### **Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Research in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJRM_127283
Title of the Manuscript:	Isolation Of Bacteria And Fungi From The Droppings Of Western House Martin (Delichon Urbicum) In Elele, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Reseach

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <a href="https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/">https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/</a> Benefits for Reviewers: <a href="https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers">https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers</a>

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)

## **Review Form 3**

## **PART 1:** Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Appropriate	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract provides a solid overview of the study.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes Appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound as it employs well-established microbiological methods, including culture-based techniques, gram staining, and biochemical tests, to identify bacterial and fungal species from avian droppings. The study demonstrates methodological rigor by adopting a non-invasive fecal collection strategy, ensuring minimal disturbance to the birds while maintaining sample integrity.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Not really	
Minor REVISION comments	Improve generally	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

## PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

# **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Babafemi Raphael
Department, University & Country	Nigeria

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)