Effectiveness of talc formulation (TNAUPc001) Nematode egg parasitic fungus, *Pochonia chlamydosporia* on the management of root-knot nematode, *Meloidogyne incognita in* brinjal (*Solanum melongena*)

ABSTRACT

A pot culture experiment was conducted to evaluate the efficacy of talc formulation of nematode eggparasitic fungus, *Pochonia chlamydosporia* in different method of application *viz.*, seed treatment, nursery application in growth media and soil application at he time of transplanting in brinjal for the management of *Meloidogyne incognita* at Vegetable Research Station, Palur, Tamil Nadu, India during 2020-2021. These experiments clearly indicated the effectiveness of a bio-agent *P. chlamydosporia*, TNAU (Pc001) talc formulation were more when applied in combined application *viz.*, seed (8g/kg seed) + nursey (10/kg growth media) + soil application method (5kg/ha). The brinjal yield was significantly high in vegetable yield (880 g/plant) in brinjal (Var PLR 2), Which is 55.3 per cent increase over untreated control and recorded with lowest root-knot nematode, *M. incognita* population (81.66/250 cc soil and 8.33/ 5 g of root) which was more than 60 per cent reduction over control. The colony farming units in the soil also more (75 X10⁴) in the above treatments. Through these investigations we could standardize a strategy for the sustainable management of nematode by integration @5kg/ha in the main field in brinjal.

Keywords: Brinjal, Meloidogyne incognita, Root knot nematode, Pochonia chlamydosporia, Bio-agents

1. INTRODUCTION

Brinjal is one of the important vegetable crop in India. Most of the vegetable crops are affected by the parasitic nematodes in all stages of the crop development. Nematode attack on the root system makes the seedlings weak and also vulnerable to the infection by secondary pathogens (soil born fungus & bacteria, Abad *et al.* 2003). Nematode damage also break down the resistance against pathogenic fungi and bacteria (Agrios, 2005). Further nematode infested nursery seedlings facilitate the spread of the nematodes in the main fields making the problem more difficult to manage in a larger area (Abad *et al.* 2023).

Meloidogyne incognita is a significant plant parasitic nematode that affects vegetables (Sasser 1952). It is a polyphagous nematode pest and has more than 3000 host plants all over the world (Noling, 1999). Frequent application of chemical nematicides for the control of soil borne plant pests, leads to the pollution of the ecosystem and thereby disturbing the ecological balance. Alternative techniques based on biological methods are needed to solve the problem. The nematode egg parasitic fungus, *Pochonia chlamydosporia* is one of the biocontrol agent against plant parasitic nematodes. The fungus is also a facultative parasite of nematode and mollusk

eggs, and a hyperparasite of other fungi (Uddin *et al.* 2019). Plant-fungus-nematode interaction, an interaction that needs to be addressed to ensure the efficient use of *P. chlamydosporia* as a bio-pesticide as part of an integrated pest management approach.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Department of Nematology, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore has developed one *P. chlamydosporia bio* product as commercial formulation *viz.*, TNAU (Pc001) talc formulation with the spore load of spore load 10 X 10^8 . In the earlier studies we optimized the dose for seed treatment, nursery application in growth media and soil application during transplanting, In this research we studied the different methods of applications *viz.*, seed treatment, nursery application and soil application during transplanting individually and combination of different methods of application were tried and the data were collected.

A pot culture experiment was taken with P. chlamydosporia TNAU (Pc001) talc formulation with the following treatments Viz., T1 – P.c (TNAU Pc001) talc formulation as seed treatment @ 8 g/kg seed, T2 - P.c (TNAU Pc001) talc formulation as nursery application @ 10 g/kg growth media, T3 - P.c (TNAU Pc001) talc formulation at the time of transplanting @5 kg/ha, T4 – T1 + T2; T5 – T1 + T3; T6 – T2 + T3; T7 – T1 + T2 + T3; T8 – T a (TV 1) seed treatment @ 4 g/kg + Soil application @ 2.5 kg/ha, T9 - Untreated control. Each treatment replicated three times. Completely Randomized Design were used for this trial. The brinjal var. PLR2 were taken for this study. Plants were maintained properly. Yield were recorded for each picking, Plants were uprooted at the end of the experiment. Observation on various plant growth parameters length (shoot and root) in centimeter, weight (fresh) in grams, root-knot index were recorded. The root population were observed by following root staining method (Byrd et al., 1983) The plant tissues were stained in 0.1% acid fuchsin in lacto phenol at 80°C for 2-3 minutes . Then after gentle wash, roots were kept in clear lacto phenol for 24 hours the the roots were observed through Sterio zoom microscope for counting the number of galls, number of egg masses per plant and number of eggs per egg mass and the parasitized egg was calculated through compound microscope. For root gall index evaluating standard scale has been used as described by Taylor and Sasser (1978). After removing the plant from each pot, the soil was mixed well and 250cc soil from each pot was processed by Cobb's sieving and decanting technique (Cobb, 1918) followed by modified Baermann's funnel technique for the estimation of nematode population in soil (Christie and Perry, 1951). After termination the one gram of soil samples were collected from each replications and the Colony Forming Units (CFU) were calculated by serial dilution method (Waksman. 1922). The fungal colonies were identified and confirmed with character recorded in number. All the data viz., nematode population, Plant yield, growth parameters were processed by analysis of variance (ANOVA).

3. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

All the treatments were significantly differ from each other, The treatment contains combination of three method of application *viz.*, P.c (TNAU Pc001) talc formulation as seed treatment @ 8 g/kg seed + nursery application @ 10 g/kg growth media + 5 kg/ha at the time of transplanting (T7), recorded lowest nematode population 81.66/250 cc soil and 8.33/ 5 g of root (>60 per cent reduction over control) and high vegetable yield (880 g/plant) in brinjal (Var PLR 2), Which is 55.3 per cent increase over control (Table 1). This was followed by PC (TNAU Pc001) talc formulation as nursery application @ 10 g/kg growth media +soil application @ 5kg/ha at the time of transplanting combination (T6) recorded 128.33 and 12.33 nematodes in

250 cc soil and in 5 g of root respectively and obtained the yield of 841.66 g/plant (Table 2). In this studies in general the TNAU Pc001 treated potted plants the growth of the brinjal plant and yield was significantly higher than the untreated control when compared to the untreated pots. Pravez *et. al.* (2024) reported that *P. chlamydosporia* emerged as a plant growth promoter and biocontrol agent. *P. chlamydosporia* was effective in promoting plant growth and reducing RKN populations in soil & root in various crops (Ghahremani *et al.* 2019 & Nagesh and Janakiram (2004).

Significantly higher number of *M. incognita* eggs in the egg mass were parasitised by P.*chlamydosporia* and higher Colony Forming Units $(75X10^4)$ were recorded in the combined treatment of P. *chlamydosporia*. which was comparatively less than the standered check, *T. asperillum* application @ 5kg/ha which was recorded as 85×10^3 . *P. chlamydosporia* is a multi trophic microorganism because it parasitizes nematodes, colonizes plant roots and has saprophytic ability. These characteristics are desirable in a biological control agent because they allow the fungus to survive in the soil even in the absence of the nematode (Stirling, 2014).

Lopez-Lloeca *et al.*,2009 also stated that the *P. chlamydosporia* fungus can remain saprophytic in soil in the absence of both plant and nematode hosts. In the rhizosphere, the fungus can colonize the roots of host plants, and several *Pochonia* species have even been reported to show endophytic behavior in some Gramineae and Solanaceae speciesa growth habit that may result in benefits to the host plant defense against soil-borne pathogens Maciá Vicente *et al.* 2009. Crops and plant species that can support more than 200 colony forming units (CFU)/cm² of root are considered to be good hosts of the fungus. Good hosts for *P. chlamydosporia* include beans, cabbage, crotalaria, pigeon pea, potato, pumpkin, and tomato. Chili, sweet potato, cowpea, rye, tobacco, and cotton are moderate hosts (100–200 CFU/cm² of root), whereas poor hosts (<100 CFU/cm² of root), include aubergine, okra, soybean, sorghum, and wheat Bourne *et al.* 1996).

4. CONCLUSION

The result confirmed that the nematode eggparasitic fungus *P. chlamydosporia as talc based* TNAU Pc001 bio product in combined application of seed treatment @ 8g/kg, nursery application @10g/kg growth media and soil application @ 5kg/ha during transplanting in main field in brinjal recorded with higher yield.

Table 1 Effect of different method of application of P. chlamydosporia TNAU Pc001 talcformulation on the yield and growth parameters of Brinjal PLR 2 .

Treatment details	Plant growth characters									
	Shoot	Root	Shoot	Root	No of	Yield/	Yield			
	length		Weight	Weigh	Fruits/	Plant	(t/ha)			
	(cm)	Length	(g)	t	plant	(g)				
		(cm)		(g)						
T1 – P.c (TNAU Pc001) talc										
formulation as seed treatment @	42.66	12.66	63.00	12.33	15.33	616.6	17.12			
8 g/kg seed						(+8.8)				
T2 - P.c (TNAU Pc001) talc										
formulation as nursery							-			
application @ 10 g/kg growth	43.66	13.83	76.66	12.50	15.33	620.00	17.22			
media						(+9.4)				
T3 - P.c (TNAU Pc001) talc										
formulation at	52.66	16.16	149.33	14.66	16.66	711.66	19.76			
transplanting@5kg /ha						(+25.6)				
T4 - T1 + T2	47.33	15.16	117.33	14.66	15.33	633.33	17.57			
					2	(+11.6)				
T5 - T1 + T3	62.00	17.16	175.00	22.66	20.66	816.66	22.68			
				~		(+44.1)				
T6 - T2 + T3	66.66	17.50	198.33	24.00	21.33	841.66	23.37			
						(+48.5)				
T7 - T1 + T2 + T3	82.33	18.00	249.66	25.50	24.00	880.00	24.44			
						(+55.3)				
T8 - Ta (TV 1) seed treatment	63.33	16.66	171.66	20.00	16.00	723.33	20.08			
@4 g/kg + Soil application @ 5						(+27.6)				
kg/ha										
T9 – Untreated control	26.66	9.00	21.33	4.00	14.66	566.66	15.73			
CD (0.05%)	5.19	0.99	15.51	1.70	1.83	34.35	1.42			

Note : Value in the parenthesis indicates percent reduction/increase over untreated control

Treatment details	Nematode population						
	Nematode	Nematode		Eggs/	No. of eggs	of bio	
	population /	population /		mass	parasitized	agent	
	250 cc soil	5 g of root					
T1 – P.c (TNAU Pc001) talc			5				
formulation as seed treatment @ 8	234.67	22.00		313.33			
g/kg seed	(-10.2)	(-13.14)		(-9.4)	12.00	32.66	
T2 - P.c (TNAU Pc001) talc			5				
formulation as nursery application	228.33	21.00		303.00			
@ 10 g/kg growth media	(-12.62)	(-17.0)		(-12.4)	12.33	33.00	
T3 - P.c (TNAU Pc001) talc			3				
formulation at transplanting @10	195.00	17.00		265.33			
kg/ha	(-25.41)	(-32.88)		(-23.3)	13.66	58.00	
T4 - T1 + T2	211.66	18.66	4	240.00			
	(-19.00)	(-26.33)		(-30.6)	13.66	38.33	
T5 - T1 + T3	161.67	14.33	3	158.33			
	(-38.13)	(-43.42)		(-54.33)	18.33	68.00	
T6 - T2 + T3	128.33	12.33	3	151.66			
	(50.9)	(-51.32)		(-56.2)	26.00	68.00	
T7 - T1 + T2 + T3	81.66	8.33	2	134.33			
	(-68.75)	(-67.11)		(-61.1)	37.00	73.00	
T8 – T a (TV 1) seed treatment @ 4	196.66	17.33	4	255.66	6.66	85.66	
g/kg + Soil application@5 kg/ha	(-25.14)	(-31.58)		(26.10)			
(Standard Check)							
T9 – Untreated control	261.33	25.33	5	346.00	0	0	
CD (0.05%)	41.29	2.114		15.98	4.45	6.29	
	V	•		•		-	

Table 2 Effect of different method of application of P. chlamydosporia TNAU Pc001 talcformulation on root-knot nematode population in Brinjal PLR 2 .

Note: value in the parenthesis are percent increase / decreases over control *value in the parenthesis are percent reduction over standard check



Fig.1a



Fig 1b



Fig 1c Fig 1(A-C) Pot culture trial

Disclaimer (Artificial intelligence)

Option 1:

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc.) and text-to-image generators have been used during the writing or editing of this manuscript.

Option 2:

Author(s) hereby declare that generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models, etc. have been used during the writing or editing of manuscripts. This explanation will include the name, version, model, and source of the generative AI technology and as well as all input prompts provided to the generative AI technology and as well as all input prompts provided to the generative AI technology and as well as all input prompts provided to the generative AI technology and as well as all input prompts provided to the generative AI technology and as well as all input prompts provided to the generative AI technology and as well as all input prompts provided to the generative AI technology and as well as all input prompts provided to the generative AI technology and as well as all input prompts provided to the generative AI technology and as well as all input prompts provided to the generative AI technology and as well as all input prompts provided to the generative AI technology and as well as all input prompts provided to the generative AI technology and as well as all input prompts provided to the generative AI technology and as well as all input prompts provided to the generative AI technology and as well as all input prompts provided to the generative AI technology and as well as all input prompts provided to the generative AI technology and as well as all input prompts provided to the generative AI technology and as well as all input prompts provided to the generative AI technology and as well as all input prompts provided to the generative AI technology and as well as all input prompts provided to the generative AI technology and as well as all input prompts provided to the generative AI technology and as well as all input prompts provided to the generative AI technology as a specific a

Details of the AI usage are given below:

1. No AI Technology were used

<mark>2.</mark>

<mark>3.</mark>

REFERENCES:

- Abad P, Favery B, Rosso MN and Castagnone-Sereno P (2003) Root-knot nematode parasitism and host response: molecular basis of a sophisticated interaction. Mol Plant Pathology. 4: 217–224.
- Agrios, G. (2005) Plant Pathology. 5th Edition, Elsevier Academic Press, Amsterdam, 26-27, 398-401.
- Bourne J.M, Kerry B.R, Leij. (1996). The importance of the host plant on the interaction between root-knot nematodes (*Meloidogyne* spp.) and the nematophagous fungus *Verticillium chlamydosporium* Goddard. Biocontrol Science and Technology. 1996; 6: 539–548.
- Byrd, D.W., T. Kirkpatrick and K.R. Barker. (1983) An improved technique for clearing and staining plant tissue for detection of nematodes. Journal of Nematology 14:142-143.
- Cobb N.A. (1918) Estimating the nematode population of soil. US Deptt Agr Bu Plant Ind Agr Tech Cir. 1:1-48.
- Christie & Perry, V. G, 1951, Removing namatodes from soil, Proc. Helminth Soc wash, ,; 18, 106-108
- Ghahremani Z, Escudero N, Saus E, Gabaldón T and Sorribas F J. (2019). *Pochonia chlamydosporia* induces plant-dependent systemic resistance to *Meloidogyne incognita*. Frontiers in Plant Science 10: 945

- Lopez-Llorca LV, Olivares-Bernabeu C, Salinas J, Jansson HB, Kolattukudy PE.(2009) Prepenetration events in fungal parasitism of nematode eggs. Mycological Research. 106:499
- Maciá-Vicente JG, Rosso LC, Ciancio A, Jansson HB, Lopez-Llorca LV. (2009)Colonisation of barley roots by endophytic *Fusarium equiseti* and *Pochonia chlamydosporia*: Effects on plant growth and disease. Annals of Applied Biology 155:391–401.
- Nagesh and Janakiram (2004) Root knot nematode problem in polyhouse roses and its management using dazomet, neem cake and *Pochonia chlamydosporia* (*Verticillium chlamydosporium*) Journal of Ornamental Horticulture New Series 7 (2):147 152
- Noling, J.W.(1999). Nematode management in Tomatoes, Peppers and Eggplant. Florida Cooperative Extension service ENY No. 028. University of florida, USA
- Prevez, R., Rajkumar, Chandiramani, D., Waghmare and R. Surekha (2024). Management of root-knot nematode M. incognita infesting turmeric (curcuma longa) under coconut (coconut nucifera) cropping system. Indian Journal of Agricultural Science. 94, 422-426:
- Sasser JN (1952) Identification of root-knot nematodes (Meloidogyne spp.) by host reaction. Pl Dis Reptr 36:84 86.
- Stirling,G (2014). Biological control of plant parasitic nematodees in sustainable agriculture, No.Ed.2,24: CABI publisher, UK Pp 510.
- Taylor, A., Sasser, J. (1978): Biology, Identification and Control of Root-Knot Nematodes, North Carolina State University Graphics. – International Meloidogyne Project, USA
- Uddin M N, Saifullah S, Ahmad M, Khan W and Khan B M. (2019). Evaluation of *Pochonia chlamydosporia* (Goddard) isolates for suppression of *Meloidogyne incognita*, root-knot nematode of tomato. The Journal of Agricultural Sciences 11: 70–81.
- Waksman S.A (1922) A method for counting the number of fungi in the soil Journal of Bacteriology 7: 339-341.