Screening of brinjal varieties for resistance against blight disease caused by *Alternaria alternata* (Fr.) Keissler in Konkan region of Maharashtra, India

Abstract:

Brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.) belonging to family solanaceae, is widely recognized around the globe as "eggplant" due to it's resemblance to the shape of a chicken egg. The field experiment was carried out during *Rabi*, 2022-23 and 2023-24 at Central Experiment Station, Wakawali, Dr. Balasaheb sawant Konkan Krishi Vidyapeeth, Dapoli under natural epiphytotic conditions. Sixty six varieties / cultivars and germplasm lines of brinjal were screened to test their resistance reactions against blight disease of brinjal caused by *Alternaria alternata*. The results revealed that, all the entries of brinjal screened showed varied degree of per cent disease intensity. The highest per cent disease intensity was recorded in Konkan Prabha (41.92%) and was followed by NB-746 (37.91%), Dapoli local-1 (34.62%) and Dapoli local-3 (33.42%). Among 66 entries screened, three entries *viz.*, D-79-19, RCMB-3 and Dapoli pandharivangi sel. were found highly resistant, seven entries *viz.*, Khed local-41, DPL-BR-19, NBH-106, Suwarna Pratibha, DPL-BR-20, N-1007 and NBH-104 resistant, thirty eight entries moderately resistant and eighteen entries of brinjal were moderately susceptible to *A. alternata* incitant of brinjal blight disease.

Keywords: Resistance, Blight, Field, Cultivars, Germplasm, Brinjal, Eggplant *Alternaria* alternata etc.

Introduction:

Brinjal (*Solanummelongena* L.) is a member of the Solanaceae family, commonly called "eggplant" worldwide because of its similarity in shape to a chicken egg. According to Sekara*etal*. (2007), brinjal is thought to have originated in South Asia, especially in the regions of Pakistan and India, around the 3rd century.Brinjal is a bushy herb that stands upright, distinguished by its broad, fuzzy leaves and strong, upright stems, anchored by a fibrous root network. The brinjal fruit is a pendulous, juicy berry that comes in a range of colors, such as green, white, yellow, pink, violet and deep purple(Bhaskar and Kumar, 2015). Unripe brinjal fruits are esteemed for their incorporation into various culinary preparations, including curries. They serve as a significant source of essential vitamins and minerals, notably phosphorus, calcium and iron. A 100 g serving of brinjal generally contains

approximately 25 calories, 1 g of protein, 6 g of carbohydrates and 3 g of dietary fiber. Additionally, it provides moderate quantities of vitamins C, K and B complex, along with minerals such as potassium, magnesium and folate(Bajaj etal., 1981). As an important cash crop, brinjal not only provides income but also generates employment opportunities across its entire production and distribution chain, from farming and harvesting to transportation and marketing. Various factors impact its production and productivity, contributing to low crop yields, with biotic factors being the most significant. The crop is susceptible to a range of diseases caused by fungi, bacteria, viruses and phytoplasmas. Among the various fungal diseases affecting brinjal, leaf blight caused by Alternariaalternata (Fr.) Keissler is the most prevalent and destructive, impacting a wide range of hosts and resulting in both quantitative and qualitative losses. According to Pandey and Vishwakarma (1998), Alternaria species responsible for leaf spot and fruit rot in brinjal contribute to significant yield losses. Pandey and Vishwakarma (1998) noted that Alternaria species Alternaria alternata, Alternaria solani (Rajkaret al., 2021) responsible for leaf spot and fruit rot in brinjal contribute to significant yield reductions. The alternate host crop for brinjal leaf spot pathogen (Alternaria alternata, Alternaria solani) is tomato (Khaire et al., 2021). Balai and Ahir (2013) documented yield reductions of up to 25% in the Jaipur district of Rajasthan due to leaf spot disease caused by Alternariaalternata (Fr.) Keissler. Alternaria leaf blight of brinjal is an important emerging disease in Konkan region of Maharashtra causing considerable yield losses. Varietal screening is a valuable approach for identifying sustainable sources of disease resistance against blight disease in brinjal. By evaluating different cultivars for their resistance to Alternariaalternata, researchers can select varieties that maintain higher yields and quality despite the presence of disease. This method not only contributes to the stability of production but also supports integrated disease management strategies. Furthermore, incorporating resistant varieties into cultivation practices can reduce reliance on chemical fungicides, promoting more environmentally friendly agricultural practices and enhancing the overall sustainability of brinjal farming. In light of the significant impact of diseases, efforts were undertaken to evaluate various varieties / cultivars / germplasm lines under field conditions for their resistance reactions to Alternariaalternata.

Materials and Methods:

The field experiment was carried out at Central Experiment Station, Wakawali, Dr. BSKKV., Dapoli during *Rabi*, 2022-23 and 2023-24 under natural epiphytotic conditions. Sixty six varieties / cultivars and germplasm lines of brinjal were screened to test their

reactions against blight disease of brinjal caused by *A. alternata*. Observations on blight disease intensity were recorded on five randomly selected plants / entry by using 0-9 disease rating scale (Mayee and Datar, 1986) at 15 days interval starting first observation at initiation of the disease.

TABLE 1: Disease rating scale

Rating/ Scale	Leaf covered with the spots				
0	Healthy (Without spots)				
1	< 1% leaf area covered with spots				
3	1-10% leaf area covered with spots				
5	11-25% leaf area covered with spots				
7	26-50% leaf area covered with spots				
9	> 50% leaf area covered with spots				

Further, the data was averaged and per cent disease intensity was calculated using the formula given by Wheeler (1969).

Based on terminal per cent disease intensity, the brinjal entries screened were categorized (Pandey *et al.*, 2003) as follows.

TABLE 2 : Disease intensity categories

Disease intensity(%)	Disease Reactions			
No disease	Highly resistant (HR)			
1 to 10	Resistant (R)			
11 to 25	Moderately resistant (MR)			
26 to 50	Moderately susceptible (MS)			
51 to 75	Susceptible (S)			
76 to 100	Highly susceptible (HS)			

Results and Discussion:

Pooled analysis of data obtained on screening of varieties / cultivars / germplasm lines with respect to terminal disease intensity (%) of blight disease (Table 3) revealed that all the entries of brinjal screened showed varied degree of per cent disease intensity. Disease intensity of blight disease in screened entries was ranged between 0.00 to 41.92 per cent. The highest per cent disease intensity was recorded in Konkan Prabha (41.92%) and was followed by NB-746 (37.91%), Dapoli local-1 (34.62%) and Dapoli local-3 (33.42%). Three entries of brinjalviz., D-79-19, RCMB-3 and Dapolipandharivangi sel. were found highly resistant to Alternariablight disease where no disease incidence was recorded. Seven entries of brinjalviz., Khed local-41 (6.58%), DPL-BR-19 (6.62%), NBH-106 (6.97%), Suwarna Pratibha (7.89%), DPL-BR-20 (8.67%), N-1007 (8.72%) and NBH-104 (9.02%) were found resistant to blight disease with disease intensity in the range of 6.58 to 9.02 per cent. Thirty eight entries of brinjal viz., DPL-BR-12 (10.02%), BB-64 (10.13%), DPL-BR-2 (10.40%), DPL-BR-13 (11.12%), DPL-BR-1 (11.23%), DPL-BR-17 (11.51%), BRBW-5 (12.68%), ArkaNilkanth (13.13%), Lanja local (13.57%), Singanath (15.30%), DPL-BR-5 (15.39%), DPL-BR-23 (15.54%), DPL-BR-10 (15.83%), DPL-BR-22 (15.89%), DPL-BR-8 (16.19%), Harita (16.54%), DPL-BR-6 (16.66%), DPL-BR-14 (16.71%), DPL-BR-4 (17.97%), Arka Nidhi (18.19%), NBH-101 (18.70%), DPL-BR-9 (18.74%), Asond local (18.84%), Bantiware local (18.85%), DPL-BR-3 (19.04%), Mulde local (19.10%), BGTP-1 (19.63%), DPL-BR-16 (19.84%), BB-54 (20.14%), Bholenath (20.16%), BB-60C (20.61%), Kali Rawai (20.63%), Panhalekazi local (21.65%), BGTP-2 (22.48%), DPL-BR-18 (22.88%), PPC (23.20%), Sadave local (23.86%) and Kasral local (24.86%) were found moderately resistant to blight disease with disease intensity in the range of 10.02 to 24.86 per cent. Eighteen entries of brinjalviz., Surya (25.17%), SM-66 (25.33%), DPL-BR-11 (26.61%), Sushivare local (26.62%), Dapoli local-4 (26.67%), DPL-BR-7 (26.91%), CHES-249 (27.34%), DPL-BR-25 (28.06%), DPL-BR-21 (28.07%), Dapoli local-5 (28.64%), DPL-BR-15 (29.38%), Manja local (29.62%), Dapoli local-2 (30.08%), DPL-BR-24 (31.63%), Dapoli local-3 (33.42%), Dapoli local-1 (34.62%), NB-746 (37.91%) and Konkan Prabha (41.92%) were found moderately susceptible to blight disease with disease intensity in the range of 25.17 to 41.92 per cent. Among the screened entries of brinjal, none of the variety/ germplasm/ cultivar was found susceptible or highly susceptible to the blight disease.

The results of present study are on the same line with the findings of several earlier workers.Balai *et al.* (2013) evaluated 14 varieties of brinjal against *A. alternata*. Three varieties *viz.*, PusaRiturai, PusaAnkar and Pant Samrat exhibited moderately resistant reaction, remaining showed moderately susceptible and susceptible reaction against

disease.Jakatimath (2016) evaluated brinjal genotypes against fruit rot disease caused by *A. alternata* and reported among the tested entries two genotypes *viz.*, CBB-3 and CBB-26 were exhibited resistant reaction. Sudani (2023) screened 11 genotypes of brinjal against leaf spot disease incited by *A.alternata*. Among them, 2 genotypes *viz.*, JBL-21-05 and JBL-21-04 showed moderately resistant reaction, 6 genotypes *viz.*, JBL-21-09, JBL-21-12, JBL-21-03, JBR-21-02, JBL-21-11 and JBL-21-06 exhibited moderately susceptible reaction and 3 genotypes *viz.*, JBL-21-08, JBL-21-07 and JBR-21-01 were found susceptible against leaf spot disease of brinjal.

Table3: Screening of brinjal varieties, cultivars and germplasm lines against Alternariaalternata causing blight disease

Sr. No.	Variety / Germplasm / Cultivars	Per cent Disease Intensity (PDI)				
		Rabi, 2022-23	Rabi, 2023-24	Pooled	Reaction	
1 Konkan Prabha	Konkan Prabha	38.34	45.50	41.92	MS	
1.	1. Konkan Prabha	(38.25)*	(42.41)	(40.35)		
2.	Suwarna Pratibha	8.35	7.44	7.89	R	
۷.		(16.79)	(15.82)	(16.31)		
3.	Bholenath	22.13	18.20	20.16	MR	
3.		(28.06)	(25.25)	(26.67)		
4.	PPC	26.15	20.25	23.20	MR	
4.		(30.75)	(26.74)	(28.79)		
5.	BB-60C	18.62	22.60	20.61	MR	
3.	22 000	(25.56)	(28.38)	(26.99)	1/111	
6.	BB-64	11.14	9.12	10.13	MR	
0.		(19.49)	(17.57)	(18.55)	1,111	
7.	BB-54	18.19	22.10	20.14	MR	
7.	BB 3 1	(25.24)	(28.04)	(26.66)	1,114	
0	Kali Rawai	23.07	18.20	20.63	MR	
8.	71011 7 1011 102	(28.70)	(25.25)	(27.01)	1,111	
0	Arka Nilkanth	15.86	10.40	13.13	MR	
9.	7 II Ku 1 (II Kuitu)	(23.46)	(18.81)	(21.24)	1711	
10.	Singanath	16.67	13.93	15.30	MR	
10.	Singunum	(24.09)	(21.91)	(23.02)	1,111	
1.1	Lanja local	15.05	12.10	13.57	MR	
11.	Lanja 100ai	(22.82)	(20.35)	(21.61)	1711	
10	12. Sadave local	29.07	18.65	23.86	MR	
12.		(32.62)	(25.58)	(29.23)	IVIIC	
12	D-79-19	0.00	0.00	0.00	HR	
13.	D-17-17	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	1111	
1.4	14. Manja local	28.56	30.68	29.62	MS	
14.		(32.30)	(33.63)	(32.97)	1110	
1.5	Sushivare local	24.85	28.40	26.62	MS	
15.	Submitude Total	(29.90)	(32.20)	(31.06)	1.10	

16.	Panhalekazi local	23.68	19.60	21.65	MR
10.		(29.11)	(26.27)	(27.72)	
17.	Asond local	17.56	20.13	18.84	MR
17.		(24.77)	(26.65)	(25.72)	
18.	BGTP-1	22.67	16.60	19.63	MR
10.		(28.43)	(24.04)	(26.29)	
19.	CHES-249	29.58	25.10	27.34	MS
17.		(32.94)	(30.06)	(31.52)	
20.	SM-66	28.13	22.53	25.33	MS
20.		(32.03)	(28.33)	(30.21)	
21.	BGTP-2	21.36	23.60	22.48	MR
21.		(27.52)	(29.06)	(28.30)	
22.	Kasral local	27.85	21.87	24.86	MR
22.		(31.85)	(27.88)	(29.90)	
23.	RCMB-3	0.00	0.00	0.00	HR
23.		(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	<u> </u>
24.	NB-746	39.03	36.80	37.91	MS
27.		(38.66)	(37.34)	(38.00)	
25.	Dapoli local-1	36.56	32.68	34.62	MS
23.		(37.20)	(34.86)	(36.04)	
26.	Dapoli local-2	28.49	31.68	30.08	MS
20.	<u> </u>	(32.25)	(34.25)	(33.26)	
27.	Dapoli local-3	38.49	28.36	33.42	MS
21.	1	(38.34)	(32.17)	(35.31)	
28.	Dapoli local-4	29.16	24.18	26.67	MS
20.	1	(32.68)	(29.45)	(31.09)	
29.	Dapoli local-5	25.49	31.79	28.64	MS
27.	1	(30.32)	(34.32)	(32.35)	
30.	NBH-101	19.17	18.24	18.70	MR
50.		(25.96)	(25.28)	(25.62)	
31.	NBH-104	8.89	9.15	9.02	R
31.		(17.34)	(17.60)	(17.47)	
32.	NBH-106	7.56	6.39	6.97	R
32.		(15.95)	(14.64)	(15.30)	
33.	N-1007	9.64	7.80	8.72	R
33.		(18.08)	(16.61)	(17.17)	
34.	Khed local	8.56	4.60	6.58	R
3 1.		(17.01)	(12.38)	(14.86)	
35.	Arka Nidhi	19.52	16.86	18.19	MR
55.		(26.21)	(24.24)	(25.24)	
36.	Bantiware local	18.33	19.39	18.85	MR
50.		(25.34)	(26.12)	(25.73)	
37.	Harita	19.48	13.60	16.54	MR
51.		(26.19)	(21.64)	(23.99)	
ļ	Surya	28.00	22.34	25.17	MS
38		(21.04)	(28.20)	(30.11)	
38.		(31.94)	(20.20)		
	Mulde local	18.90	19.31	19.10	MR
38. 39. 40.	•		`		MR MR

		(18.54)	(22.97)	(20.86)	
	D 1'D 11 ' ' 1	0.00	0.00	0.00	IID
42.	DapoliPandharivangi sel.	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	HR
	DDI DD 1	9.62	12.85	11.23	MD
43.	DPL-BR-1	(18.06)	(21.00)	(19.57)	MR
	DDL DD 4	12.48	8.32	10.40	MD
43.	DPL-BR-2	(20.68)	(16.76)	(18.81)	MR
	DDI DD 2	21.32	16.76	19.04	MD
44.	DPL-BR-3	(27.49)	(24.16)	(25.87)	MR
	DDI DD 4	16.54	19.40	17.97	MD
45.	DPL-BR-4	(23.99)	(26.13)	(25.08)	MR
	DDI DD C	14.32	16.47	15.39	MD
46.	DPL-BR-5	(22.23)	(23.94)	(23.09)	MR
	DDI DD (17.78	15.54	16.66	MD
47.	DPL-BR-6	(24.93)	(23.21)	(24.08)	MR
	DDL DD 7	31.33	22.49	26.91	MC
48.	DPL-BR-7	(34.03)	(28.30)	(31.24)	MS
	DDI DD 0	18.62	13.76	16.19	MD
49.	DPL-BR-8	(25.56)	(21.77)	(23.72)	MR
	DDI DD 0	22.48	15.01	18.74	MD
50.	DPL-BR-9	(28.30)	(22.79)	(25.65)	MR
	DDI DD 10	18.90	12.76	15.83	MD
51.	DPL-BR-10	(25.76)	(20.92)	(23.44)	MR
	DDI DD 11	22.36	30.86	26.61	MC
52.	DPL-BR-11	(28.22)	(33.74)	(31.05)	MS
	DDI DD 12	8.69	11.36	10.02	MD
53.	DPL-BR-12	(17.74)	(19.69)	(18.45)	MR
	DDI DD 12	12.45	9.80	11.12	MD
54.	DPL-BR-13	(20.66)	(18.24)	(19.47)	MR
	DPL-BR-14	18.75	14.68	16.71	MD
55.	DPL-BR-14	(25.65)	(22.52)	(24.12)	MR
	DDI DD 15	28.60	30.16	29.38	MC
56.	DPL-BR-15	(32.32)	(33.31)	(33.01)	MS
	DPL-BR-16	22.18	17.51	19.84	MR
57.	DPL-DK-10	(28.09)	(24.73)	(26.45)	MIK
	DPL-BR-17	9.47	13.56	11.51	MR
58.	DFL-DK-1/	(17.92)	(21.60)	(19.83)	IVIK
	DPL-BR-18	22.01	23.75	22.88	MR
59.	DPL-DK-16	(27.97)	(29.16)	(28.57)	IVIK
	DPL-BR-19	4.56	8.69	6.62	R
60.	DFL-BK-19	(12.32)	(17.14)	(14.90)	K
-1	DPL-BR-20	7.12	10.23	8.67	R
61.	Dr L-DR-20	(15.47)	(18.65)	(17.12)	IX
	DPL-BR-21	26.56	29.58	28.07	MS
62.	DI L-DR-21	(31.02)	(32.94)	(31.99)	CIVI
	DPL-BR-22	13.47	18.32	15.89	MR
63.	DI L-DR-22	(21.53)	(25.34)	(23.49)	IVIIX
- 1	DPL-BR-23	11.62	19.46	15.54	MR
64.	Dr L-DR-23	(19.93)	(26.17)	(23.21)	IVIIX
	L	(-/-//	(=0.17)	(20.21)	1

65.	DPL-BR-24	33.14 (35.14)	30.13 (33.29)	31.63 (34.22)	MS
66.	DPL-BR-25	27.20 (31.43)	28.92 (32.53)	28.06 (31.98)	MS

Conclusion:

From two consecutive years of screening trial, it is concluded that among sixty six brinjal varieties/ germplasm lines and cultivars evaluated against blight disease of brinjal under natural epiphytotic conditions, namely D-79-19, RCMB-3 and Dapolipandharivangi sel. are resistant to blight disease of brinjal incited by *A. alternata*.

Disclaimer (Artificial intelligence)

Option 1:

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc.) and text-to-image generators have been used during the writing or editing of this manuscript.

Option 2:

Author(s) hereby declare that generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models, etc. have been used during the writing or editing of manuscripts. This explanation will include the name, version, model, and source of the generative AI technology and as well as all input prompts provided to the generative AI technology

Details of the AI usage are given below:

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.

References:

- Bajaj, K.L., Kaur, G., Chadha, M.L. and Singh, B.P. 1981. Polyphenol oxidase and other chemical constituents in fruits of eggplant (*S. melongenaL.*) varieties. Vegetable Sci. 8:37-44.
- Balai, L.P, Ahir, R.R.S. and Yadav, M. 2013. Varietal screening of brinjal genotypes against leaf spot of disease caused by *Alternaria alternata*. Environ. Ecology 31 (3): 1276—1278.
- Balai, L.P. and Ahir, R.R. 2013. Survey and occurrence of leaf spot of brinjal caused brinjal by *Alternaria alternata* (Fr.) Keissler in Jaipur district. Advances in Life Sci.2: 71-72.
- Bhaskar, B. and Ramesh, K.P. 2015. Genetically modified (GM) crop face an uncertain

- future in India: Bt Brinjal Appraisal A perspective, Annals Pl. Sci. 4(2): 960-975.
- Jakatimath, S. 2016. Etiology and management of fruit rot ofbrinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.) caused by *Alternariaalternata*, *Colletotrichum melongena* eand *Phomopsis vexans*. Uni. Horticulture Sci., Bagalkot. M.Sc. (Pl. Patho.) thesis.
- Khaire P. B, Mane S. S and Pawar S. V (2021) Identification and management of fungal diseases of tomato-a review. Agri meet Multidisciplinary Magazine. 01(01).
- Mayee, C.D. and Datar, V.V. 1986. Phytopathometry. Tech Bull-1 Marathwada Agric. Univ., Parbhani. PP: 66.
- Pandey, K.K. and Vishwakarma, S.N. 1998. Morphological and symptomatological variations in *Alternaria alternata*causing leaf blight in brinjal. J. Myco. Pl. Path. 29: 350-353.
- Pandey, K.K., Pandey, P.K., Kalloo, G. and Banerjee, M.K. 2003.Resistance to early blight of tomato with respect to various parameters of disease epidemics. J. General Pl. Path. 69: 364-371.
- Rajkar, S., Zacharia, S. and Bawane, A.S. 2021. Eco-friendly management of *Alternaria* leaf spot of brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.) Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 10(07): 20-29.
- Sekara, A., Cebula, S. and Kunicki, E. 2007. Cultivated eggplants origin, breeding objectives and genetic resources, A Review, Folia Horticulture Ann, 19(1): 97-114
- Sudani, D.P. 2023. *Alternaria* leaf spot (*Alternaria alternata* (Fr.) Keissler) of brinjal and its management. JAU, Junagadh. M.Sc. (Pl. Patho.) thesis.
- Wheeler, B.E.J. 1969. An introduction to Plant Diseases. John Wiley and sons Ltd., London.







FIGURE 1 :General view of experimental plot



D-79-19 Dapoli pandhari vangi sel.



Konkan Prabha (Susceptible)

FIGURE 2 : Different Brinjal varieties