

Effect of Stocking Density on Feed Efficiency of Caged Broilers Under Hot Climatic Conditions

Abstract

The present study investigates the effect of stocking density on feed consumption and feed conversion ratio (FCR) in caged broilers under hot climatic conditions. A total 72 day-old broiler chicks, were randomly distributed in three groups as treatments of stocking density which, -were further subdivided into eight cages to serve as replicates. The broiler chicks in different treatments of the stocking density at 1 sq. ft. per chick, 1.33 sq. ft. per chick and 2 sq. ft. per chick were evaluated. The results revealed that stocking density did not have any significantly impact on the feed consumption and feed conversion ratio (FCR). However, a trend showed that broilers at moderate stocking density (1.33 sq. ft. per chick) supported the better feed utilization. These findings are in agreement with several studies which reported non-significant impact of stocking density on feed intake and FCR. While higher stocking densities may raise issues related to heat stress during summer season, the present experiment suggests that with proper heat stress and pre-summer management practices, good performance may be obtained, across different stocking densities. Effective management practices are essential for enhancing the feed efficiency and bird welfare in hot climates. This experiment highlights the importance of balancing stocking density and environmental conditions to achieve sustainable broiler production.

Key words: *Heat Stress, FCR, Feed Consumption, Stocking Density and Summer Season*

Introduction

India is the world's second-largest emerging economy. The poultry sector is one of the fastest growing-sectors in India. It has an immense scope for better income generation. India has witnessed an average growth rate of 6 % in egg production and 12 % in broiler production per annum. The total poultry population in India has increased by 16.81% (851.81 million in 2019) compared to 729.21 million poultry in 2012. (BAHS, 2019). At present, the poultry industry is one of the fastest-growing subsectors of animal husbandry in India. Indian broiler industry is growing at the rate of 7-8%. India stands in 3rd position in egg production; however, it is still growing in terms of poultry meat production and stands in 6th position in

the world in terms of broiler production. A rise in consumer demand for poultry is responsible for driving the recent growth of the Indian poultry sector (**BAHS, 2018**).

Poultry meat provides good quality protein, minerals and vitamins to the diet of person consuming it. Also, rearing poultry involves low investment cost, less land and less risk as compared to other enterprises and produces quick returns with good feed conversion efficiency and protein sources (**Bhagat, 2016**). Commercial poultry producers frequently consider increasing the stocking density of breeding birds per pen as a strategy to minimize costs associated with housing, equipment and labour. However, existing literature suggests that elevated stocking densities adversely impact both the economic viability and welfare standards of poultry production. Adverse outcomes associated with high stocking densities include increased mortality rates, higher prevalence of leg disorders and disruptions to normal resting behavior. Moreover, chickens reared under such conditions exhibit slower growth rates, reduced egg production, and elevated mortality (**Van Kampen, 1982; Deaton, 1983** and **Hall, 2001**).

High stocking densities have been associated with reduced growth rates, impaired feed conversion efficiency, increased mortality and behavioural issues such as reduced resting time and aggression (**Estevez, 2007**). These challenges are exacerbated in hot climates, where heat stress further compromises bird performance and welfare. Heat stress disrupts thermoregulation, leading to decreased feed intake, reduced nutrient absorption and heightened susceptibility to diseases (**Cahaner et al., 2008**). The overcrowding are mostly done in an attempt to cover the costs incurred in housing, feeding and medication of poultry birds, also, most farmers know adequate stocking density to use (**Muniz et al., 2006** and **Adebiyi et al., 2011**). India in a sub-tropical region of the world where,- the prevailing macro-climatic conditions are mostly congenial to poultry production. Among the many sub-sectors of agriculture, the livestock sector is gaining momentum in India and within the livestock sector, poultry occupies a premium position (**Prabakaran, 2012**). Water resources, grasslands, and livestock are thus likely to be vulnerable to climate change in this region. Climate change affects animal health mainly through heat stress and increases in vector-borne diseases (**Ramamneh, 2023**). Understanding the interaction between stocking density and environmental factors, such as temperature, is crucial for optimizing feed efficiency in broiler production. Feed efficiency, a key economic indicator in poultry farming, is directly influenced by stocking density through its effects on feed intake, body weight gain, and

energy expenditure (**Dozier et al., 2006**). Therefore, this study aims to evaluate the effect of stocking density on the feed efficiency of caged broilers reared under hot climatic conditions.

Materials and Methods

Experimental Design and Management

The present experiment was carried out on 72 one-day-old broiler chicks raised in battery cages until they were five weeks old during summer season. These chicks were randomly assigned to three different treatments, with each treatment further divided into eight sub-groups to serve as replicates. These chicks were housed in 24 cages, each measuring 2 feet by 2 feet, providing 4 square feet of space per cage. The treatments were as follows: T₁ with 2 square feet per bird (2 chicks in each cage), T₂ with 1.33 square feet per bird (3 chicks in each cage) and T₃ with 1 square foot per bird (4 chicks in each cage).

Data Collection

Data of feed consumption and feed conversion ratio were collected on a weekly basis in the early morning. Feed consumption per bird was calculated by sum of daily feed consumption divided by seven whereas feed conversion ratio was calculated by dividing the total feed consumed by birds with body weight gain. The data was collected from each replicate within the treatments.

Statistical Analysis

The data collected were analysed statistically using Analysis of variance (ANOVA) technique as per **Snedecar and Cochran (2004)**.

Results and Discussion

Feed consumption:

The data presented in Table 1 indicate that the average feed consumption of chicks was highest in T₂ (523.54 g) followed by T₃ (504.67 g) and T₁ (491.27 g). However, there was non-significant difference in feed consumption of broiler chicks among different treatments of stocking density. Chicks in T₂ (1.33 sq. ft per bird) exhibited significantly higher average feed consumption compared to those in T₁ (2 sq. ft per bird) and T₃ (1 sq. ft per bird). However, **Wenjia et al., (2019)** reported that stocking density did not have any significant impact on feed consumption of caged broilers at 15 birds /m² and 18 birds /m². **Bruno et al.,**

(2017) observed that during the first week of age, the feed consumption of broiler chicks was found to be non-significant. This may be attributed to lower feed consumption during the brooding period, adequate feed space, low ammonia levels, and reduced microbial load during the initial period. Whereas, **Nawarathne *et al.*, (2010)** reported that the increasing stocking density resulted in a decrease in average feed intake. However, following the brooding period, when uniform conditions were provided across all treatments, no significant differences ($p > 0.05$) in feed intake were observed among the three treatment groups.

Table 1: Average feed consumption of broiler chicks.

| Treatments | Weekly average feed consumption of broilers (g.) | | | | | |
|----------------|--|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | Week 1 | Week 2 | Week 3 | Week 4 | Week 5 | Mean |
| T ₁ | 123.75 | 333.3 | 495.37 | 613.75 | 889.62 | 491.27 |
| T ₂ | 142.87 | 330.5 | 544.87 | 690.5 | 909 | 523.54 |
| T ₃ | 143 | 339.37 | 530.37 | 628.62 | 882 | 504.67 |
| Mean | 136.54 | 334.58 | 523.53 | 644.29 | 893.54 | 506.49 |
| Results | S* | NS** | S* | NS** | NS** | NS** |

* Significant

** Non-significant

Feed conversion ratio

The data presented in Table 2 indicate that the average feed conversion ratio of broiler chicks was highest in T₃ (1.40) followed by T₂ (1.37) and T₁ (1.37). There were non-significant differences in the average mean feed conversion ratio of broiler chicks among different treatments of stocking density. Chicks in T₂ (1.33 sq. ft per bird) exhibited a significantly higher average feed conversion ratio compared to those in T₁ (2 sq. ft per bird) and T₃ (1 sq. ft per bird). In a comparable study, **Vanhonacker *et al.*, (2009)** reported that consumer perceptions on broiler welfare and noted that increasing stocking density did not significantly impact weekly feed conversion ratio. **Silas *et al.*, (2014)** found that stocking density had no significant effect on the feed conversion ratio in broilers in different stocking densities. **Sujeet *et al.*, (2016)** observed that up to five weeks, the feed conversion ratio remained non-significant. However, a significant difference was observed in the weekly mean feed conversion ratio. Whereas, some other studies reported conflicting results compared to this study. **Petek *et al.*, (2014)** reported a non-significant difference in the feed conversion ratio

($P > 0.05$) among groups with different stocking densities. On the other hand, **Qaid *et al.*, (2016)** found that birds housed at lower stocking densities significantly converted feed more efficiently compared to those stocked at higher densities.

Table 2: Average feed conversion ratio of broiler chicks

| Treatments | Weekly average feed conversion ratio of broilers (g.) | | | | | Mean |
|----------------|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | Week 1 | Week 2 | Week 3 | Week 4 | Week 5 | |
| T ₁ | 1.11 | 1.2 | 1.16 | 1.45 | 1.96 | 1.37 |
| T ₂ | 1.22 | 1.15 | 1.19 | 1.46 | 1.87 | 1.37 |
| T ₃ | 1.21 | 1.14 | 1.24 | 1.35 | 2.07 | 1.40 |
| Mean | 1.18 | 1.16 | 1.19 | 1.42 | 1.96 | 1.38 |
| Results | NS** | S* | S* | NS** | S* | NS** |

* Significant

** Non-significant

Conclusion

The findings of the present experiment suggest that stocking density has a no significant impact on the feed consumption and feed conversion ratio (FCR) of caged broilers under hot climatic conditions. Broiler chicks at a moderate stocking density showed a trend towards higher feed consumption and better feed conversion ratio compared to chicks in lower and higher densities. Although statistically non-significant differences were observed in different treatments of stocking density in present study and the results revealed that moderate stocking density provides an optimal balance between resource utilization and bird welfare. The results are consistent with the idea that stocking density alone may not significantly influence feed intake or FCR when managed properly. With proper heat stress management practices, may help to achieved good performances even at higher stocking densities. Optimizing management practices under hot climatic conditions, is essential to ensure efficient feed utilization and maintain sustainable broiler performance across varying stocking densities.

Disclaimer (Artificial intelligence)

Option 1:

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc.) and text-to-image generators have been used during the writing or editing of this manuscript.

References

- **Adebiyi OA, Adu OA, Olumide MD (2011).** Performance characteristics and carcass quality of broiler chicks under high stocking density fed Vitamin E supplemented diet. *Agric. J.* 6 (5): 264 – 268.
- **AL-Ramamneh, D. (2023).** The effect of adding aqueous mint and lemon to heat-stress broiler's drinking water. *Asian Journal of Research in Animal and Veterinary Sciences*, 11(1), 1-8.
- **BAHS, Basic Animal Husbandry Statistics (2018).** Department of Animal Husbandry, Dairying and Fisheries, Ministry of Agriculture, Government of India. <http://www.dahd.nic.in>.
- **BAHS, Basic Animal Husbandry Statistics (2019).** Department of Animal Husbandry, Dairying and Fisheries, Ministry of Agriculture, Government of India. <http://www.dahd.nic.in>
- **Bhagat, D.J.; Satale, S.S. and Kumar, S.H.A.L.U. (2016).** Effect of dietary supplementation of different levels of black cumin seed (*Nigella sativa* L.) on meat cholesterol in broilers. *Advances in Life Sciences*, 5(8):3016-3020.
- **Bruno, L. D. G., dos Santos Henrique, C., Oliveira, A. F. G., Ferreira, T. S., Silva, E. S., de Mello, B. F. F. R., ... & de Moraes Garcia, E. R. (2017).** Effect of stocking density on performance, carcass yield, productivity, and bone development in broiler chickens Cobb 500®. *Semina: Ciências Agrárias*, 38(4): 2705-2717.
- **Cahaner, A., Leenstra, F., & Heller, E. D. (2008).** Effects of high ambient temperature on growth and feed efficiency of broilers. *Poultry Science*, 87(4): 748–752.
- **Deaton, J. W. (1983).** Alleviation of heat stress for avian egg production—A review. *World's Poult. Sci. J.* 39:210–217.
- **Dozier, W. A., Thaxton, J. P., & Branton, S. L. (2006).** Stocking density effects on broiler growth performance and welfare. *Poultry Science*, 85(3): 344–351.

- **Estevez, I. (2007).** Density allowances for broilers: Where to set the limits? *Poultry Science*, 86(6): 1265–1272.
- **Hall, A. L. (2001).** The effect of stocking density on the welfare and behaviour of broiler chickens reared commercially. *Animal welfare*, 10(1): 23-40.
- **Li WenJia, L. W., Wei FengXian, W. F., Xu Bin, X. B., Sun QuanYou, S. Q., Deng Wen, D. W., Ma HuiHui, M. H., ... & Li ShaoYu, L. S. (2019).** Effect of stocking density and alpha-lipoic acid on the growth performance, physiological and oxidative stress and immune response of broilers. *Asian-Australasian journal of animal science*, 32(12): 1914-1922.
- **Muniz EC, Fascina VP, Pires PP, Carrijo AS, Guimaries EB (2006).** Histomorphology of bursa of fabricius: Effect of stocking density on commercial broilers. *Brit. J. Poult. Sci.* 8: 217 – 220.
- **Nawarathne, S. W. G. M. G., Kumari, M. A. A. P., & Nayananjalie, W. A. D. (2020).** Effect of stocking density at brooding stage on performances and stress response of broiler chickens. 5(2): 58-64.
- **Petek, M., ÜSTÜNER, H., & YEŞİLBAĞ, D. (2014).** Effects of stocking density and litter type on litter quality and growth performance of broiler chicken. *Kafkas Üniversitesi Veteriner Fakültesi Dergisi*, 20(5).743-748.
- **Prabhakaran, R. (2012).** Proc. XXIX National Symposium of IPSACON 2012 held at PDP, Hyderabad during 5-7 th December 2020.
- **Qaid, M., Albatshan, H., Shafey, T., Hussein, E., & Abudabos, A. M. (2016).** Effect of stocking density on the performance and immunity of 1-to 14-d-old broiler chicks. *Brazilian Journal of Poultry Science*, 18: 683-692.
- **Silas, R., Ajayi, F. O., & Ogunlusi, J. A. (2014).** Effect of stocking density on performance, blood parameters, and cost of production of broiler chickens. *International Journal of Poultry Science*, 13(9): 521-527.
- **Snedecor, G. W., & Cochran, W. G. (2004).** *Statistical Methods* (8th ed.). Iowa State University Press.
- **Sujeet Kumar Yadav, Ramesh Pandey, Neeraj (2016).** Effect of Stocking Density and Light Intensity on Feed Efficiency of Caged Broilers. *International Journal of Science and Research*, 5(4): 146-148.
- **Van Kampen, M. (1981).** Thermal influences on poultry. Pages 131–147 in *Environmental Aspects of Housing for Animal Production*. J. A. Clark, ed. Butterworths, London, UK.

- **Vanhonacker, F., Verbeke, W., Van Poucke, E., & Tuytens, F. A. M. (2009).** Perceived importance of sustainability and animal welfare in broiler farming. *Poultry Science*, 88(4):451-457.

UNDER PEER REVIEW