

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_130061
Title of the Manuscript:	Integrating Deep Learning and Ensemble Techniques for Improved Epileptic Seizure Detection
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript highlights the basic root problem faced by the patients. The data base considered is a preprocessed data set for which pre processing procedure is mentioned, which can be excluded. The study should diagnosing tools for analysis.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No Performance analysis of Integrated DeepLearning and Ensemble Techniques on Epileptic seizure Data sets	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Include the statements which will justify the title of the work. The performance of the model should be discussed in brief stating it's importance for society.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The model performance will generally depend upon the data sets and the features considered for analysis. The discussion in the manuscript is scientifically correct, however the combination of the voting classifier used in the work should contain some details as it uses 2 different data sets	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Sufficient	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	Statistical analysis if represented in charts would be attractive for viewing.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	C V Keerthi Latha
Department, University & Country	Stanley College of Engineering and Technology for Women, India