(Tr, gr)}{1 + \mathfrak{d}(fq, gr)} \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ \mathfrak{d}(fq, q), \mathfrak{d}(fq, fq), \mathfrak{d}(q, q), \frac{\mathfrak{d}(fq, q) + \mathfrak{d}(fq, q)}{2b}, \right. \\ &\quad \mathfrak{d}(Sq, Sq) \left[\frac{1 + \mathfrak{d}(fq, q)}{1 + \mathfrak{d}(q, q)} \right], \mathfrak{d}(q, q) \left[\frac{1 + \mathfrak{d}(fq, q)}{1 + \mathfrak{d}(fq, fq)} \right], \\ &\quad \left. \frac{\mathfrak{d}^2(Sq, fq)}{1 + \mathfrak{d}(fq, q)}, \frac{\mathfrak{d}^2(q, q)}{1 + \mathfrak{d}(fq, q)} \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ \mathfrak{d}(fq, q), 0, 0, \frac{1}{2b} \mathfrak{d}(fq, q), 0, 0, 0, 0 \right\} \\ &= \mathfrak{d}(fq, q). \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.1.8), we have

$fq = q = Sq$.

Similarly, it can be shown $gq = Tq = q$.

To prove uniqueness of fixed point, suppose that p is an another fixed point of f, g, S, T .

From (3.1.3), we have

$$(3.1.8) \quad \int_0^{b^\epsilon \mathfrak{d}(q, p)} \varphi(t) dt \leq \int_0^{b^\epsilon \mathfrak{d}(fq, gp)} \varphi(t) dt \leq \int_0^{M_b(q, p)} \varphi(t) dt \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \dot{X},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
M_b(q, p) &= \max \left\{ d(Sq, Tp), d(fq, Sq), d(gp, Tp), \frac{d(Sq, gp) + d(fq, Tp)}{2b}, \right. \\
&\quad d(Sq, fq) \left[\frac{1 + d(Sq, Tp)}{1 + d(Tp, fp)} \right], d(Tp, gp) \left[\frac{1 + d(Sq, Tp)}{1 + d(Sq, fq)} \right], \\
&\quad \left. \frac{d^2(Sq, fq)}{1 + d(fq, gp)}, \frac{d^2(Tp, gp)}{1 + d(fq, gp)} \right\} \\
&= \max \{ d(q, p), d(q, q), d(p, p), \frac{d(q, p) + d(q, p)}{2b}, \\
&\quad d(q, q) \left[\frac{1 + d(q, p)}{1 + d(p, p)} \right], d(p, p) \left[\frac{1 + d(q, p)}{1 + d(q, p)} \right], \\
&\quad \left. \frac{d^2(q, q)}{1 + d(q, p)}, \frac{d^2(q, q)}{1 + d(q, p)} \right\} \\
&= d(q, p)
\end{aligned}$$

From (3.1.8), we have

$$\int_0^{b^\epsilon d(q, p)} \varphi(t) dt \leq \int_0^{d(q, p)} \varphi(t) dt \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \check{X}$$

From which it follows that $d(q, p) = 0$ because $b^\epsilon > b > 1$.

Hence $p = q$, this proves the uniqueness of fixed-point theorem.

Corollary 3.2 Let (\check{X}, d) be a b -metric space with $s > 1$ and $f, T: \check{X} \rightarrow \check{X}$, be mappings with such that

$$(3.2.1) \quad \int_0^{b^\epsilon d(fx, fy)} \varphi(t) dt \leq \int_0^{M_b(x, y)} \varphi(t) dt, \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \check{X}.$$

Where $\epsilon > 1$ is a constant and $\varphi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is Lebesgue integrable mapping which is summable on each compact subset of $[0, \infty)$, non-negative and such that for $c > 0$, $\int_0^c \varphi(t) dt > 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.2.2) \quad M_b(x, y) &= \{ d(Tx, Ty), d(fx, Tx), d(fy, Ty), \frac{d(Tx, fy) + d(fx, Ty)}{2b}, \\
&\quad d(Tx, fx) \left[\frac{1 + d(Tx, Ty)}{1 + d(Ty, fy)} \right], d(Ty, gy) \left[\frac{1 + d(Tx, Ty)}{1 + d(Tx, fx)} \right], \\
&\quad \left. \frac{d^2(Tx, fx)}{1 + d(fx, fy)}, \frac{d^2(Ty, fy)}{1 + d(fx, fy)} \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

Suppose the pair (f, T) satisfies the b-(E.A) property at a point of coincidence in \dot{X} . Moreover, if the pair (f, T) is weakly compatible, then f and T have a unique common fixed point.

Corollary 3.3 Let (\dot{X}, d) be a b- metric space with $s > 1$ and $f, T: \dot{X} \rightarrow \dot{X}$, be mappings with such that

$$(3.3.1) \quad \int_0^{b^\epsilon d(fx, fy)} \varphi(t) dt \leq \int_0^{M_b(x, y)} \varphi(t) dt, \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \dot{X}.$$

Where $\epsilon > 1$ is a constant and $\varphi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is Lebesgue integrable mapping which is summable on each compact subset of $[0, \infty)$, non negative and such that for

$$c > 0, \quad \int_0^c \varphi(t) dt > 0 \text{ and}$$

$$(3.3.2) \quad M_b(x, y) = \{d(Tx, Ty), d(fx, Tx), d(fy, Ty), \frac{d(Tx, fy) + d(fx, Ty)}{2b}, \\ d(Tx, fx) \left[\frac{1 + d(Tx, Ty)}{1 + d(Ty, fy)} \right], d(Ty, gy) \left[\frac{1 + d(Tx, Ty)}{1 + d(Tx, fx)} \right], \\ \frac{d^2(Tx, fx)}{1 + d(fx, fy)}, \frac{d^2(Ty, fy)}{1 + d(fx, fy)} \}$$

Suppose the pair (f, T) satisfied the b-(E.A) property and $T(X)$ is b-closed in \dot{X} , Moreover, if the pair (f, T) is weakly compatible, then f and T have a unique common fixed point.

Example. 3.4 Let $\dot{X} = [0, 2]$, and define $d: \dot{X} \times \dot{X} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ as follows

$$d(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0, & x = y \\ \left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)^2 & x \neq y \end{cases}$$

Then (\dot{X}, d) be a b- metric space with $b = 2$. Let $f, g, S, T: \dot{X} \rightarrow \dot{X}$ are defined by

$$f(x) = \frac{3x}{4}, \quad g(x) = 0, \quad S(x) = \begin{cases} x & x \in [0, 1) \\ 1 & x = 1 \\ 3/4 & x \in (1, 2] \end{cases}, \quad T(x) = \frac{3x}{2}$$

Clearly, $g(x)$ is closed, $g(x) \subseteq S(x)$ and $f(x) \subseteq T(x)$.

Let $\{x_n\}$ be the sequence in \dot{X} such that $x_n = 1 + \frac{1}{n+3}$, $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$

So that the pair (f, S) is non-compatible since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(fSx_n, Sfx_n) \neq 0$.

But satisfies the b-(E.A) property since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S x_n = \frac{3}{4}$

To check the inequality (3.1.3), for all $x, y \in \dot{X}$ and for $x, y \in \dot{X}$ and $\epsilon = 2$.

If $x = 0$, (3.1.3) satisfied.

If $x \in (0, 1)$, then

$$b^{\varepsilon}d(fx, gy) = 2^2 \cdot \left(\frac{3x}{8}\right)^2 \leq \left(\frac{\frac{3x}{4} + x}{2}\right)^2 = d(fx, Sx) \leq M_b(x, y)$$

If $x = 1$, then

$$b^{\varepsilon}d(fx, gy) = 2^2 \cdot \left(\frac{3x}{8}\right)^2 \leq \left(\frac{\frac{3x}{4} + 1}{2}\right)^2 = d(fx, Sx) \leq M_b(x, y)$$

If $x \in (1, 2)$, then

$$b^{\varepsilon}d(fx, gy) = 2^2 \cdot \left(\frac{3x}{8}\right)^2 \leq \left(\frac{\frac{3x}{4} + \frac{3}{4}}{2}\right)^2 = d(fx, Sx) \leq M_b(x, y)$$

Thus (3.1.3) is satisfied for all $x, y \in \dot{X}$. The pairs (f, S) and (g, T) are weakly compatible. Hence, all the conditions of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied. Moreover, 0 is the unique common fixed point of f, g, S and T .

Disclaimer (Artificial intelligence)

This is to be declared that that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc.) and text-to-image generators have been used during the writing or editing of this manuscript.

References

1. Amari M and MoutawakilD : Some new common point theorems under strict contractive conditions. J Math Anal Appl 270:181-188, (2002).
2. Abbas M and Jungck G : Common fixed point results for non –commuting mappings without continuity in cone metric spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 341, 416420(2008).
3. Ali M., Kamran T. and KarapnarE : An approach to existence of fixed points of generalized contractive multivalued mappings of integral type via admissible mapping, Abstract and Applied Analysis, vol. 2014,Article ID 141489(2014).
4. Amini and Harandi A: Fixed point theory for quasi-contraction maps in b-metric spaces. Fixed PointTheory 15(2):351–358,(2014).
5. Babu GVR, Sailaja P.D.: Common fixed points of (ψ, ϕ) -weak quasi-contractions with property (E.A). Int J Math Sci Comput 1:29–37, (2011).
6. Bakhtin, I.A. The contraction mapping principle in almost metric spaces. Funct. Anal. 1989, 30, 26–37.
7. Czerwik, S. : Contraction mappings in b- metric spaces, Acta Math Inf. Univ. Ostravensis, I, 5-11, (1993).
8. Czerwik, S. Nonlinear set valued contraction mappings in b-metric spaces, Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena, 46, 263-276, (1998).
9. Hussain N, Shah M.H.; KKM mappings in cone b-metric spaces. Comput Math Appl 62:1677– 1684(2011).
10. Jovanovic M, kadelburg Z and RadenovicS. : Common fixed point results in metric type spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl, 2010:978121(2010).
11. Jungck G: Compatible mappings and common fixed points. Int J Math Sci 9:771–779, (1986).
12. Nazir T, Abbas M : Common fixed points of two pairs of mappings satisfying (E.A)-property in partial metric spaces. J Inequal Appl 2014:237, (2014).
13. Oztirk V. and RadenovicS : Some remarks on b- (E.A.)-property in b-metric spaces, Springer Plus 5:544, (2016).
14. Ozturk V, Turkoglu D: Common fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying (E.A)-property in b-metric spaces. J Nonlinear Sci Appl 8(1),127–1133, (2015).
15. Sing A, Some Fixed point results on b-(E.A) Property of Integral Type Mappings in b-Metric Spaces

16. Z. Liu, J. Li and S. Kang, Fixed point theorems of contractive mappings of integral type, Fixed Point Theory and Applications, 2013:300(2013).
17. Z. Liu, X. Li, S. Kang and S. Cho, Fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying contractive conditions of integral type and applications, Fixed Point Theory and Applications, 2011:64, (2011).
18. D. Ratna babu¹, N. Siva prasad, V. Amarendra babu, K. Bhanu Chander, Ch. Suresh, Some common fixed point theorems in b-metric spaces via f-class function with applications, 2024: 1927-6303.