

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Microbiology Research Journal International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_130437
Title of the Manuscript:	Using in-house designed multiplex PCR for differential detection of Mycobacterium avium paratuberculosis, M. bovis and M. smegmatis from faecal samples of dairy animals in parallel to Ziehl-Neelsen staining, faecal culture, IS900 PCR and TaqMan real-time PCR
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript from a scientific point of view seems well done.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Is appropriate for the content of the article	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Represents a suitable summary of the work.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript from a scientific point of view seems well done	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Is appropriate for the content of the article	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>The article's title : Is appropriate for the content of the article</p> <p>Abstract: Represents a suitable summary of the work.</p> <p>Introduction: Is appropriate for the content of the article</p> <p>Article content:- Enough information has been provided.</p> <p>Conclusions: Is appropriate for the content of the article</p>	

#### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Maab I. AL-farwachi
Department, University & Country	University of Mosul, Iraq