

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">South Asian Research Journal of Natural Products</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SARJNP_130215
Title of the Manuscript:	Preparation and characterization of some oxazolidine-5-one derivatives and evaluation of their biological activity
Type of the Article	

#### **PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	Manuscript is useful for another possibility of reactions or not for further research work but here i find lack of providing method in detail which is more important for the future research scholar. In manuscript, biological activity was provided but in detail data was not given, i think this is more required for future research.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Yes	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	Yes, in the abstract name of derivative written "oxazolidinone-5-one" that is not correct according to iupac. It should like "oxazolidine-5-one". In the third line monitored and described by determining...meaning of the sentence not completed. Fourth line, the completeness (should like the completion...). One more change is needed that s. <i>Epidermidis</i> not <i>staph</i> .	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	Yes	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	Yes, references should as guideline of journal.	

### Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, but, if possible, make it more improve. Some sentences were not suggesting proper meaning.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>Oxazolidine-5-one; in some sentences name wasnot correctly written. Method of scheme was not explained properly. Informations of instruments for data were not mention and also from where data were derived was not mention also. Biology activity was not described. In references molecular formula was not written in formula form.</p> <p><b>INTRODUCTION</b> Heterocyclic Chemistry Is One Of The Most Interesting Scientific Fields And Has Excellent Practical And One Of The Most Fascinating Scientific Disciplines, (MAKE SENTENCE CLEAR), Heterocyclic Chemistry Has Great (VAST) Theoretical And Practical Applications. To Sum Up, The Purpose Of This Work Is To Create New Five-Ring Compounds From Oxazolidinone-5-One By Reacting WITH Prepared Schiff Bases WITH AND Chloroacetic Acid While Dioxane Is Present As A Solvent. The Biological Activity Of These Compounds Against Two Different Kinds Of Gram-Positive And Gram-Negative Bacteria WITH TO Be Assessed. IN 2.2 Preparation Part NAME OF DERIVATIVE WRITTEN "OXAZOLIDINONE-5-ONE" THAT IS NOT CORRECT ACCORDING TO IUPAC. IT SHOULD LIKE "OXAZOLIDINE-5-ONE". IN SCHEME 5 MINUTES WRITTEN WHILE IN DESCRIPTION 5 HOURS. CLEAR IT. Preparation Of Schiff Bases Was Not Described. The Mixture Was Raised...SENTENCE DOESN NOT SUGGESTING PROPER MEANING. IN 2.3 BIOLOGICAL ACYIVITY Instead Of The Amount Of Chemical Produced And Also MI Should Write. In Data Explanation <math>\text{Cm}^{-1}</math>, <math>^{13}\text{C}</math> And <math>^1\text{H}</math> Were Not Written. Table (2) Unit Was Not Written Which Is Necessary Part For All Data. Units Should Write With Proper Way Like MI But Which Were Not Written In Proper Manner. THE MOST IMPORTANT THE DATA LIKE FTIR, <math>^1\text{H}</math> NMR, <math>^{13}\text{C}</math> NMR AND ALSO ELEMANTRY ANALYSIS PERFORMED AT WHICH PLACE WAS NOT MENTION IN PAPER. FIGURE (7).... S. <i>Epidermidis</i>. THERE WERE SO MANY SENTENCES WHICH WERE NOT CPOMPLETED OR MEANINGLESS.</p>	

### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Manishkumar Jinabhai Tank
Department, University & Country	Sir P. T. Sarvajanic College of Science, India