OriginalResearchArticle

A Study on The Socio-Economic Status of Street Vegetable Vendors in Sambalpur City

ABSTRACT

Aims: Street vending is an essential activity of informal/unorganized sectors in all underdeveloped and developing countries. Street vendors play a vital role in the urban informal economy. Street vendors occupy a significant place in the total employment of the informal sector, despite that they face many challenges and biases from local government and are also deprived of urban policies and regulations.

The study aims to studythesocioeconomicstatusandworkingpatternsofthestreetvegetablevendors of Sambalpur City.

Methodology: The study investigates the socio-economic condition of vegetable vendors in Sambalpur city. The 385 respondents were surveyed using structured questionnaires. The data were analyzed and interpreted using graphs, charts, pie diagrams, and percentage calculations.

Results: Male vegetable vendors out number female vegetable vendors and they are found to be poor in economic conditions. The socioeconomic condition of the street vegetable vendors is low.

Conclusion: The socioeconomic status of the vegetable vendors' vegetable condition is not up to the mark. Both males and females are engaged in this occupation. Many people prefer to opt for this occupationregardlessofreligionandcaste. Veryfew Government programs and policies are available for them. More programs and policies may be formulated to strengthen their skills in this occupation.

Keywords:Socioeconomicstatus, streetvegetablevendors, urbanpolicies, unorganized sectors

1. INTRODUCTION

Streetvendingisaveryessentialactivityofinformal/unorganizedsectorsinallunderdeveloped and developing countries (Baliyan & Srivastava, 2016). Street vendors play a vital role in the urban informaleconomy. Street vendorsoccupya significantplace in thetotalemploymentof the informal

sector, despite that street vendors face a lot of challenges and biases from local government and are also deprived of urban policies and regulations (Chakraborty & Koley, 2018). Urban poor generally prefer street vendingtoearn their livelihood, asit requiresvery littlecapital and minimumskills though the income is too low (Banerjee, 2014).

A lot of studies have been done on the socio-economic status of the vendors. Most of the vendors hail from lower socio-economic strata of the society. The majority of the sample respondents of different research papers based on the socioeconomic status of the vendors are found to be illiterate (Bhubanswari & Venkatachalam, 2019; Varghese, 2016). They donot posses sthere quire deducational qualification stoenable them to find better-paid jobs in the formal sector (Vaghese, 2016). In one of the articles, it is found that the socioeconomic status of female street vendors is not as good as malestreet vendors (Baliyan & Srivastava, 2016). Female street vendors face more problems than male street vendors (Baliyan & Srivastava, 2016; Banerjee, 2014; Chakraborty & Koley, 2018). It is also revealed that the income of womens treet vendors is lower than that of male vendors because of poverty (Banerjee, 2014). It is also found that the health condition of street vendors is being deteriorated by long working hours (Karthikeyan & Mangales waram, 2014; Banerjee, 2014)

The pattern of subsistence in the daily market was not comfortable for street vendors, and most of the street vendors were not fit on the street daily market although they had better options for livingtheirlives. Previously street vendors were often considered small-scale businesses and identical to the urban poor group but nowadays street vendors come from highly educated circles, with big capital, bigearnings, and modern business means like motorcycles and cars (Hemawatiet. al, 2017). It is found that the majority of the street vendors are male (Kansara & Gill, 2019; Pappes wari & Rajalaxmi, 2011). Most of the street vendors belong to the young age group (20-40) and 65 percent of the respondents live in a nuclear family system (Pappeswari & Rajalaxmi, 2011). As most of the vendors face many problems in their work place, it is suggested that there is a need to take care of their health, and job and to provide them job security and better working conditions (Kansara & Gill, 2019).

In Sambalpur City, street vending plays a dominant role in catering daily needs of the inhabitants. Street vendors having different socio-economic backgrounds are engaged in this occupation. Very few studies have been conducted on the socioeconomic condition of the vegetable vendorsofadjacentareasofSambalpurdistrict.NostudieshavebeenfoundinthisregardinSambalpur city.

- 1. Lack of clarity in general background and specific background
- 2. Need to correlate general background and specific background
- 3. Research problem/s missing (highlight in brief)
- 4. Highlight the statement of the problem and why you carry out this research work
- 5. How is your different from other research work done in odisha

The main objectives of the study are

- 1. To study the socio-economic status of the vegetable vendors in Sambalpur city.
- 2. To study the working pattern of the vegetable vendors.

Commented [u1]:

2. MATERIALANDMETHOD

Study Area

The present study area is Sambal pur. ``Itis located at 21°.27' North Latitude and 83°.58' East Longitude in the western part of Odisha and is one of the large standoldest cities in the state. The average

elevation is 150.75 meters (494.6 ft) above the mean sea level. Sambalpur falls under the Zone-3 seismic number, which shows the possibility of an earthquake. Sambalpur city is also the biggest administrative,commercial,andeducationalhubinwesternOdisha.Accordingtothe2011census,the city has a total geographical area of 50.75 km², with an urban/metropolitan population of 269,565, of whom138,826aremalesand130,749arefemales.ThisincludesBurlaandHirakud,withapopulation density of 5300/km²and a literacy rate of 85.69%; male literacy is 90.30 and female literacy is 80.92 percent.TheeconomyofSambalpurcityisdependentontrade.Mostoftheresidentsareeithersalaried orself-employedandforestproductsplayanimportantroleinthecontributiontorevenueanddomestic product."




Fig1:LocationmapofSambalpurCity

Methodology

For the present study, primary data have been collected from the street vegetable vendors throughastructuredinterviewschedule. Theresearcher collected data about the socio-economic status of the vendors as well as their working patterns. As the universe of population is very small census method was used to collect the data. Primary data have been collected from 385 vegetable vendors from four major market areas of Sambalpur city. The sample size is calculated using the Cochran's formula.

"The Cochran formula is:

$$n_0 = \frac{Z^2 pq}{e^2}$$

Where:

- eisthedesiredlevelofprecision(i.e.,themargin oferror),
- pisthe(estimated)proportion ofthepopulation thathastheattributeinquestion,
- qis1–p.

TheZ valuewouldbeobtainedfromtheZ table."

$$n_0 = \frac{Z^2 pq}{e^2}$$

 $=((1.96)^2(0.5)(0.5))/(0.5)^2$

=385

The market area includes Ainthapali, Lakshmi Talkies Chowk to Swimming pool area, Municipality Chowk, and Dhanupali and Govindtola area. The interview schedule is divided into two parts. The first partincludesquestionsrelatedtothesocio-economicstatusofthevegetablevendorslikeage, gender, educational qualification, marital status, religion, caste, place of residence, type of house, agricultural land, daily income, monthly income of the family, daily expenditure on a household, daily expenditure on transport, family size, number of working populations, etc. The second part includes questions related to theworking pattern ofthevendorslike marketfunctioning time, business hours, peak period of selling, return time from market, etc.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Socio-economic status of vegetable vendors

Gender

Outof the total 385 sampled vegetablevendorsof SambalpurCity half of the respondents are male/ (50.1%) and half of the respondents are female (49.9%). It implies that there is a minimal difference found between male and female respondents. Studies by Sharma & Dahal, 2023; Essays & Mulugeta, 2020; Sugathan & Vyas, 2020; and Varghese, 2016 found that more male members are involved in street vending activities than female members. Very few studies show the involvement of more females in street vending activities.




Figure:2DistributionofRespondentsasper Gender

Age

The social status of the vendors explains that the majority of the respondents are in the age group between 30-60 years. Out of 385 respondents, 39% of the respondents fall in the age group of 31-45 years and a sizable proportion of the respondents are in the age group of 46-60 years. 15 % of therespondentscomeundertheagegroupof15-30 years and 8.6% of the respondents are in the age group of 61-75. The reason for the old population engaging in street vending activities is that some of themprefer todothework astheyarehealthyand fitenough for the work and others are compelled to do that work as they belong to very poor backgrounds. Due to a lack of job opportunities in the formal sector, young age group people are involved in the informal sector mainly in street vending activities (Chakraborty & Koley, 2018, Essays & Mulugeta, 2020, Sugathan & Vyas, 2020, Bhuvaneswari & Venkatachalam, 2019, Varghese, 2016).

Placeofresidence

From the study, it is found that the majority of the respondents (57.1%) belong to the urban area and less than half of the respondents (42.9%)belong to the rural area. It is observed that though inurbanareasvariousworkopportunities prevail people are interested in street vending. In the studies of Pushpalatha & Punnavanam, 2020 and Pradeesh, 2020 most of the street vendors are from urban areas. Urban areas provide job opportunities to rural people and it leads to rural-urban migration.

Religion

Inthecaseofthereligiousbackgroundofthestreetvendors, only 2.9% of the respondents are Muslim and the rest of the respondents (97.1%) are Hindu. No other religion is found in the market areas of Sambalpur city. This occupation is dominated by the Hindupeople of Sambalpur city. More

HinduStreetvendorswerefoundinthestudiesofSharma&Aye,2023;Sugathan&Vyas,2020;Kansra & Gill, 2019; and Baliyan & Srivastava, 2016 than other religious people.

Category

If we look into the caste of the vendors, it is revealed that half of the respondents (50.6%) belong to the SC category. 12.5 % of the respondents belong to the ST category. 23.1% of the respondents are from the OBC category. The rest of the respondents (13.8%) come under the Non-SC/ST/OBC category. In the studies like Sharma et.al, 2023; Kansra & Gill, 2019; Karthikeyan & Mangaleswaran, 2014; Baliyan & Srivastava, 2016; most of the street vendors belong to the general category or OBC category. This indicates that street vending as an informal work is pursued by every categoryirrespectiveofsocialstatusfortheirsurvival. However, inourstudy, mostofthestreetvendors belong to the SC category.




Figure:3DistributionofRespondentsasper Category

Maritalstatus

From the study, it was found that the majority of the respondents (76.5%) are married. Only 11.5%oftherespondentsareunmarried.Withinthemarket,itisfoundthat11%oftherespondentsare widows and only 1 % of the respondents are separated women. Most street vendors are found to be married as they had to earn their livelihood and provide basic needs to their families through street vending (Sharma et al., 2023; Chakraborty & Koley, 2018; Sugathan & Vyas, 2020; Bhuvaneswari & Venkatachalam, 2019; Baliyan & Srivastava, 2016; Pradeesh, 2020; Kansra & Gill, 2019; Karthikeyan & Mangaleswaran, 2014).




Figure: 4Distribution of Respondents as per Marital Status

_Educationalqualifications__

This study identifies that most of the respondents (40.5%) are illiterate. 28.3% of respondents have studied up to the primary level. In the next category i.e. up to the secondary level the percentage of respondents is only 23.4%. Very few respondents (5.2%) have studied up to a higher secondary level and only 2.6% of the respondents have studied adegree and above. Most street vendors in the studies are found illiterate or acquired up to the primary level of education or can only write the ir names (Sharma et al., 2023; Chakraborty & Koley, 2018; Sugathan & Vyas, 2020; Bhuvaneswari & Venkatachalam, 2019; Baliyan & Srivastava, 2016; Pradeesh, 2020; Kansra & Gill, 2019; Karthikeyan & Mangaleswaran, 2014; Esayas & Mulugeta, 2020). The study also found that most female vegetable vendors are illiterate (67.2%). The share of female vegetable vendors do not possess higher secondary, degrees, and above qualifications. It implies that they may not get scope after secondary education.



Figure:5DistributionofRespondentsbyEducational Qualifications

Typeof family

Asmanypeoplemigratedfromruraltourbanareasforbusiness, theylivedinnuclearfamilies. Thepresentstudyrevealsthatthevastmajority(71.4%)oftherespondentsbelongtothenuclearfamily and 28.5 % belong to the joint family. The results make it clear that having a small family is favored becauseitiseasiertomaintainfinancially.(Sharmaetal.,2023;Sugathan&Vyas,2020;Bhuvaneswari &Venkatachalam,2019;Baliyan&Srivastava,2016;Kansra&Gill,2019;Pushpalatha&Punnavanam, 2020;Selvakumaretal,2014).InthestudiesofKarthikeyan&Mangaleswaran,2014mostrespondents live in a joint family.

Family size

Familysizeindicatesthetotalnumberof membersinthefamily.Fromthestudy,itisfoundthat nearly half of the respondents (49.4%) have a family size of 1-4 people. A large proportion of the population (44.9%) have a family size of 5-8 people and only 5.7% of the respondents have family membersof9andabove.Bhuvaneswari&Venkatachalam,2019;Pradeesh,2020intheirarticlefound thatthemajorityoftherespondentshavesmallfamilies.However,inthestudyofKansra&Gill,2019it was found that most of the respondents have 6-7 family members in their family.

Numberofchildren

Themajorityoftherespondents (68.6%) have below four children. As 11.5% are unmarried, 13.5% of respondents have no children. The rest of the respondents (17.4%) have 4 and above 4 children. In the study of Karthikeyan & Mangaleswaran, 2014, it was found that half of the respondents have two children. It indicates that most of the respondents prefer small family sizes.

House ownership

Morethan75% of therespondents havetheir ownhouse. Another24.41% are livingin rented houses.Pushpalatha&Punnavanam,2020;Kansra&Gill,2019;Karthikeyan&Mangaleswaran,2014, in their studies also found that most of the respondents have their own houses and very few live in rentedhouses.However,inthestudiesofVarghese,2016,themajorityoftherespondentsliveinrented houses, and only 35% have their own house.

Typeof house

The data shows that the majority of the respondents (42.9%) live in a kaccha house. 33.2% have semi-pucca houses, and a smaller number (23.9%) have pucca houses.




Figure:6DistributionofRespondentsbyType ofHouse

Thedailyincomeof vendors

Taking all the responsibilities of the family, a street vegetable vendor wishes to earn more moneytothebestofhis/herability.Butsomevendors(86.2%)canearnamaximumof500rupeesper day and some others (13.8%) can earn more than 500 rupees per day. Chakraborty & Koley, 2018; Valli & Subhamangala, 2020; Baliyan & Srivastava, 2016; in their article found that most of the respondents'dailyincomeislessthan500.ltshowsthatstreetvendorshaveloweconomicstatus. The studyalsoindicatesthatfemalevegetablevendorsearnlessthan500rupeesdailyandmalevegetable vendors earn more than 500 rupees daily.




Figure:7DistributionofRespondentsaspertheirdailyIncome

The monthlyincome of the family

Therespondent's family income indicates that 9% of respondents earnless than 5000 rupees. 46.2% of respondent's family can earn between Rs. 5000-10000. Next toit, 21.8% of the respondents

areearningbetweenRs.10000-15000.Only2.6%oftherespondent'sfamilycanearnmorethan15000 rupees. It is found that the average income of the respondents is vary meagre which is 10000 rupees permonth.Karthikeyan&Mangaleswaran,2014;Pradeesh,2020,Pushpalatha&Punnavanam,2020, Bhuvaneswari&Venkatachalam,2019;intheirarticle,itisfoundthatmostoftherespondent'smonthly family income is less than 10,000 rupees.




Figure:8DistributionofRespondentsaspertheirMonthlyIncome

Dailyexpenditureontransport

Vendors come to the market every day to sell his/her product. They use different modes of transport.60%oftherespondentsspentlessthan100rupeeseveryday.28.3%ofrespondentsspare Rs.100-199regularly. The rangeofRs. 200-299isspent by7.2%oftherespondentsandonly 4.4% of respondents spend more than 300 rupees on transport.

Dailyexpenditureonhousehold

As the incomes of the vendors are very low their expenditure on household is also less. More than 50% of the respondents have Rs. 100-199 as their daily expenditure. Next to it, 32.2% of the respondents have a daily expenditure of Rs.200-299. 10.1% of the respondents spent Rs.300 and aboveonhousehold. Only4.4% of the respondents spent Rs.300 and aboveonhousehold. Only4.4% of the respondents spendless than 100 rupees daily in their household as they are taking the responsibilities of household chores. In comparison to female vegetable vendors the male vegetable vendors spend 100-300 rupees as their daily expenses.




Figure:9DistributionofRespondentsaspertheir dailyExpenditureonHousehold

Landownership

The study reveals that 86.2% of the respondents do not own agricultural land. 13.8% of the respondents have agricultural land and the size of their land is very small. It is found that most of the vegetable vendors areagriculturallandless. Most respondentshaveless than3acresof land. Kansra & Gill, 2019 in their article found that 89.8% of respondents do not have their agricultural land.

Head ofthefamily

More than 50% of the respondents are the head of the family, and they have all the family's responsibilities. 43.6% of the respondents are otherfamily members, and they are not the head of the family.

Numberofthe workingpopulationsinthe family

 $53.5\% of the respondents are the only working person in their family. 46.5\% of the respondents \ have \ 2$ and more than 2 working population in their family.

Numberofdependentpopulations inthefamily

In most of the respondents (60%) family the number of dependent populations is less than 3. This indicates that they have a small family. In the family of 36.4% of the respondents, the number of dependent populations is 4-7 people. A very small percentage (3.6%) of the respondents have 8 and more than 8 dependent people.

WorkingPatternoftheRespondents

Periodofmarket

Theproductionofvegetablesdependsonseasonalchanges. So, the vendors do not focus only on the vegetable market but also prefer to follow other activities. In the city, the majority of the respondents (96.88%) come to the market throughout the year for their business. Only 3.11% of respondents come to the market in a particular season of the year.




Figure:10DistributionofRespondentsasperPeriodofMarket

Functioningtime ofvendors

ThemarketofSambalpurcityfunctionsfrommorningtonight. Thevendors'workinghoursvary according to their convenience. The study shows that 70.6% of respondents come to the market in the morning because customers generally prefer to buy fresh vegetables during this period. Only 0.5% of vendors come in the evening. They may have other business activities during the morning. Nearly 30% of respondents like to sell their products both in the morning and evening to get maximum profit.




Figure: 11 Distribution of Respondents as per their Market Functioning Time

Businesshoursofthevendors

Vendors must spend the maximum amount of time in the market to maximize their profits. 62.1% of respondents spend 7-10 hours per day. In both the 15-18 hours and 3-6 hours per day categories, 11.2% of vendors spend time in the market.




Figure:12DistributionofRespondentsaspertheirworkinghour

The peakperiodofselling____

For the majority of the respondents (85.19%) of the market, their peak period of selling time is in the morning i.e. between 5am and 10 am. Next to it, 13.5% of the highest selling period is between 10 am-1 pm. Only 1.03% of respondent's peak period of selling is between 4 pm-8 pm.




Figure:13DistributionofRespondentsaspertheirpeakperiodofSelling

Returntimefromthemarket

Asmostofthecity'srespondentsdotheirbusinessinthemorning,theyreturnfromthemarket earlier,i.e.,between11 amand2pm.Next,13.2% ofrespondentsreturnbetween 8pmand11pmas theyworkbothmorningsandevenings.12.2%ofrespondentsreturnedfromthe marketbetween5pm and 8 pm, and 10.38% of respondents returned from the market between 2 pm and 5 pm.




Figure:14DistributionofRespondentsasperReturnTimefromMarket

___Workingexperience__

Mostoftherespondents(57.1%)ofthemarkethavelessthan15yearsofworkingexperience.

27.8%ofrespondentshaveworkingexperienceof15-29years.13.8%ofrespondentshave30-44years of experience. Only 1.3% of respondents have more than 45 years of working experience as a street vegetable vendor.




Figure:15DistributionofRespondentsaspertheirworking experience

- 1. Need to support your results by providing more explanation in the discussion about what you found. That part is lacking in the research article
- 2. Explanation of the findings, must be compare and contrast the results to prior studies /findings, so citations to studies discussed in the literature generally reappeared here (that part is missing.
- 3. Limitation of the study missing
- 4. Furthermore recommendation for the follow up research. Add it

Commented [u2]:

4. CONCLUSION

The socio economic status of the vegetable vendors' vegetable condition is not soup to the mark. Both males and females are engaged in this occupation. Many people prefer to opt for this occupation regardlessofreligionandcaste. Theirmonthlyincome is very low so they spendless on their daily

expenditure. Female members have less income as well as less expenditure as compared to males. Most of them are married and they have the responsibility to feed their family. They work almost throughout the year and their peak working hour is in the morning time. Some policies are formulated forthevendorsby districtadministrators but they are not getting enough opportunities to mitigate their poorsocioeconomic condition. The government may frame some more policies exclusively for them to strengthen and upgrade their skills in their existing occupation.

Commented [u3]:

Concluding remark of a research paper restates the research problem, summarizes arguments or findings, and discusses the implication. Not properly done need to be correct

REFERENCE

- Bhuvaneshwari, P. (2019). Socio-economic characteristic of street vendors: A Sociological observation from Salem city of Tamil Nadu. 8(10), 636–642.
- Baliyan, S. K., & Srivastava, V. (2016). Socio-Economic Condition of Street Vendors. *Journal of Economic and Social Development*, XII (2), 66–75. http://www.iesd.org.in/jesd/Journal pdf/2016-XII-2 socio-economic condition.pdf
- Banerjee, S. (2014). A Study of Socio-Economic Condition of Vegetable Street Vendors in Ranchi. Jharkhand Journal of Social Development, VII (2), 651.
- Chakraborty,P.,&Koley,S.(2018). Socio-Economic Viewon Street Vendors: A Study of a Daily Market at Jamshedpur. December, 13–20. https://doi.org/10.24321/2349.2872.201804
- Esayas, E., & Mulugeta, S. (n.d.). Theoretical and Empirical Research in Urban Management VULNERABILITY OF STREET VENDORS: CASE STUDY FOR DIRE DAWA CITY, EASTERN. 49–65.
- Hermawatii, R., & Runiawati, N. (2017). Socio-Economic Dynamics of Street Vendors in Bandung. 43 (Icas), 195–199. https://doi.org/10.2991/icas-17.2017.46
- Karthikeyan, R., & Mangaleswaran, R. (2014). A study on socio-economic conditions and working patterns of street vendors in Tiruchirappalli city, Tamil Nadu, India. *International Journal of Physical and Social Sciences*, 4(9).
- Pappeswari, C., & Rajalakshmi, S. (2014). Socio-Economic Conditions of Street Food Vendors-With Special Reference to TiruchendurArea. *Indian Journal of Commerce and Management Studies*, 5(1), 70–77.
 - https://search.proquest.com/docview/1511107448?accountid=14338%0Ahttps://tilburguniversity.on/worldcat.org/atoztitles/link?genre=article&issn=22490310&title=Indian+Journal+of+Commerce+and+Management+Studies&volume=5&issue=1&date=2014&atitle=SOCIO-ECONOMIC
- Sugathan, V.C. (2020). SOCIO-ECONOMIC PROFILEOFS TREET VENDORS INKERALA: ACASE

STUDY OF VENDORS IN EAST FORT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM. IX(Vi), 151–165.

Valli, V. A., & Subhamangala, S. (2020, June). A study on living conditions and working patterns of street vendors in Tenkasi. InNATIONAL ANNUAL BUSINESS RESEARCH CONFERENCE ON IMPERATIVEISSUESINBUSINESS, ECONOMICS, SOCIAL SCIENCES AND MANAGEMENT RESEARCH (p. 232).

Varghese, A. (2016). Socioeconomicconditions of street vendors. *International Education & Research Journal*, 2(11), 112–113.