

ReviewForm3

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJ SSE_129435
Title of the Manuscript:	Nexus between Economic Growth and Environmental Degradation in ASEAN-10 Countries
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	his manuscript addresses the crucial topic of the interplay between economic growth and environmental degradation in ASEAN-10 countries. It applies rigorous econometric methods, such as Granger causality and Johansen cointegration tests, providing valuable insights into the region's economic-environmental dynamics. Its findings contribute significantly to understanding the variations in growth-environment linkages across countries, offering actionable policy recommendations for sustainable development. This work is vital for policymakers and researchers focused on environmental economics and sustainability in developing regions.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title accurately reflects the manuscript's content and objectives. No changes are necessary.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is clear and summarizes the key findings and methodologies. However, it could benefit from emphasizing the policy recommendations and limitations to provide a more balanced overview.	
Is the manuscript scientifically correct? Please write here.	Yes, the manuscript employs appropriate econometric methods and data sources to investigate the topic. The methodology and analyses are robust, but the manuscript could better justify the choice of the econometric models used.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are adequate and recent. They include both foundational and contemporary studies, supporting the manuscript's findings. No additional references are necessary.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is mostly suitable for scholarly communication. However, minor grammatical errors and wordiness in some sections should be revised for clarity and precision.	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript could improve by discussing the limitations of its econometric methods in greater detail. Additionally, a visual representation of the econometric findings (e.g., graphs or charts) could enhance the reader's understanding of the results.	

ReviewForm3

PART2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	No ethical issues were identified in the manuscript.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lamy Hamed
Department, University & Country	College of Agricultural and Food Sciences, King Faisal University, Saudi Arabia