# Assessment of Genetic variability, Correlation and Path coefficient Analysis for Yield Associated Traits in Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.)

#### **Abstract**

The present study was carried out during the two successive seasons 2020-21 and 2021-22 at the Crop Research Farm, R.A.K. College of Agriculture, RVSKVV, Sehore (MP), India. The present study evaluated genetic variability, heritability, correlation, and path analysis among diverse wheat genotypes for key agronomic traits. Significant differences (P<0.01) were observed among genotypes for all studied traits, indicating substantial genetic variability that can be utilized for selection and hybridization. Phenotypic coefficient of variance (PCV) was higher than genotypic coefficient of variance (GCV) for all traits, highlighting environmental influence. High heritability estimates were recorded for most traits, suggesting strong genetic control, whereas genetic advance as a percentage of the mean was high for traits like the number of effective tillers per plant and 1000-seed weight. Correlation analysis revealed significant positive associations of seed yield per plant with biological yield, 1000-seed weight, and the number of grains per spike at both genotypic and phenotypic levels, emphasizing their importance in yield improvement. Path analysis identified biological yield, 1000-seed weight, and harvest index as key contributors to seed yield, suggesting their prioritization in breeding programs. These findings provide valuable insights for wheat breeders aiming to enhance genetic gains and develop high-yielding wheat varieties.

Key words- ANOVA, GCV, PCV, Heritability, Genetic Advance, Correlation, Path

# INTRODUCTION

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum*L.) havingchromosome number 2n=6x=42, [AABBDD] (hexaploid) is widely cultivated, self-pollinating annual plant belongs to the (Gramineae) family. According to Waniet. al.,(2018) wheat holds great significance as it is one of the primary cerealcrops used as a staple food source worldwide. In terms of global food crops, wheat ranks second after rice (Bhanu et. al., 2018). Wheat plays a crucial role in providing approximately 20% of the calories and protein consumed by people worldwide, contributing to the sustenance of around 40% of the global population.

According to the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO, 2023), global wheat production reached approximately 776.5 mt in 2021. The productionwasestimatedtoslightlyincreaseto778.3 mt in 2022 and then decrease to 770.3 mt in 2023. Wheat holds a crucial position not only as a key crop for food security but also as a valuable cash crop on a global scale (Crespo-Herrera et. al., 2018). The importance of wheat is reflected in the significant global trade volume. In the 2023-24 season, world wheattradereachedarecordhighof186.6 mt, surpassing the previous season by 1.2 percent or 2.3 mt (FAO, 2023. This highlights the significant role of wheat in meeting global food demands and economic activities.

In order to establish a successful breeding program, the presence of genetic diversity within the population is crucial. Verma et. al., 2013 confirm that plants with higher genetic variability aremore likely to benefit from favorable hybrid crosses and generate productive recombinants, thus leading to a broader heritability within the populationduringtheprocessofgenetic improvement. Estimation of heritability in combination with genetic advance are usually more useful than heritability alone for forecasting genetic gain under selection. However, a traitwith a high heritability does not always have a high genetic advance (Johnson et. al., 1955). Correlation revealsthedegreeanddirectionofassociationat phenotypic and genotypic levels between the yieldand its contributing traits. However, it should be noted that the correlation could sometimes fail to give accurate insights into the individual impactof each character on the dependent character. It is understandable that a path analysis would be necessarytodeterminewhichcharactersactually affect seed yield. Sopath analysis is used to measure the indirect and direct effects of traits (Dhunde*et al.*, 2021). It is impossible to begin an effective breeding programme without first establishing genetic variability using appropriate metrics including GCV and PCV, Genetic advance (GA) and heritability (h<sup>2</sup>b) (Salman *et al.*, 2021).

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was carried out during the two successive seasons 2020-21 and 2021-22 at the Crop Research Farm, R.A.K. College of Agriculture, RVSKVV, Sehore (MP). The experiment involved six genetically diverse parent plants that exhibited a wide range of variation. Total of 15 crosses derived from these parents were selected for further Investigation (Table 1). In the Rabi season of 2021-2022, the final experimental material, consisting of the selected crosses, was evaluated using a randomized block design with three replications. Each plot consisted of four rows for parents and F1. Each row was 3m long and 30 cm apart, and the seeds within row were spaced 3.5 cm apart. The sowing was made in two different experimental years by hand dibbling method of seeding each in rows using Randomized complete block design. All recommended cultural practices were considered. The mean value was then determined for analysis over three replications figure in Table 2.

Data were recorded on five individual guarded plants chosen at random from each row. The studied characters were Days to heading, Days to maturity, Plant height (cm), Number of effective tillers/plant, Number of spikes/plant, Number of spikelets/spike, Spike length (cm), Spike weight (g), Number of grains/spike, Biological yield/plant (g), Harvest Index (%), 1000 Seed Weight (g) and Seed yield/plant (g).

The analysis of variance was carried out as per methods suggested by Panse and Sukhatme (1967). Genotypic coefficients of variation (GCV %) and phenotypic coefficients of variation (PCV %) were calculated by the method suggested by Burton (1952). In the present study the heritability was calculated in broad sense by the formula as suggested by (Hanson et al., 1956). The estimation of genotypic and phenotypic variances and heritability were used to determine the expected genetic advance as

suggested by Johnson et al. (1955).

# ${\bf Estimating of Correlation}$

Correlation coefficients among the characters in all possible combination were calculated with the help of procedure given by (Miller et al.,1958). The variance and covariance components were used to compute the correlation coefficients at genotypic and phenotypic level using the following formula.

Phenotypic correlation (rp) 
$$= \frac{PCov. xy}{\sqrt{PVx.PVy}}$$
  
GCov.xy

$$\frac{\text{Cov}(x,y)}{\text{rxy}=\sqrt{V(x)}x\sqrt{V(y)}}$$

Where,

 $r_{xy}$  =Correlation coefficient between character x and y

Genotypiccorrelation(rg)=

 $Cov_{x,y}$ =Co-varianceofcharacterxandy

 $V_x = Variance of character x, and$ 

V<sub>y</sub>=Varianceofcharactery

 $r_p$  = Phenotypic correlation

 $r_g$  = Genotypic correlation.

## **Estimation of PathAnalysis**

Pathanalysissplitsthecorrelationcoefficientinto the measures of direct and indirect effects and measures contribution of each independent variableonthedependent variableand estimates residual effects. It helps indetermining the yield and yield contributing characters.

Toestimatevarious direct and indirect effects, the following equations were used

Where,

$$\begin{split} r_{1y} & \text{tor}_{Iy} \!\!=\!\! \text{Coefficientof} \text{correlation} \text{between factor 1 to I and} \text{dependent character y} \\ r_{12} & \text{tor}_{I^-1,I} \!=\!\! \text{Coefficientof} \text{correlation} \text{among causal factors themselves} \\ P_{1y} & \text{to } P_{Iy} = \text{Direct effects of characters 1 to I on character y}. \end{split}$$

## ResidualEffect

Residual effect, which measures the contribution of the characters was obtained by:

$$(PRY) = \sqrt{1 - R^2}$$

Where,

$$R^{2} = \sum_{ij} P_{i}^{2} Y + 2 \sum_{i'j} P_{iy} P_{jy} R_{ij}$$

#### **EstimationofGAand GAM**

Thegeneticadvance(GA)forselectionintensity(K)at5%wascalculatedbytheformulasuggest ed by Allard, 1960.

$$GA=(K)(\sigma_{D})(H^{2})$$

Where.

GA = Expected genetic advance at 5% selection intensity,

 $\sigma_p$  = Phenotypic standard deviation

 $H^2$ = Heritability and K = selection differential (K= 2.063 at 5% selection intensity).

The genetic advance as percent of the mean (GAM) was calculated by formula given by Johnson et al., 1955

$$GAM = \frac{GA}{X} * 100$$

Where,

GAM = Genetic advance as percent of mean

GA=Geneticadvanceat5% selection intensity

X= Population Mean

Heritability(H<sup>2</sup>)wascomputedbyformuladeveloped by Dewey, 1959

$$H^2 = \underline{\sigma} 2gX \ 100$$

σ2n

Where,

 $\sigma^2$ p= Phenotypic Variance

 $\sigma^2$ g= Genotypic Variance

 $H^2$  = Heritability in broad sense

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Significant differences (P<0.01) were observed among the tested genotypes for all the traits investigated viz. Days to heading, Days to maturity, Plant height (cm), Number of effective tillers/plant, Number of spikes/plant, Number of spikelets/spike, Spike length (cm), Spike weight (g), Number of grains/spike, Biological yield/plant (g), Harvest

Index (%), 1000 Seed Weight (g) and Seed yield/plant (g) (Table 2). These findings indicate the presence of substantial variability among the genotypes, which can be harnessed through selection and hybridization. The significant differences observed among the genotypes for the studied characteristics justify conducting further genetic analysis (Chaudhary *et. al.*, 2023). The substantial genetic variation among the genotypes suggests that they exhibit genetic diversity, providing an excellent opportunity for breeders to select suitablegenotypesforspecifictraitsofinterestin variety development. A similar result reportedby Getachew*et. al.*, 2021, Ullah*et. al.*, 2021 and Yared*et. al.*, 2021 that the analysis of variance revealed significant genetic variability among all traits for the treatments. Table 3 presents the estimatedrange, minimum and maximum values, alongwith their corresponding genotypes, meanvalues, and corresponding standard errors forthe thirteen traits examined in wheat genotypes.

# Variability, Heritability and Genetic Advance

Genetic variability parameters viz. GCV, PCV, Heritability (Broad sense), Genetic % of mean advance genetic advance as 5 Table4.PCV(Phenotypiccoefficientofvariance) is higher than GCV (Genotypic the coefficient of variance) for all characters that indicates influenceofenvironmentontraits. Phenotypic coefficient of variation found moderate for Number of spikes/plants, Seed yield /plant, Spike weight, Spike length and Biological yield/plant. The current findings are consistent withtheresultsreportedbyUpadhyayetal., 2019, who also observed high PCV and GCV for Spike length and Biological yield/plant. Similarly, moderate PCV and GCV was recorded for Number of spikes/ plants, Spike weight, Seed yield /plant, Biological yield/plant and Spike length. Rajput et al., 2019, alsoreportedmoderatePCVandGCVNumber of spikes/ plant, Seed yield /plant and Spike lengthandlowPCVandGCVwere recorded for Days to heading Plant height, Days to maturity, Number of spikelets/spike and Harvest Index. The present result in agreement with Bayisaet. al., 2020, Verma et. al., 2013 and Bhanu et. al., 2018.

Heritability serves as a predictor of the traits that parentswillpass onto theiroffspring. Khanetal., 2013 found that selection processes become easier as heritability estimates increased. The heritability (H²) revealed the extent to which quantitative traits inherited, but it fails to disclose the extent to which genetic gain may be attained bytheselectionoftheidealplant fromtheelitepopulations. Therefore, the combination of heritabilityand geneticadvanceisbeneficialthan either factor alone (Kumar et. al., 2021). In the present investigation high heritability was observed for all the traits except harvest index (%). Upadhyay et. al., 2019 and Rajput et. al., 2029, documented similar result that high estimates of heritability. These traits exhibit a high degree of heritability, indicating that genetic factors have a strong influence on their expression. Consequently, environmental factors are likely to have a lesser impact on the development of these traits. Low heritability estimated for Harvest index only. Genetic advance as percent of mean (GAM) recorded highNumber of effective tillers/plant followed by 1000 grain seed weight, Number of grains per spike and Number of spikes/plant. Moderate genetic advance as percentage of mean was recorded for Spike weight

followed by Seed yield/plant, Spike length and Biological yield/plant. Low genetic advance as percentage of mean were noticed for Days to heading followed by Plant height, Days to maturity, Number of spikelets/spike and Harvest Index. Kumaret al., 2020, Upadhyay *et al.*, 2019, Rajput *et. al.*, 2019, Baye*et. al.*, 2020 Ayer *et. al.*, 2017 also found similar results.

#### **EstimationofCorrelation**

Assessment of the genotypic and phenotypic correlation between various traits tabulated in Table 5a & 5b. The seed yield or economic yield in almost all the crops is referred to as super character, which results from multiplicative interactions of several other characters that are termed as yield components. Thus, genetic architecture of seed yield per plant in wheat as well as other crops is based on the balance or overall net effect produced by various yield components directly or indirectly by interaction with one another. Therefore, identification of important yield components and information about their association with yield and also with each other is very useful for developing efficient selection strategy for evolving high-yielding varieties. The correlation coefficient which provides the measurement of degree of symmetrical association between two variables or characters helps in understanding the nature and magnitude of association among yield and yield components. The phenotypic and genotypic correlations were computed among thirteen characters using data of all the wheat genotypes. In general, the genotypic correlation coefficient values were higher than the phenotypic values. This indicated that how much of phenotypic correlation coefficients are influenced by environment. In the present study, all possible correlation coefficient at genotypic and phenotypic levels among thirteen traits themselves and with seed yield per plant were estimated.

At genotypic level significant positive correlation of seed yield with Biological yield/plant, 1000 Seed Weight and Number of grains/spike. Additionally, there is a positive but non-significant correlation with Harvest Index, Number of spikelets/spike, Number of effective tillers/plant, Spike weight, Spike length and Days to maturity. At Phenotypic level significant positive correlation found with Biological yield/plant, 1000 Seed Weight and Number of grains/spike. Additionally, there is a positive but nonsignificant correlation with Harvest Index, Number of spikelets/spike, days to maturity, Spike length and Spike weight. Positive correlation values indicates that the values of both variables tend to increase together. These findings corroborate with those of Ullah et al., (2021), Allah et al., (2021), Kumar et al., (2020), Rajput et al., (2019), Verma et al., (2019) and Bayeet al., (2020) reported similar results, where the genotypic correlation coefficients (r<sub>g</sub>) were found to be higher than the corresponding phenotypic correlation coefficients (r<sub>D</sub>) for most of the character combinations. This suggests that the observed correlations between traits are predominantly influenced by genetic factors rather than environmental factors. Genotypic correlations provide valuable insights into the underlying genetic relationships between traits, which can be useful for plant breeders in selecting desirablecombinations of traits for crop improvement programs. Indirect selection is more effective than direct selection procedure when the attribute in question has low heritability and/or is not easily and precisely measured. The aim of correlation studies is primarily to know the suitability of various characters for indirect selection because selection for one or more traits results in correlated response for several other traits (Searle, 1965), and the pattern of variation will also be changed (Waddington and Robertson, 1966). Therefore, knowledge of genetic correlation existing between yield and its components is essential.

Phenotypic correlation may be of genotypic and/or environmental origin and provides information about association observed between two characters. For selection purpose phenotypic correlation is of little practical values unless genetic and environmental correlations between pairs of characters are in the same direction when they are estimated separately. Genetic correlation provides a measure of genetic association between characters and is used in selection of one character for the improvement of other, and provides information by themselves (Miller *et. al.*, 1958) and will be helpful to the breeder since they are based on transmissible genetic variance (Jerome *et. al.*, 1956). Genetic correlation is the net effect of all segregating genes that affects the characters; some are causing positive and negative correlations. The major causes underlying genetic correlation are pleiotropy, linkage and developmentally induced relationship (Adams, 1967; Stebbins, 1950).

# **PathAnalysis**

Merely examining correlations does not offer a comprehensive understanding of how each specific trait contributes to the overall picture. Path analysis, on the other hand, provesvaluable in evaluating variables by quantifying their respective contributions and distinguishing between partially indirect and direct sources of association. This analytical approach enables a comparative assessment of variables based on the magnitude of their influences. On the basis of genotypic path, results indicate that biological yield per plant, 1000-seed weight, and harvest index are the most important traits with strong direct effects on seed yield per plant. These traits should be prioritized in breeding programs for yield improvement. Traits like plant height and spike length have minor direct effects, while days to heading and maturity negatively impact yield, indicating a need to select for early-maturing genotypes. While on the basis of phenotypic path, the biological yield per plant, 1000-seed weight, and harvest index have the strongest direct positive effects on seed yield, making them the key selection criteria in breeding programs. Traits like days to heading and days tomaturity have negative direct effects, suggesting that earlier heading and maturity should be prioritized for higher productivity (table 6a & 6b). This result in agreement with Ayeret. al., (2017), Meleset. al., (2017), Chimdesaet. al., (2017), Getachewel. al., (2021), Rajput et. al., (2019) and Kumar et. al., (2020).

Table 1. Parents and crosses of wheat used in the experiment

Parents			
S. No.	Germplasm	S. No.	Germplasm

1	CWYT-614	4	GS-2031
2	HI-1633	5	HI-1634
3	CWYT-644	6	HI- 8777
CrossCombi	inations		
S. No.	Combinations	S. No.	Combinations
1	CWYT-614xCWYT-644	9	CWYT-644xHI-1633
2	CWYT-614xHI-1634	10	HI-1634x GS-2031
3	CWYT-614xGS-2031	11	HI-1634xHI-8777
4	CWYT-614xHI-8777	12	HI-1634xHI-1633
5	CWYT-614xHI-1633	13	GS-2031xHI-8777
6	CWYT-644 x HI -1634	14	GS-2031xHI-1633
7	CWYT-644 xGS -2031	15	HI-8777xHI-1633
8	CWYT- 644 x HI- 8777		

Table 2. ANOVA for different quantitative traits over (two) environments studied in Wheat ( $Triticum\ aestivum\ L$ .)

Source of Variation	df	Days to heading	Days to maturity	Plant height (cm)	Number of effective tillers/ plant	Number of spikes/ plant	Number of spikelets/ spike	Spike length (cm)
Environments	1	62051.28**	6143.70**	7020.51**	5644.12**	7.2**	813.24**	412.4**
Replications	2	2339.1**	105.4**	4402.56**	472.5**	10.92**	329.62**	182.25**
Genotypes	20	6432.45**	159.87**	323.36**	1289.42**	6.88**	694.14**	65.03**
Parents	5	4445.45**	245.59**	258.33**	2744.3**	3.4**	833.60**	91.91**
F1 hybrids	14	2365.43**	122.76**	304.55**	866.82**	6.5**	652.25**	40.64**
Parents Vs	1	6645.69**	1629.13**	2261.6**	3754.91**	71.25**	1883.08**	1355.01**
Hybrids								
Error	70	48.19	0.59	14.9	1.68	0.59	41.08	2.07
Environment	df	Spike weight (g)	Number of grains/spi ke	Biological yield/plan t (g)	Harvest Index (%)	1000 Seed Weight (g)	Seed yield/plan t (g)	
Replication	1	3243.27**	275.83**	6.20*	8525.28**	1453.85**	221.78**	
Genotypes	2	988.91**	62.40**	14.68**	1339.10**	988.91**	81.00**	
Parents	20	3590.61**	1652.03**	41.78**	2475.04**	719.98**	148.86**	
F1 hybrids	5	4588.9**	801.30**	39.17**	3396.97**	209.10**	74.66**	
Parents Vs Hybrids	14	3444.5**	1550.33**	42.7**	2304.62**	756.17**	163.70**	
Error	1	2107.05**	5620.77**	11.14*	3412.82**	3987.11**	0.46	
	70	40.87	1.18	1.11	48.19	40.87	6.5	

 $Table 3. Mean of parent and crosses for thir teen\ traits with CV, CD.$ 

Treatments	Days to heading	Days to maturi ty	Plant height (cm)	Number of effective tillers/plant	Number of spikes/pl ant	Number of spikelets/s pike	Spike length (cm)	Spike weigh t (g)	Number of grains per spike	Biologic al yield/pla nt (g)	Seed yield / plant (g)	1000 grain seed weight (g)	Harve st Index (%)
Parents													
CWYT-614	80.85	122.55	63.65	9.03	13.50	20.90	12.15	4.78	85.05	127.03	59.52	46.48	46.96
CWYT- 644	79.00	121.50	83.75	5.00	10.50	21.07	10.40	4.50	72.80	114.81	56.22	49.75	48.97
HI -1634	74.10	114.85	75.10	6.00	12.00	21.33	12.55	5.25	90.00	121.83	58.50	45.52	48.02
GS -2031	83.80	122.50	86.40	8.00	13.50	18.00	14.50	5.47	71.50	110.34	54.30	47.98	49.22
HI- 8777	66.95	106.00	70.65	10.00	12.00	18.50	7.55	4.42	34.00	88.19	39.50	53.42	44.79
HI -1633	66.70	106.00	82.70	12.50	10.50	19.43	15.50	4.64	39.50	100.67	50.50	45.62	50.17
Mean	75.23	115.57	77.04	8.42	12.00	19.87	12.11	4.84	65.48	110.48	53.09	48.13	48.02
Min	66.70	106.00	63.65	5.00	10.50	18.00	7.55	4.42	34.00	88.19	39.50	45.52	44.79
Max	83.80	122.55	86.40	12.50	13.50	21.33	15.50	5.47	90.00	127.03	59.52	53.42	50.17
CV	5.04	3.35	3.16	3.79	5.96	2.84	2.88	8.54	1.71	6.14	5.59	2.75	6.04
$\mathrm{CD}_{0.05\%}$	1.32	1.38	2.74	2.20	1.22	1.37	0.88	4.06	0.15	0.92	1.83	1.94	1.93
Crosses													
CWYT-614 x CWYT-644	80.90	120.55	87.50	6.50	13.00	20.80	15.30	5.40	57.10	54.71	26.31	11.05	48.10
CWYT-614 x HI -1634	81.85	120.50	90.00	7.00	10.00	21.30	10.25	3.64	51.75	88.37	43.09	44.61	48.75
CWYT-614 x GS -2031	80.85	121.75	94.55	14.00	14.50	17.83	12.50	4.51	67.50	108.82	53.58	49.76	49.24
CWYT-614 x HI- 8777	89.88	131.00	84.00	17.30	12.00	18.67	13.60	4.30	75.20	70.43	34.17	18.83	48.51
CWYT-614 x HI -1633	82.65	124.00	89.10	13.03	13.00	19.63	17.55	5.61	72.85	109.04	53.14	45.50	48.74
CWYT- 644 x HI -1634	81.98	122.50	93.50	12.55	14.00	18.60	12.40	4.76	66.80	113.42	55.76	53.53	49.16
CWYT- 644 x GS -2031	80.95	121.50	96.60	13.55	16.00	20.83	12.60	5.10	68.20	110.43	54.04	49.91	48.93
CWYT- 644 x HI- 8777	80.18	120.50	84.75	9.00	13.00	20.60	12.35	5.54	66.45	96.66	47.16	40.87	48.79
CWYT- 644x HI -1633	79.78	123.00	94.80	15.35	11.50	21.47	14.55	4.14	81.85	107.17	52.46	39.66	48.95
HI -1634 x GS -2031	83.73	125.50	88.60	11.23	10.50	19.20	13.45	4.34	74.15	115.90	56.90	49.77	49.09
HI -1634 x HI- 8777	79.63	121.00	88.72	14.32	14.50	21.30	11.60	3.21	50.50	94.22	46.04	51.56	48.87
HI -1634 x HI -1633	75.06	116.09	88.50	14.60	13.50	19.70	13.65	3.54	76.00	109.33	55.50	46.65	50.77
GS -2031 x HI- 8777	84.06	125.01	82.29	10.01	11.00	20.73	11.55	3.43	80.85	117.41	57.66	46.58	49.11
GS -2031 x HI -1633	64.90	105.90	88.00	11.05	13.00	19.90	13.30	3.33	53.10	94.73	46.26	49.46	48.83
HI- 8777 x HI -1633	61.05	103.05	82.73	17.57	15.00	17.87	11.45	3.63	43.00	104.49	54.00	55.81	51.68
Mean	79.16	120.12	88.91	12.47	13.57	19.90	13.07	4.30	65.69	99.67	49.07	43.57	49.17
Min	61.05	103.05	82.29	6.50	10.50	17.83	10.25	3.21	43.00	54.71	26.31	11.05	48.10
Max	89.88	131.00	96.60	17.57	15.00	21.47	17.55	5.61	81.85	117.41	57.66	55.81	51.68
CV	0.99	3.08	0.71	7.85	15.96	6.66	3.24	2.18	2.16	3.36	7.77	5.48	4.32
${ m CD_{0.05\%}}$	1.75	6.83	1.72	6.93	6.35	4.14	0.32	1.10	0.59	0.31	4.07	0.09	1.64

Table 4. Variability (GCV&PCV), Heritability (broadsense), Genetic advance as percentage of mean (GAM) at 5% in wheat the contraction of the con

Characters	GCV (%)	PCV (%)	Heritability (%) (Broad sense)	Genetic advance as % of mean 5%
Days to heading	9.23	9.71	95.06	18.07
Days to maturity	5.92	7.00	84.57	10.31
Plant height (cm)	9.15	9.61	95.21	17.96
Number of effective tillers/ plant	32.05	33.28	96.30	65.57
Number of spikes/ plant	18.50	18.98	97.47	37.54
Number of spikelets/spike	5.62	6.69	84.01	9.73
Spike length (cm)	16.45	16.96	96.99	33.37
Spike weight (g)	17.17	17.62	97.45	34.47
Number of grains per spike	23.38	24.58	95.12	47.73
Biological yield/plant	16.47	16.82	97.92	33.22
1000 grain seed weight	23.91	25.11	95.22	48.86
Harvest Index (%)	2.14	3.62	59.12	2.62
Seed yield /plant	16.92	18.17	93.12	34.34

# Table5a.Genotypic correlation coefficient analysis for yield and its contributing traits in Wheat genotypes

Characters	Days to heading	Days to maturity	Plant height (cm)	Number of effective tillers/ plant	Number of spikes/ plant	Number of spikelets/ spike	Spike length (cm)	Spike weight (g)	Number of grains/spike	Biological yield/ plant (g)	Harvest Index (%)	1000 Seed Weight (g)	Seed yield/ plant (g)
Days to heading	1.0000	0.9255 **	0.2379	-0.0840	0.2505	0.0223	0.2303	0.3053	0.618 **	-0.0057	-0.1717	-0.4081	-0.0253
Days to maturity		1.0000	0.3182	-0.1004	0.2000	-0.0973	0.2106	0.1316	0.6181 **	0.0413	0.0980	-0.3519	0.0036
Plant height (cm)			1.0000	0.2357	0.0490	-0.1046	0.3179	-0.1741	-0.0487	-0.1370	0.5605 **	-0.0263	-0.0649
Number of effective tillers/plant				1.0000	0.6505 **	0.0392	0.2559	-0.2003	-0.0653	-0.0362	0.1374	0.0869	0.0568
Number of spikes/plant					1.0000	0.0809	0.1417	0.1104	0.1117	-0.3220	-0.0713	-0.3315	-0.2823
Number of spikelets/spike						1.0000	0.1366	0.3272	0.1918	0.0797	-0.3467	0.0724	0.0908
Spike length (cm)							1.0000	0.4076	0.3024	-0.0583	0.2295	-0.4004	0.0092
Spike weight (g)								1.0000	0.3019	0.0290	-0.4607 *	-0.2663	0.0153
Number of grains/spike									1.0000	0.4957 *	-0.0883	-0.1532	0.4840 *
Biological yield/plant (g)										1.0000	0.1282	0.7204 **	0.9851 **
Harvest Index (%)											1.0000	0.1342	0.1876
1000 Seed Weight (g)												1.0000	0.7176 **
Seed yield/plant (g)													1.0000

Table 5b: Phenotypic correlation coefficient analysis for yield and its contributing traits in Wheat genotypes

Characters	Days to headi ng	Days to maturity	Plant height (cm)	Number of effective tillers/pla nt	Number of spikes/pla nt	Number of spikelets/s pike	Spike length (cm)	Spike weight (g)	Number of grains/spi ke	Biologic al yield/pla nt (g)	Harvest Index (%)	1000 Seed Weight (g)	Seed yield/plan t (g)
Days to heading	1	0.9849 **	0.3219 *	0.2163	0.4042 **	-0.1274	0.1896	0.0316	0.4509 **	-0.0423	0.2263	-0.3072 *	-0.0006
Days to maturity		1	0.3221 *	0.2484	0.3874 **	-0.1398	0.1966	0.0065	0.5265 **	0.0247	0.2228	-0.284	0.0641
Plant height (cm)			1	0.4981 **	0.2304	-0.0906	0.4124 **	-0.0142	-0.1177	-0.1835	0.5166 **	0.0139	-0.0622
Number of effective tillers/plant				1	0.6719 **	-0.1725	0.444 **	-0.178	-0.0905	-0.1055	0.5175 **	0.0653	-0.0122
Number of spikes/plant					1	0.0162	0.3697 *	-0.0561	0.0966	-0.2551	0.4754 **	-0.2063	-0.1836
Number of spikelets/spike						1	0.0139	0.0023	0.2179	0.1675	0.0006 NS	0.1188	0.1487
Spike length (cm)							1	0.2645	0.199	-0.0596	0.6703 **	-0.2614	0.0623
Spike weight (g)								1	0.1299	0.0418	-0.0986	-0.1378	0.0317
Number of grains/spike									1	0.5728 **	0.1094	-0.0665	0.5706 **
Biological yield/plant (g)										1	0.1156	0.7244 **	0.9845 **
Harvest Index (%)											1	0.1691	0.2796
1000 Seed Weight (g)												1	0.7248 **
Seed yield/plant (g)													1

# $Table 6a. Genotypic path matrix of thir teen traits in wheat for seed\ yield$

Traits	Days to heading	Days to maturity	Plant height (cm)	Number of effective tillers/pl ant	Number of spikes/pla nt	Number of spikelets/s pike	Spike length (cm)	Spike weight (g)	Number of grains/sp ike	Biological yield/plan t (g)	Harvest Index (%)	1000 Seed Weight (g)	Seed yield/pla nt (g)
Days to heading	0.14194	-0.17628	0.01959	0.00075	-0.00444	0.00691	0.00003	0.00056	0.00689	-0.07358	0.00247	0.02062	-0.0253
Days to maturity	0.13966	-0.17917	0.01971	0.00102	-0.00425	0.00709	-0.00001	0.00142	0.00812	0.00042	0.00334	0.01906	0.0036
Plant height (cm)	0.0404	-0.05133	0.06882	0.00299	-0.00202	0.00494	-0.00066	0.0018	-0.00224	-0.21586	0.04791	0.00101	-0.0649
Number of effective tillers/plant	0.01653	-0.02854	0.03209	0.00642	-0.008	0.0109	-0.00032	0.01013	-0.00241	-0.17001	0.02607	0.00017	0.0568
Number of spikes/plant	0.04517	-0.05469	0.00996	0.00369	-0.01394	0.00758	0.00019	0.0069	0.00064	-0.3954	0.00442	0.02062	-0.2823
Number of spikelets/spike	-0.03857	0.04994	-0.0134	-0.00275	0.00416	-0.02542	0.00081	0.0028	0.00298	0.14658	-0.04624	-0.00333	0.0908
Spike length (cm)	-0.00262	-0.00148	0.02806	0.00129	0.00164	0.01276	-0.00162	-0.00728	0.00278	-0.2099	0.01619	0.03298	0.0092
Spike weight (g)	-0.0038	0.01204	-0.0059	-0.00308	0.00456	0.00337	-0.00056	-0.02109	0.00298	0.04467	-0.0523	0.0161	0.0153
Number of grains/spike	0.06247	-0.09291	-0.0099	-0.00099	-0.00057	-0.00484	-0.00029	-0.00402	0.01565	0.59078	0.0031	0.0048	0.4840
Biological yield/plant (g)	-0.01005	-0.00007	-0.0143	-0.00105	0.0053	-0.00358	0.00033	-0.00091	0.00889	0.93957	0.00533	-0.04054	0.9851
Harvest Index (%)	0.0043	-0.00736	0.04055	0.00206	-0.00076	0.01446	-0.00032	0.01357	0.0006	0.0682	0.08131	-0.00419	0.1876
1000 Seed Weight (g)	-0.05209	0.06077	-0.0012	-0.00002	0.00511	-0.00151	0.00095	0.00604	-0.00134	0.7501	0.00607	-0.05619	0.7176

 $Table 6b. Genotypic path matrix of thir teen traits in wheat for seed\ yield$ 

Traits	Days to heading	Days to maturity	Plant height (cm)	Number of effective tillers/plant	Number of spikes/plant	Number of spikelets/ spike	Spike length (cm)	Spike weight (g)	Number of grains/ spike	Biological yield/plant (g)	Harvest Index (%)	1000 Seed Weight (g)	Seed yield/ plant (g)
Days to heading	0.0664	-0.0832	0.0148	0.0037	-0.0102	0.0015	-0.0019	0.0001	0.0032	-0.0422	0.0362	0.0111	-0.0006
Days to maturity	0.0654	-0.0845	0.0148	0.0043	-0.0098	0.0016	-0.0020	0.0000	0.0037	0.0247	0.0356	0.0103	0.0641
Plant height (cm)	0.0214	-0.0272	0.0460	0.0086	-0.0058	0.0010	-0.0042	0.0000	-0.0008	-0.1831	0.0826	-0.0005	-0.0622
Number of effective tillers/plant	0.0144	-0.0210	0.0229	0.0172	-0.0170	0.0020	-0.0045	-0.0006	-0.0006	-0.1053	0.0828	-0.0024	-0.0122
Number of spikes/plant	0.0268	-0.0327	0.0106	0.0115	-0.0253	-0.0002	-0.0038	-0.0002	0.0007	-0.2546	0.0760	0.0075	-0.1836
Number of spikelets/spike	-0.0085	0.0118	-0.0042	-0.0030	-0.0004	-0.0115	-0.0001	0.0000	0.0016	0.1672	0.0001	-0.0043	0.1487
Spike length (cm)	0.0126	-0.0166	0.0190	0.0076	-0.0093	-0.0002	-0.0102	0.0009	0.0014	-0.0595	0.1072	0.0094	0.0623
Spike weight (g)	0.0021	-0.0005	-0.0007	-0.0031	0.0014	0.0000	-0.0027	0.0033	0.0009	0.0417	-0.0158	0.0050	0.0317
Number of grains/spike	0.0299	-0.0445	-0.0054	-0.0016	-0.0024	-0.0025	-0.0020	0.0004	0.0071	0.5716	0.0175	0.0024	0.5706
Biological yield/plant (g)	-0.0028	-0.0021	-0.0084	-0.0018	0.0064	-0.0019	0.0006	0.0001	0.0041	0.9980	0.0185	-0.0262	0.9845
Harvest Index (%)	0.0150	-0.0188	0.0238	0.0089	-0.0120	0.0000	-0.0068	-0.0003	0.0008	0.1154	0.1599	-0.0061	0.2796
1000 Seed Weight (g)	-0.0204	0.0240	0.0006	0.0011	0.0052	-0.0014	0.0027	-0.0005	-0.0005	0.7229	0.0270	-0.0361	0.7248

#### **CONCLUSION**

Correlation reveals the degree and direction of association at phenotypic and genotypic levels btw the yield and its contributing traits. However, it should be noted that the correlation could sometimes fail to give accurate insights into the individual impact of each character on the dependent character.

#### **COMPETINGINTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### **REFERENCES:**

- 1. Al- Jibouri H, Miller PA, Robinson HF. Genotypic and environmental variances and covariances in an upland Cotton cross of interspecific origin. Agronomy journal. 1958;50(10):633-6.
- 2. Allard RW. Principal of Plant BreedingJohn Wiley and Sons. Inc., USA Wiley International Edition. 1960;85.
- 3. Ayer DK, Sharma A, Ojha BR, Paudel A, Dhakal K. Correlation and path coefficient analysis in advanced wheat genotypes. SAARC Journal of Agriculture. 2017; 15(1):1-2.
- 4. BayeA,BerihunB,BantayehuM,DerebeB. Genotypic and phenotypic correlation and path coefficient analysis for yield and yield-related traits in advanced breadwheat (*Triticum aestivum*L.) lines. Cogent Food & Agriculture. 2020;6(1):1752603.
- 5. Bayisa T, Tefera H, Letta T. Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance among bread wheat genotypes at Southeastern Ethiopia. Agrl,, ForestryandFisheries. 2020;9(4):128-134.
- 6. Bhanu AN, Arun B, Mishra VK. Genetic variability, heritability and correlation study of physiological and yield traits in relationto heat tolerance in wheat (*Triticum aestivum*L.). Biomedical Journal of Scientific & Technical Research. 2018;2(1):2112-6.
- 7. ChaudharyPL,KumarB,KumarR. AnalysisofHeterosisandHeterobeltiosis forEarliness,YieldandItsContributing Traits in Okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*L. Moench).InternationalJournalofPlant& Soil Science. 2023;35(11):84-98.
- 8. Crespo-Herrera LA, Crossa J, Huerta- Espino J, Vargas M, Mondal S, Velu G, Payne TS, Braun H, Singh RP. Genetic gainsforgrain yieldinCIMMYT's semi- arid wheat yield trials grown in suboptimal environments. CropScience. 2018;58(5):1890-98.
- 9. Chimdesa O, Mohammed W, Eticha F. Analysis of genetic variabilityamong bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum*L.) genotypes for growth, yield and yield components inBore district, Oromia regional state. Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries. 2017; 6(6):188-99.
- 10. Dewey DR, Lu K. A correlation and path-coefficientanalysis of components of crested wheatgrass seed production 1. Agronomy journal. 1959;51(9):515-8.
- 11. Dhunde BB, Devmore JP, Mahadik SG, Palshetkar MG, Vanve PB, Bhave SG, ThoratBS.Correlationandpathanalysis studies on yield and its components in green gram (*Vignaradiata* L.Wilczek). The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2021;10(1): 727-30.
- 12. FAO 2021. Crop Prospects and Food Situation Quarterly Global Report No. 1, March2021. Rome.
- 13. FAO2022.CropProspectsandFood Situation—Quarterly Global Report No. 2, July 2022. Rome.

- 14. Getachew A, Worede F, Alamerew S. Phenotypic variation and traits interrelationships in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum*L.) genotypes in Northern Ethiopia. Acta agriculturaeSlovenica. 2021;117(3):1-9.
- 15. JohnsonHW,RobinsonHF,ComstockRE. Estimates of genetic and environmental variability in soybeans. Agronomy journal. 1955;47(7):314-8.
- 16. Khan AA, Alam MA, Alam MK, Alam MJ, Sarker ZI. Correlation and path analysis of durum wheat (*Triticum turgidum*L. var. Durum).BangladeshJournalofAgricultural Research. 2013;38(3):515-21.
- 17. Kumar J, Kumar A, Singh SK, Singh L, Kumar A, Chaudhary M, Kumar S, Singh SK. Principal component analysis for yield and its contributing traits in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) genotypes under late sown condition. Technical Research. 2021; 2(6):102-8.
- 18. Kumar P, Solanki YP, Singh V. Genetic Variability and Association of Morphophysiological Traits in Bread Wheat (*Triticum aestivum*L.). Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology. 2020; 39(35):95-105.
- 19. Meles B, Mohammed W, Tsehaye Y. Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis of yield and grain quality traits in bread wheat (Tritium aestivum L.) genotypes at Axum, Northern Ethiopia. Journal of plant breeding and cropscience. 2017;9(10):175-85.
- 20. Rajput RS. Path analysis and genetic parametersforgrainyieldinbreadwheat (*Triticum aestivum*L.). Annual Research & Review in Biology. 2019;28:1-8.
- 21. Salman MA, Anuradha C, Sridhar V, Babu ER, Pushpavalli SN. Genetic variability for yield and its related traits in green gram [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek]. Legume Research-An International Journal. 2021;1:5.
- 22. Ullah MI, Mahpara S, Bibi R, Shah RU, Ullah R, Abbas S, Ullah MI, Hassan AM, El-Shehawi AM, Brestic M, Zivcak M. Grain yield and correlated traits of bread wheat lines: Implications for yield improvement. Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences. 2021;28(10):5714-9.
- 23. Upadhyay K, Adhikari NR, Sharma S. Genetic variability and cluster analysis of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*L.) genotypes in foot hill of Nepal. Archives of Agriculture and Environmental Science. 2019;4(3): 350-5.
- 24. Ul-AllahS,Azeem A,SherA,IjazM,Sattar A, SaleemMA, Bibi M, Abbas N, Hussain M. Assessment of genetic variability and direct-indirect contribution of post-anthesis traits to the grain yield in bread wheat (*Triticumaestivum*L.)atdifferent sowing dates. Int. J. Agric. Biol. 2021;26: 193-200.
- 25. Verma PN, Singh BN, Yadav RK. Genetic variability and divergence analysis of yield and its contributing traits under sodic soil condition in wheat (*T. aestivum*L.). Int. J. Agric. Sci. 2013;3(2):395-9.
- 26. Verma P, Saini P, Singh V, Yashveer S. Genetic variability of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*L.) genotypes for agro- morphological traits and their correlation and path analysis. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2019;8(4):2290-4.
- 27. Wani SH, Sheikh F, Najeeb S, Iqbal AM, Kordrostami M, Parray G, Jeberson MS. Genetic variability study in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum*L.) under temperate conditions. *Current* Agriculture Research Journal. 2018;6(3):268.
- 28. Yared S, Hussein S, Mark L, Isack M. Genetic variability and association of yield and yieldcomponents among bread wheat genotypes underdrought-

