Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_130437
Title of the Manuscript:	Using in-house designed multiplex PCR for differential detection of Mycobacterium avium paratuberculosis, M. bovis and M. smegmatis from faecal samples of dairy animals in parallel to Ziehl-Neelsen staining, faecal culture, IS900 PCR and TaqMan real-time PCR
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/ Benefits for Reviewers: https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Rapid differential detection of these organisms from faecal samples of cattle and buffaloes using inhouse designed multiplex PCR, besides other techniques for aiding in the accuracy of diagnosis.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Added some more recent references.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pramod Prabhakar	
Department, University & Country	BAU, India	

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)