

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_129435
Title of the Manuscript:	Nexus between Economic Growth and Environmental Degradation in ASEAN-10 Countries
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript has some contribution to the field of environmental economics but requires substantial revision.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Not really	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes. But inadequate.	

Review Form 3

<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>No. There is need for English editing.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In the literature review section, remove quotation marks from the titles of the reviewed papers. That is not the way to do it. Refer to published papers for reference and guidance. 2. The paper needs to be proofread thoroughly for grammar errors. There are many grammar errors. 3. The objective of the paper should be included in the "Introduction" section and not as a separate sub-section. 4. The authors claimed that they have used ADF unit-root test, but the result of it is not reported in the manuscript. 5. The authors require to add the modeling of the relationship between economic growth and environmental degradation in a panel framework. 6. The "Results and Discussions" needs significant improvement. 7. The study requires robustness test to gauge the findings. 8. The paper requires substantial revision for consideration. 	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in detail)</i> No</p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Sulaiman Chindo</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>School of Business and Social Sciences, Albukhary International University, Malaysia</p>